
HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/01/31 14:41

This thread discusses the Content article: HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

That's one hell of a bombshell. I knew he was someone to be avoided at all costs. And I hope he is destroyed for the remainder of his career. Yes, war is hell, and I'm not really worthy of judging someone's actions in a war. But he's carried the penchant for selling out his "comrads" back with him, and continued it for 40 years.

He'd make a good condo association president down in Arizona, but that's about it. And I hope to god that's his job in '09.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/01/31 15:14

Thanks, Dr. Wheeler. No doubt all true, but; still a tough sell to the public. Bill Clinton sold American defense secrets to the Chicoms for campaign money plus other treasonous acts. This made none the difference and he was elected twice. Tragically, Americans have lost all sense of "countyman". Most vote now for their own or their groups particular interests. The interests of the country as a whole are disregarded in the election process.

It is likely Hillary can still win without destroying John McCain. No doubt, if necessary she will resort to any and all means for her own political gain.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by SmokinGUNN - 2008/01/31 15:36

:woohoo: I say, find the leaker in the CIA and leak it NOW. Let's get it on the table so we can go on to mediocre from worst.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Meriadoc - 2008/01/31 15:45

McCain could be stopped now if there were someone with access and the will to release the info. That's assuming the info is completely accurate. And you'd still be going out on a limb. Would anyone in the Republican party have the nerve to "swift-boat" his own guy? A whole string of "ifs" here.

Better hope and pray for a brokered convention.

I should add: unless McCrazy can be taken out by this info right now. If he can be stopped, let someone do it. They'll be doing us all a favor.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/01/31 15:54

Hopefully Levin reads the article aloud on the air. I know he's a subscriber here. It's how I found the place.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/01/31 16:12

I have always maintained that "Juan McCain" is the REAL LIFE "Manchurian Candidate"...this is just further factual

evidence to back up this assertion.

It truly "Blows" that 'Screw-The Damn-Pooch-Guliani" booted the GOP front runner position sooooo badly.

One 'Long Shot/Hail Mary Pass' attempt...and oops, sorry, I'm taking my balls (or lack thereof) and going home...

Can you imagine 'Screwliany' dealing w/ "(Ras)Putin the Poisoner", "U.B.L.", the P.R.C., the soon to be "Nuclear Armed Iranian's", or "Kim Jong Mentally Ill", etc, in this manner???

In fact, if THAT was the way he campaigned...it would have been HOW he governed...and we are fortunate to be rid of him!

(It really DOES make one wonder what REALLY was behind it all, though doesn't it???)

What kind of screwed up country have we ended up w/ where the ONLY REAL candidate who could have possibly turned this around, (No..NOT Wrong Paul), but Duncan Hunter...did not EVEN garner 1% in the Primaries????

And instead we have McCain, Romney, Hilary & Obama??????

Romney is a good businessman, but he is tragically 'Geo Strategically/Geo Politically' clueless, but at least he is not a traitor...

SIGH...

Vox

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by deighv - 2008/01/31 16:33

For the last year and a half or so, I have held on to a memory that I read on this site that there was some "soon to be released news" that would destroy McCain. I've been waiting to find out what that news is. Is this the news?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Archangel - 2008/01/31 17:28

I too have long thought of McCain as the "Manchurian Candidate".

We are in deep do-do. We have the Communist vs. the Moslem on the Democrat side, and a nut job on the Republican.

God help us.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jwroblewski - 2008/01/31 18:35

OK, Dr. Wheeler, I don't doubt your sincerity. But do be careful about being mislead by faulty intel. The allegations you document could be just the sort of thing that some power behind the scenes (and honestly God knows from what hive) could be using to manipulate the process big time. Maybe the best thing would be for John McCain and his campaign to confront these accusations head on and quicktime (in fact, why don't you and your well connected colleagues just put the question to the McCain camp out front!). And if old cranky John can clear himself, I hope you'll be the first to say sorry, in the fashion of a true gentleman. If he can't, the GOP has a nightmare on it's hands.:dry:

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jack - 2008/01/31 18:52

Yes

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by michbris - 2008/01/31 19:33

OK, let's take a deep breath for a minute. I don't think for a minute that our country is about to break apart, or even go through spasms of disorder, if either McCain or Hillary become President. Didn't all of you read Jack's article some weeks back that our nation has survived some TRULY challenging upheavals, and yet here we all are in our strong and proud nation? There's plenty of deep dark secrets to go around, including Bill Clinton's treasonous behavior with the Russians when they threatened to make public his business with M. Lewinsky. Both Republicans and Democrats have lots of ammunition to use against each other. The point is, the public doesn't care anymore. They just want someone who can solve their problems, no matter which party he or she is from.

BTW, that comment about Duncan Hunter getting only 1% of the vote also says something - that the U.S. just isn't as conservative as we TTPer's are. Ideology is not as important as being seen as someone who can actually take care of bread-and-butter issues for the average American. I sometimes wonder if Ronald Reagan himself would get elected in this day and age.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/01/31 20:20

Archangel:

I couldn't agree more!

May I suggest WE ALL pray more for the welfare of our land...

Vox

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/01/31 20:53

Dear Michbris:

Sorry, I do not agree.

Things are much, much worse than people are willing...(or are able), to psychologically confront.

In the past we had the world standard currency, we were the worlds leading economic & industrial society, had an overwhelmingly Christian & relatively homogenous society that deeply believed in the ethos of being American.

None of that is true any more.

The dollar is collapsing, (as is the world financial system...don't believe it? Why do you think that Bush+Reid+Pelosi are frantically pumping out checks to illegals & citizens alike, so that they can purchase more Chinese made goods & send more dollar transfers home to Mexico, in order to keep the world economy & trading system from collapsing?).

The rise of the PRC as a the new global superpower that is a DIRECT THREAT to our survival is something that is still being treated like the 'Emperor's New Clothes', or the 800lb Gorrilla in the corner....everyone is doing all they can to madly pretend it's not there, or isn't a mortal threat....(it is).

There is now a replacement currency, (The Euro) ready to take on the global role of the dollar...something that did not

exist before, Russia is rearming like mad...while we are bogged down in the GWOT...China is arming like mad and is growing & expanding in a way technologically & industrially that will shortly eclipse the US, (not to mention under Clinton42, and since, their intelligence operations have successfully stolen most of our high tech military secrets).

We have porous, open borders, and NO control over who is in our country...the media & educational system have bred generations of 'Know-Nothings', whose default positions on all things is 'It's Americas Fault', and are thoroughly conditioned to the Globlist/Socialist agenda...

N. Korea, and soon Iran will have The Bomb, plus missile delivery systems of constantly increasing sophistication and range.

The U.S. is UTTERLY vulnerable to an EMP strike, meaning s single high altitude nuclear warhead over say, Kansas at roughly 500,000 feet would fry essentially ALL of our nations electronic infrastructure in microseconds...turning the U.S. back into an undeveloped country quicker than 'overnite'...(think of the aftermath of Katrina...times 10 thousand...w/ NO ONE to come to the rescue...no phone, no internet, no running water, no electricity, no refrigeration, no fuel or food deliveries...your car, (unless it's a late 60's model) will never run again...the supermarkets will not have refrigeration nor any incoming food deliveries...possibly for years.

Do the math.

This is not you Fathers or Grandfathers America...we are so EXQUISETLY vulnerable it's tragic.

I am all for the concept of having a positive attitude, something Dr. Jack & Dr. Wade have expounded upon frequently...but that only works if one is also realistic in the appraisal of the actual dimensions of the actual threat(s) we face...and the above list is an extremely abbreviated list of what those actually are...VERY abbreviated.

Vox

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by punruh - 2008/01/31 21:01

"the U.S. just isn't as conservative as we TTPer's are."

In my mind this is a big reason why this country has become so morally decayed. I am so thankful to my parents for instilling the conservative values I now hold, and I hope I have done half as much to pass these values on to my 4 sons. I worry about the future of this great country and what my grandchildren will face.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/01/31 21:18

Vox Veritas wrote:

N. Korea, and soon Iran will have The Bomb, plus missile delivery systems of constantly increasing sophistication and range.

The U.S. is UTTERLY vulnerable to an EMP strike, meaning s single high altitude nuclear warhead over say, Kansas at roughly 500,000 feet would fry essentially ALL of our nations electronic infrastructure in microseconds...turning the U.S. back into an undeveloped country quicker than 'overnite'...(think of the aftermath of Katrina...times 10 thousand...w/ NO ONE to come to the rescue...no phone, no internet, no running water, no electricity, no refrigeration, no fuel or food deliveries...your car, (unless it's a late 60's model) will never run again...the supermarkets will not have refrigeration nor any incoming food deliveries...possibly for years.

That could happen. And they had better hope the first strike works better than expected, because it would be their first and only chance. Anyone who launches a nuclear attack like that would have about 20 minutes to live. At least I hope that's still the policy, as it's the only reason we're still here.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by chuck - 2008/01/31 22:07

Jack,

I too remember what you said about news that would destroy McCain but didn't think it had been revealed yet. In light of the current election I had been thinking about asking you about it. So now we have the info. This is particularly interesting to me because I had heard from Col. Bo Gritz, several years ago, that McCain had cooperated with his captors. If I remember correctly, Col. Gritz also said McCain didn't accept an early release from captivity because he was told by other POWs "he better not " accept it.

Jack, by any chance, did you ever meet Bo Gritz? I think he was in Washinton DC for a while.

Thanks for keepng us informed.

Chuck Hansen

P.S.

Bo Gritz was the highest decorated Special Forces Officer in Viet Nam and wrote a book called "Called to Serve".

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/01/31 22:20

Okay Jack let me play devil's advocate for a moment.

Let's see you got some thin skinned, prissy US Senators who don't like to get yelled at ; you got a GRU teenager and GRU files; you got a drunk, retired, CIA has been looking for attention at Georgetown get togethers; you got whispering Washington lobbyists about some kind of menage a trois of wives or somethin or other bumping into Hillary's girlfriends in the lady bathrooms in the Senate (and we thought all the action was in the Men's Rooms in the Senate) and your conclusion is that McCain is nuts.

I know you're thinking right now that I am the devil's spawn because my dad was agency in the 50s but can we begin a real conversation about the history of the CIA and its shortcomings of which there have been many through the years, but for goodness' sake, quit trying to cover-up W's incompetence by blaming the CIA for everything, you sound like an old Tass wire release. The CIA has no influence in the USCIS and that is the agency which has done more harm and exposed us to more danger than any other US government during the Bush administration, though the FBI and Justice may deserve honorary mentions for dereliction of their law enforcement responsibilities and Congress honorary mention just for being a collection of derelicts.

As for Ken T.'s book excellent in many parts, especially the parts he snatched from you on Goss, the Bremer-Chalabi sections and the WMDs coverage but overall it doesn't scale. Last paragrah in the book in the acknowledgement sections:

"As Shadow Warriors makes clear, if this president believes that the permanent bureaucracy is committed to his agenda, he is truly living in a fantasy world."

Well, if Ken T.'s book is spot-on, then George W. Bush has truly been out to lunch for his entire two terms and why is Ken T. so shocked that we have a permanent bureaucracy running amuck if the head of it is a blithering idiot. Not that I'm arguing thatTimmerman just did it for me.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by johnwss - 2008/01/31 22:33

"If Republicans Had Any Brains, They'd Be Republicans."

Thanks for that great confirmation, Jack! This last month I designed four ads for our weekly newspaper with precisely that theme. They all began, "Remember when Republicans . . ."

- Actually believed in the Constitution?
- Promoted limited government?
- Abhorred deficit spending?
- Fought for lower taxes?
- Championed sound money?
- Defended our national sovereignty?
- Advocated personal responsibility?
- Championed secure borders?
- Considered citizenship a sacred privilege?
- Fought for a strong NATIONAL defense (NOT an INTERNATIONAL offense)?
- Supported declared wars that we fought to win?
- Supported the dignity of life?
- Championed freedom of speech?
- Defended the individual's right to bear arms?
- Opposed warrantless searches and domestic spying, as prohibited by the Bill of Rights?
- Defended habeas corpus, as guaranteed by the Bill of Rights, and opposed torture?
- Combined a desire to win elections with a devotion to sound principles of government?
- Were the party of the "forgotten Americans"?
 - o The taxpayer who pays for all the federal government's programs
 - o The business owner who creates jobs for Americans
 - o The soldier and veteran who defends our nation and its freedoms

I'll let you all know Tuesday evening how many of our local Republicans are interested in being Republicans.

Thanks for the straight skinny on the NY Times' designated dude!

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Rockymount - 2008/01/31 22:51

Dear Vox

You are right on in your concise observation of America and its moral and military weakness if not just the US then certainly all of the Western Nato Nations.

All this election requires is to create a leader that sees an opportunity to turn everything into a secular panacea and blame the social and military mess they have on "Look at what Christians nearly did to all of us!"

The best examples of Leadership in world history have always shown a leader who leads the people against a tangible enemy. Often the enemy was created...specifically to rally the people to arms and acceptance of the new threat. It may seem simplistic but it worked for amoral leaders everywhere ie. Kim Jong, Idi Amin, Stalin, Hitler. All had used their educational systems and the economy to rally their support.

Liberalism/socialism in schools and universities has prepared the majority to accept this kind of a leader.

What do we do if a new president sees all of this as an opportunity to create an enemy (Christianity) and lead the people?

We now see that the top GOP candidates as well as the DEM's all have people that will either push the Liberal sled further down the slope, or ruthlessly use power for the furtherance of Liberal agendas. Potential leaders that will do nothing but further the erosion of the constitution.

I am afraid that the truly conservative voice is nothing more than a rock on the shore unable to stop the advance of the tide.

Most of us see ourselves sitting at the lowest ebb of the tide and what is coming threatens to drown everything.

The houses of government are the real hope - not whoever is president. There has to be a shift in the fiber of what makes a Senator or a Congressman. The public needs to see the reasons for electing people the type of which cannot be controlled by those who know of skeletons in closets. We need to elect candidates that had backbones, ethics, and clean track records before they entered Politics and will remain so while serving the Public. Until or unless we as a nation recognize and want morally clean leaders at the state, and federal level things will continue to deteriorate. Many fortune 500 hundred companies will only hire CEO's that have a stable marriage track record. What does that tell you?

Instead American society and that includes Western society is tolerant...that Liberal comfort word of these days "tolerant" of others. Why?
Society is tolerant of immorality yet intollerant of those that stand against imorality as if that were imoral.
Society is tolerant of the most base "liesure time" pursuits as if mental pre-occupation with those base peersonal desires does not influence public decision making.
No one it seems is intolernat of things that are wrong.
In fact our youth (20 to 40 crowd) seems almost embarrassed to say out loud what they think is right for fear they may become a social outcast.

The only way for any of this to change is the old fashioned way - personal sacrifice to become the leader people need and not be the "Good" person that says I dont want to be invovled in corrupt politics. Because if something is corrupt it will remain that way until good people get in there and change it.
We have what we have today because too many of the good people have let it become that way.
Remeber Billy Graham at Clintons inauguration said ' "The American people have the government they deserve."

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by rswgoforit - 2008/02/01 00:32

I don't know all the facts related to McCain as a POW at the Hanoi Hilton. But I wouldn't be surprised if he sold out to the commies in Hanoi because his track record as Senator McCain shows that he's given in easy to his fellow Commiecrats. That's why I'm not voting for him.

My voting attitude this year is which candidate is least likely going to sell out American interests (McCain, the Hildebeest, & Hussein Obama will), which one is not so phony (add the Hucksterbee & Flip Flop Romney to the other three for serious phoniness) and who shows the most competence to lead (Sister Hilary Shrilling Shrieking Mary Elephant? Namby Pamby Obama? Unstable McCain? Huckster Huckabee? I'm not thrilled with Romney, but I'm much more unthrilled with the others.

My past voting pattern in recent presidential elections came down to voting for Bush not because I was madly in love with him, but because I madly couldn't stand the Phil Donahue hand wringing act that would have been found in Gore & Kerry if they had to deal with the 911 terrorist attacks.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by aburnes - 2008/02/01 06:09

Jack - Please put this article into the public area so that outsiders can link to it.
Right or wrong, true or false, lets get this allegation out into the light of day and trust that the truth will set us free.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/01 06:30

<http://thehill.com/leading-the-news/democrats-say-mccain-nearly-abandoned-gop-2007-03-28.html>

And now McCain's Democrat buddies give him the old Brutus pat on the back.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by sovereignjim - 2008/02/01 06:39

The House is our ONLY hope. We need candidates who will represent the people of the district and NOT one of the parties.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/01 07:28

Wow, how far we have fallen under GWB. A Republican presidency, house and senate with a good economy to boot and McKook leads the way. spartan is right and GWB is squarely to blame here. If little changes by the convention there must be a brokered convention. The GOP must take control, though it will likely lose either way. If McCain has a lot of support and is replaced there will a sense of betrayal. If McCain becomes the nominee there will be a sense of betrayal. As George is put to pasture at his ranch in Crawford George's legacy just might be McCain or Hillary.

Maybe we are too hard on John McCain. Maybe if we asked John he would assure us he is conservative and he will always tell the truth. I like when politicians talk to me like I am retarded....how does John know I'm from Jerzy?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UHoXkCprdL4>
YouTube - McCain 2000 Attack Ad Compared Bush To Clinton

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Jan - 2008/02/01 07:31

Then Sen. John McCain is Hillary's Trojan Horse.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by 41hijinx - 2008/02/01 07:31

I agree that this article should receive the widest possible dissemination immediately and let the chips fall where they may. I doubt the MSM including Fox will report these allegations. This is close to grassy knoll and black helicopter territory. But who knows.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Meriadoc - 2008/02/01 07:59

It was posted last evening on FreeRepublic.com by a certain "yazdankurd." Don't know the poster at all. It was posted as a reply, not as an independent article. You can read the whole thread here:

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1962874/posts?page=5#5>

Jack's article was posted as response #5. Anyway, I think most readers didn't know what to make of it. It didn't start any long discussions. I don't know if the poster had permission to post the whole article the way he did.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by prosenberg1 - 2008/02/01 11:11

Suspect we'll all have a good cry through November, then grudgingly hit the reset switch before Dec. 1.

Ah well.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/01 11:57

Meriadoc wrote:

It was posted last evening on FreeRepublic.com by a certain "yazdankurd." Don't know the poster at all. It was posted as a reply, not as an independent article. You can read the whole thread here:

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1962874/posts?page=5#5>

Jack's article was posted as response #5. Anyway, I think most readers didn't know what to make of it. It didn't start any long discussions. I don't know if the poster had permission to post the whole article the way he did.

Whoever posted that over there should probably take it back down quick-like. Nothing good will come of that. And I just noticed another article was posted to the front page of TTP. How many more other authors will contribute, knowing someone is here mirroring the content all over the web. Part of the benefit is that it IS in a private area, and non-indexable.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/01 12:15

Jim Snape wrote:

>> Whoever posted that over there should probably take it back down quick-like.

Jim - I'm the one known on the FreeRepublic.com site as "ThePythonicCow" (same handle as here.) I just joined here yesterday, after chasing down the source of that FreeRepublic posting of this article by yazdankurd.

I'm trying to figure out if that post by yazdankurd of this article on FreeRepublic.com was done with the consent of Jack Wheeler or not. I'm guessing not.

I'll happy to ask the FreeRepublic.com moderators to take down that post, if it is not an authorized leak. No guarantees, but they'd probably take it down, if I asked.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/01 12:36

Just a wild guess - I'd say it wasn't posted with permission or it would have been posted on one of the sites Jack contributes to.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/01 12:46

I clicked on the button of one of the messages on this sub-thread, and asked there for an "official" response to the question of whether to ask FreeRepublic.com to take that post down.

I'm a newbie on TTP -- if there is a better way to contact the powers that be here, I'd welcome instructions.

Thanks.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by DrBob - 2008/02/01 13:03

Perhaps we should be asking ourselves another question. And that is where do we go from here?

John McCain is totally repugnant to me as a candidate and I will not vote for him. I feel like Ann Coulter. I don't want my party to bear the responsibility of his socialistic agenda. And, even though I belong to the same church as Mitt, I am not in favor of his being President. He has logged too much time on the liberal side of too many issues. He needs to spend some time in the conservative trenches in order to gain my trust.

So, if we can't have Duncan, or even Ron, then what do we do? As I see it we have two choices. We either attempt to retake the Republican Party, along with all its baggage, but also with all of its fellow travelers, or we cease trying to put new wine in old bottles and join another political party, such as the Constitution Party, which is the third largest party in the country in terms of registered voters. Granted it has few fellow travelers but it has virtually no baggage either.

If nothing else, a third party just might drag the GOP back to the right side of the road in order to hold onto a sizable number of its fellow travelers. But it would have to pose a threat when it came to elections.

I asked a friend of mine why he had left the Republican Party and he replied thusly: "I feel like Al Smith felt when he changed parties. I haven't left the Republican Party, it has left me. My principles have not changed, its principles have."

I would be interested to hear others thoughts on what our future course of action should be.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/01 13:34

I have a feeling the two parties have merged into one big Dempublican party. Especially with all of the big lib Republican names cheering for McCain. The end is nigh :)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilHITE - 2008/02/01 14:14

And he's such a terminal narcissist that he can't see the mighty fall he is in for.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ekparsons - 2008/02/01 14:36

If someone shows him the Queen of Hearts, do you think he'll shoot Bill & Hillary? He also thinks Putin is president of Germany (maybe he will be after he is elected):

<http://dailywebb.com/?p=303>

=====

INSTRUCTIONS

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/01 16:10

CLICK ON THE "CONTACT US" ON THE MAIN MENU!!!!

It is pretty pathetic to post articles from a "pay site" without permission. It really diminishes the value and privilege of being on this site. Technically, it is theft.

Whoever did it really showed poor judgment. I know that it is scintillating stuff, but to post recent articles without permission is disrespectful to Jack and gives no incentive for folks on Free Republic or any other board to pay for the privilege to join our august group.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Kenny - 2008/02/01 16:26

No wonder the drive-by media is pushing McCain's candadicy so hard, as his sellout of conservative principles wasn't enough.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/01 18:52

With the concurrence of the managment here, I asked the moderators on FreeRepublic.com to take down the two copies of this article of Jack Wheeler that had been posted on FreeRepublic.com, and FreeRepublic.com has done so.

=====

Re:INSTRUCTIONS

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/01 18:53

Thanks for the INSTRUCTIONS, spartan. They worked like a champ.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/01 19:48

TPC, I welcome you to the TTP

stauvo:)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/01 20:01

> I welcome you to the TTP

Thank-you. Proud to be aboard, sir.

Just doing what I can to slow the leftward slide of this great nation.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/01 20:12

I will know for sure that everything went to hell in a hand basket.:(

Is the day I log into TTP and the header will say "The Oasis for Rational Moderates":woohoo: :woohoo: :woohoo:

I'm soooooorry.....I could'nt resist

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by marco - 2008/02/01 20:38

You will never read that header on Jack's site. The man is too brilliant and his character and logic remain pure!

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/01 21:21

Marco, Dr Wheeler, if it sounded like I was disrespectful that was not my intention. I was just trying to make a bit of humor after reading all these powerful articles accompanied with equally powerful posts.:unsure:

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by josky - 2008/02/01 21:42

Mr. Wheeler,

If what you allege has a modicum of truth to it, you are in mortal danger. I salute you for that. The forces of The Clintons and Putin are massive. and exposing them could be fatal. Watch your Six O'clock, my friend.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by david_d - 2008/02/02 05:29

Dr. Wheeler,

Just to let you know, I emailed this article to Rush Limbaugh.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/02 05:52

For years Rush has howled , bellowed, hollered and roared about the treason, treachery, betrayal and sedition of Bill, Hillary, Al and Sandy. (AKA - National Security Adviser Sandy "Burglar") America responded with a great big collective yawn.

Hillary could dig up a bag of dirt on McCain, but; first she would have to climb over her own mountain of dirt. She is not likely to touch this. Even if she did, does she want to bore America and provoke another collective yawn. For people who vote for the likes of Clinton and Obama their two main reasons is to keep "conservatives" from power and to get for themselves whatever Clinton/Obama offers them. For the left, if they ever thought about the good of the country it is only in the sense that the best thing for the USA is to keep conservatives out of power. The only damage the left thinks can be done to the USA is for conservatives to hold power-this to them is treason.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by marco - 2008/02/02 06:00

Stauvo, no problem we are all friends here :-) I just stated what I believe to be true.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by sovereignjim - 2008/02/02 10:59

May I introduce a little Electioneering 101

Elections are about perception and reality.

Realities

1. The War against Islam is the number one priority.
2. All other presidential campaign issues are secondary.
3. Puppet masters want low cost workers in the U. S.

Perceptions

1. Voters with any sense perceive reality #1 as true.
2. McCain was perceived as the strongest for reality #1.
3. McCain gets MSM support by reality #3.

Conclusions

1. Rommey can beat McCain if he increases perception that he is the best for reality #1.
2. Clinton will beat Rommey because of Bloomberg 3PC.
3. Rommey can beat Clinton ONLY if a majority of all voters, sane and insane, believe reality #1.
4. Conclusion #3 is a long shot because of the high number of insane voters.

=====

yazdankurd message

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/02 11:49

I think that Jack would get more subscribers and do more good if he were to have more free articles. More people would subscribe if they knew about him. After all, the goal is to get the ideas out where they can do some good - TTP is not just for our personal entertainment.

Yazdankurd, Check your mail at the FR. Thanks.

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/02 13:14

Happy Birthday Tom !!!!

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/02 13:32

I think that Jack would get more subscribers and do more good if he were to have more free articles. Likely ... if that's on Jack Wheeler's list of things needing to be done.

I'm sure Jack could get a lively discussion going if he sought suggestions for change, as could most any site.

Meanwhile he's got a fine site here, the way it is.

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/02 13:55

"if he (Jack) were to have more free articles."

On one hand, quite possibly. If Jack were to roll out a more "open" approach aimed at the mainstream, more of the

necessary information we enjoy might get out to the public.

On the other hand, journalism used to be seen as a high calling 40 years ago. Is it today? No! Journalism is now viewed as being just above a lawyer, but not by much. Justifiably so! It's better, IMHO, to not lump oneself in with those who have already discredited themselves by trying to form public opinion, rather than to inform the public.

BTW, Jack does have free articles. I discovered Jack and TTP news via the interview by Jamie Glasov, editor of Front Page magazine (dot com) when Jack hinted about a nuke buried in Mecca, at the Ka abba, etc.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/02 14:22

".....pray"

Bork said it best.....the only thing that can save America is a grass roots revival.

"If My people, who are called by My Name, will humble themselves, pray, seek My face, and turn from THEIR wicked ways, then, I will heal their land....." God's not talking here about the gays marching in San Fran. or the commie libs.... He's calling on the Christians, "MY people"! to turn from THEIR wicked ways, pray, etc. Apparently, we're screwed until we do. And He's patiently waiting.

Pray? We know how to do this!!! "Thy will be done". Remember- prayer is God's way of enlisting us in what he is about to do. In what He'd rather do. This country was no accident.....not anomaly of history.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/02 15:44

And now McCain's Democrat buddies give him the old Brutus pat on the back. Do you have any conjectures why these Democrat buddies didn't wait to post that until after Super Tuesday?

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/02 15:48

See? You are an example, Free articles build the subscription base. I wish we could take a survey and see how many people got here because of a free article.

Taking such a survey is standard practice for those who want to build their business.

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/02 16:06

Yup - that's how I got here - an (unintentionally) free article. A good bit of fortune.

Still, websites, and government administrations, reflect to a considerable degree their leaders.

I have no strong sense, yet, one way or the other, what Dr. Jack Wheeler's ambitions are for this site. I just got here. So when I find myself saying to myself that this or that could be better (usually meaning like some other site I've known), I dismiss that thought, because I don't know if that's where Jack wants to go.

You've no doubt been here longer than I; perhaps you know, perhaps everyone here but I knows, that Jack really is trying to make this site more popular. Is that so?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by david_d - 2008/02/02 17:10

"If My people, who are called by My Name..." That passage is from 2 Chronicles 7:14. Chronicles is a history of the kings of Judah and the people being called upon in the passage would be the Jews. This event took place about 1000 BC.

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/02 17:23

Maybe Jack is thinking that if his stuff is really valuable, then it's up to us to "make his site more popular". That's what I'd be thinking.

Hpw many of us forward TTP articles, and tell friends that they really need to subscribe.

=====

Re:yazdankurd message

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/02 17:27

"I wish we could take a survey and see how many people got here because of a free article. "

RIGHT! Or, because one of us admonished a pal to read a forwarded article, and then subscribe. We make each-other's point.

=====

Response needed from Jack!

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/02 17:33

If we are not supposed to post things at Free Republic, then how is it OK to forward copies to friends? Either the content is proprietary or it isn't.

One of us said he sent the article to Rush - as if he was not sure if it was OK.

For cry'in out loud. We are in a war here, and we don't even know if we can use the ammunition. What kind of a policy is that?!:dry:

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/02/02 18:11

Punruh:

"I worry about the future of this great country and what my grandchildren will face."...

No Shit!

Well & concisely said.

Vox

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/02/02 18:27

Dear Capo:

A Chinese restaurant?

How appropriate...

V

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/02/02 18:36

Stauvo:

"The Oasis for Rational Moderates"...

You Bad...You Bad ;-)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by vanntk3 - 2008/02/02 18:53

Here is a link to a 1999 Phoenix New Times article about John McCain's Vietnam imprisonment.

<http://www.phoenixnewtimes.com/1999-03-25/news/is-john-mccain-a-war-hero/1>

My tendency is to take Jack's article at face value, however, the above referenced article causes me to tend toward neutrality on the issue of collaboration. Like other things, the death of a child or growing up black, only a prick thinks he really understands either. A non-participant can only imagine that he understands. The same with having lived the life of a prisoner of war.

Having said that, what does having been a prisoner of war and a war hero have to do with qualifying one to be president of the United States? Absolutely nothing.

If we want to talk about war heroes who ascended to the presidency - Washington, Grant, Teddy Roosevelt, Eisenhower - none of them were captured warriors. They were all victorious warriors. Does anyone think that John McCain could or should be compared to any of the above?

My real concern about John McCain is that he is simply lukewarm. He has no passion for the traditional, only for his place amongst the popular culture.

As to whether or not John McCain is or is not a patriot, I will defer to George Washington's definition of such in his farewell address - "Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to political prosperity, religion and morality are indispensable supports. In vain would that man claim the tribute of patriotism who should labor to subvert these great pillars of human happiness."

It is not my belief that religion and morality are of much interest to John McCain, and upon that alone will I discern the patriotism of John McCain or anyone else.

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack! MY 2 CENTS

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/02 18:54

FIRST---Please use common sense. This site contains articles which contains many facts and theories. Some are based on well researched history and some are based on "inside information".

Sometimes this inside information does not pan out. I think many of us are still wondering if we will ever militarily confront Iran.

The flip side to inside information is whether it is believable. I remember a story of an American journalist who witnessed the Soviets massacre of hundreds of people in the early 1930's. The journalist told the Soviet commander that he will report this incident in his next article. The reponse from the Soviet commander was, "Go ahead; they will never believe you."

The American people did not believe that the incident occurred.

Why? The American people(outside of the loony left) will never believe the worst in people. They can not comprehend that evil events occur. 9/11 woke up this country, but the last 6+ years have seen the return to complacency.

Thus, be careful. Don't be surprised if people reject this information about McCain. This is not the type of article you want to introduce TTP to the world. In the short term it will marginalize Jack and TTP. If it becomes open knowledge and embraced by the American people, Jack becomes most relevent and folks will flock to TTP.

SECOND---This is still a pay site. Unless you have explicit instructions from Jack or the other authors of the articles on TTP, don't give out these articles freely.

I am not sure about copyright laws or copyright infringement but giving out information from a pay site is an infringement on Jack's ability to make money.

If you would not want someone to do this to you, DON'T do it to Jack.

USE COMMON SENSE!!!!!!

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack!

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/02 20:05

We are in a war here, and we don't even know if we can use the ammunition. It's not our ammunition; it's our neighbors. Jack's in this case.

I'm not saying I'd never steal my neighbors ammunition in the heat of battle, if I decided that theft was a lessor crime than murder of an innocent (which might be myself ;)). But I don't plan on ever doing any such theft, and I do what I can to arrange my life so that such will not be necessary.

And besides, as someone pointed out with the Soviet massacre, the affect of this ammunition in the general populace is far from obvious. It might be more like finding an old grenade in your grandfathers possessions from an war of long ago. Just when, or even if, it will go off is a matter of uncertainty.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jack - 2008/02/02 20:24

Absolutely. Stauvo -- that was funny! Oasis for Rational Moderates reminds me of a friend's suggestion that I start a version of To The Point for liberals -- and call the website What's The Point?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/02 21:05

Having said that, what does having been a prisoner of war and a war hero have to do with qualifying one to be president of the United States? Absolutely nothing.

True, what happened thirty years ago, largely out of his control, has nothing to do with qualifying him to be President.

However, how he tells that story now, and the extent to which he is honest, and the extent to which he can be Blackmailed for secrets he wants to hide, all have quite a bit to do with qualifying him for President now, especially as they reflect on his current moral character.

Don't use the excuse that "it's all about prisoner of war events from long ago" as a smoke screen to distract from more relevant, but less incendiary, actions, and their appropriate consequence, in the present. That's what Bill Clinton did with the Monica escapade.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/02 22:41

Dr. Wheeler thats really good.:laugh:

It just POPS when you say it.

"What's the Point?"

It would be over before it ever started.:silly:

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack! MY 2 CENTS

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/03 03:55

Spartan,

I agree 100% with your analysis, especially regarding the McCain article.

You also give excellent (almost lawyerly :laugh:) advice when you tell TTPers to "use common sense" when forwarding articles.

We want this site to grow but not at the expense of what has already been built.

I think there is a sweet spot out there that will fulfill tbs3 and Spartan's desires while preserving the gem which is TTP.

For the record, I was also turned on to TTP from a free article on Worldnetdaily.com.

BTW, excellent debate! It's great to see new faces like ThePythonicCow and others posting. Welcome aboard, we're happy to have you in our family.

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack!

Posted by david_d - 2008/02/03 06:44

"One of us said he sent the article to Rush - as if he was not sure if it was OK."

I emailed the article using the email button at the top of the page, which TTP provides to subscribers for that purpose.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by marco - 2008/02/03 08:22

Lets not forget his Senator McPains wifes grudge list and her stealing drugs from a charity foundation that she set up ten + years ago.

"The couple have also overcome daunting health problems that included McCain's bouts with skin cancer, a stroke suffered by Cindy in 2004, and her admission a decade earlier that she had become so addicted to painkillers that she was stealing them from a medical charity she ran."

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/03 08:29

I too found TTP through WorldNetDaily which reminds me of the really pumped up story on smoking gun evidence to take out Hilleries bid for president. It did not seem to make any difference.

I am very excited to see how and if this story unfolds and weather or not it affects Mr.McCains trend.

Good luck to all you football fans this afternoon.:)

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by vanntk3 - 2008/02/03 12:15

Well said. There is infinite power in your prescription. Too bad so few understand that this power is real. Consequently, we have perverted the power of state, exalted its benighted champions, and beget its addicted victims. This affront to God's first commandment is surely the cause. Your noted prescription will become a part of my morning prayer. A prayer to God seeking the restoration of our nation, which was surely borne of His providence and likewise nurtured, is every Christians' duty.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by vanntk3 - 2008/02/03 12:35

EMP vulnerability is somewhat of a myth, per Jack's article on the same a while back.

Here is the link to that article:

<http://www.tothepointnews.com/content/view/1406/2/>

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by xachikyan2000 - 2008/02/03 12:56

It seems that RALPH PETERS supports John McCain and thinks he will be the best president

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by bjr118 - 2008/02/03 13:06

So now we're stuck between Skylla and Charybdis.:angry: How have we arrived at this pass? More importantly, what are we going to do about it?

Clarification

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/03 15:53

I know the contents of TTPN are Jack's property, except for those articles by others which were not paid for.

What I am asking for is a clear spelling out of exactly what we have permission to do.

In the case of my computer, when I have used the email forwarding link, it only sent a url to the person. That is not useful unless the person already subscribes. Rush and his people will not be signing up just to get at an article. It wastes their time.

Its my personal opinion that being ... well... kinda stingy with articles is self-defeating both financially and politically. I hope Jack will give it some thought and then give us a clear reading on what can be done with his property.

He is entitled to his opinion and his property, as are we all to ours. Unfortunately, after Tuesday it may be largely moot. Battles do not wait for procedural manuals.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by chay - 2008/02/03 18:45

I really appreciate all these 80 posts - you can't find that kind of input anywhere. Especially the ones on USING COMMON SENSE. Jack has tons of inside information that will knock you socks off, but if people aren't ready to entertain the possibility that such things can and do occur, then its the old "casting pearls before swine" story.

I've had my suspicions about about McCain's sanity. When his campaign was tanking, he was visibly teed-off that he wasn't America's sweetheart. I don't know what is the cause of his resurrection. I don't think the fact that the surge is working would be enough to save his behind and I thought conservatives were against flip-flopping before they were for it. I mean, he is still the same guy he was before, except now he's saying what people want to here. and the public seems gullible.

I never thought I'd say this, but I can't vote for the Dem choice so I may not vote this year. An article last week on TTP stated that conservative radio was going to sink McCain's hopes. They better get going.

=====

Re:Clarification

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/03 19:53

tbs3 When I click on the e-mail icon, it sends the url, but the url, in my experience opens up the whole article.....but just that particular article.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/03 20:16

I don't know what is the cause of his (McCain's) resurrection.

The main stream media. The daily drum beat of superficially favorable publicity, year after year, is the bedrock of a successful run for national office. McCain is skilled at getting this publicity, and doing favors for them.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/03 20:25

Wow can you believe how the Giants came in at the end?:ohmy:

I seem to remember Dr. Wheeler setting up the articles so that you may email them one time only to a friend to help entice them to join. Or did it give them access to the TTP one time? I'm not sure.

Re:Clarification

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/03 20:52

Thanks Eddd7,

It gives you a link that will open once for your recipient, but he can't then forward it to a friend. It won't open for his friend.

Thus it can only be sent once - which limits the powerful friend to friend to friend transmission.

BTW, Jack has just released the McCain article and another one for free use.

Hooray! Lets get forwarding!

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilhte - 2008/02/03 21:21

The term everyone is dancing around is 'media whore'

On another note, I can just see the SNL skit, starring a Jack Nicholson look-alike, poking his head in the door and announcing: Heeere's Johnny (McCain) a la 'The Shining'. Haven't yet figured out what JM would say, but chances are it would have to be bleeped. (Rats! Where are those writers when you really need 'em? On strike you say? Don't they know the only talent who is well-paid is the producer's hooker?)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/03 21:30

johnwss

• Actually believed in the Constitution? - If you're referring to the Enumerated Powers and more, I'm totally with you.

But.....

"Opposed warrantless searches and domestic spying, as prohibited by the Bill of Rights?"....

The Founding Fathers could not have begun to conceive of a such a merciless World-Wide US enemy as Radical Islam. Nor could they have imagined an enemy of every other non-Sharia governed country in the World, an enemy that not only does not reside in a single country, but does not honor ANY of the same Geneva Convention Rules so many liberals, and certain Republican Senators running for President demand we honor. If a very few minutes of running water up a captured combatant's nose can possibly save a life, or a million lives, drip away!! How many of you remember the many civil liberties we suspended in the interest of beating Hitler and Tojo?

WE ARE AT WAR!!!!

I trust that my Government is acting decidedly in my best interest and the best interest of many hundreds of millions of us.

Listen to my phone calls, monitor my email, open my mail if you need, but do what you must to **SAVE MY LIFE, MY KIDS LIVES, AND MY GRANDKIDS LIVES!!!**

John Boland
Kennewick, WA

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by martinbb - 2008/02/03 21:31

be careful what you wish for.....we may get 500 Miriam Brown Eyes posting non-stop to TTP.....P :silly: :P :silly: :P :silly:

Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/03 21:53

Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

(see <http://www.freerepublic.com/forum/a38b758995c99.htm> for better copy)

Over the years he's played many roles and worn many titles, including Navy aviator, prisoner of war, hero, congressman, U.S. senator, Washington insider, maverick outsider and, now, presidential candidate. But the one title of which few are aware is that of "service ace."

John Sidney McCain III is known among many of his Vietnam flight buddies as "Ace" McCain. This title has not been bestowed upon McCain because he destroyed five enemy aircraft. On the contrary: It was five on our side — in fact, five of his own. Since throwing his hat into the presidential ring, the fact that McCain was graduated from the U.S. Naval Academy nearly at the bottom of his class has been publicized. His star-crossed flying, on the other hand, remains unknown to most.

Robert Timberg, author of *The Nightingale's Song*, a book about Annapolis graduates and their tours in Vietnam, wrote that McCain "learned to fly at Pensacola, though his performance was below par, at best good enough to get by. He liked flying, but didn't love it." Timberg counts himself a friend of McCain and has written a McCain biography.

It wasn't long after arriving in Pensacola that McCain racked up the first of his five crashes, beginning in 1958, on his way to becoming a "reverse ace." As told by Timberg, "McCain was practicing landings; his engine quit and he plunged into Corpus Christi Bay. Knocked unconscious by the impact, he came to as the plane settled to the bottom."

There was, however, no engine failure with the aircraft. According to one of McCain's former flight instructors, "The engine was removed from the aircraft that afternoon, mounted on a test stand and a new propeller installed. was flushed with fresh water and started. It ran just fine. So the theory of engine failure was proven false."

The instructor added that McCain was "positively one of the weakest students to pass our way, and received consistently poor marks and a number of Dangerous Down grades assigned by more than one instructor. He had no real ability and was clearly out of his element in an airplane, and way over his head even as a junior naval officer."

The second of McCain's crashes occurred while he was deployed in the Mediterranean. "Flying too low over the Iberian Peninsula," reports Timberg, "he took out some power lines which led to a spate of newspaper stories in which he was predictably identified as the son of an admiral."

Crash three occurred when McCain was returning from flying a trainer solo to Philadelphia for an Army-Navy football game. According to Timberg, McCain radioed, "I've got a flameout." He went through the standard relight procedures three times. At one thousand feet, he ejected, landing on the deserted beach moments before the plane slammed into a clump of trees."

By 1967, McCain was ready for battle and assigned to the USS *Forrestal* as an A-4 Skyhawk pilot. While seated in the cockpit of his aircraft waiting for takeoff, a freak accident occurred when a rocket slammed into the exterior fuel tank of McCain's plane. Miraculously, McCain escaped from the burning aircraft, but dozens of his shipmates were killed and injured in the explosions that followed.

McCain's final downing came just three months later when his A-4 Skyhawk was hit by anti-aircraft artillery over Truc Bach Lake near Hanoi, North Vietnam. McCain spent the next five-and-a-half years as a prisoner of war and, upon return to the United States in 1973, like the other returning POWs, McCain became an instant hero. The POWs had been treated abominably, yet stood up to their torturers and were deserving of the accolades they received. But some questioned the number and types of medals bestowed upon "Ace" McCain, the son of the admiral commanding in the Pacific as well as the grandson of another admiral.

"McCain had roughly 20 hours in combat," explains Bill Bell, a veteran of Vietnam and chief of the U.S. Office for POW/MIA Affairs — the first official U.S. representative in Vietnam since the 1973 fall of Saigon. "Since McCain got 28 medals," Bell continues, "that equals out to about a medal-and-a-half for each hour he spent in combat. There were infantry guys — grunts on the ground — who had more than 7,000 hours in combat and I can tell you that there were times and situations where I'm sure a prison cell would have looked pretty good to them by comparison. The question really is how many guys got that number of medals for not being shot down."

“John McCain,” says another Navy pilot and acquaintance of that era, “was the kind of guy you wanted to room with — not fly with. He was reckless, and that’s critical when you start thinking about who’s going to be the president.” The old pilot laughs, and then continues: “But the Navy accident rate was cut in half the day John McCain was shot down.”

On a more serious note, however, there has been no discussion of what actions were or were not taken in dealing with McCain after each of the aircraft losses. Neither McCain’s senatorial nor campaign offices returned Insight’s calls on these matters. But a Navy insider notes that “after every such incident an inquiry is conducted to conclude the cause of the crash. If it were anyone other than the admiral’s son, his wings would have been pulled. But that’s where that kind of father comes in handy.”

“Thank God not all pilots are like McCain,” jokes another pilot, “or the government would be buying a hell of a lot more planes.”

Feel free to forward and post the above.

Source: INSIGHT Magazine
Published: February 25, 2000 Author: Kelly Patricia O’Meara
Posted on 02/25/2000 20:37:45 PST by Stand Watch Listen @ FR

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/03 21:54

Dr Wheeler;

This is such a bombshell, I need to ask why this was not released in time to do something about it? By Tuesday night it may be too late to avoid his nomination.

If this is released and McCain can't, or will not refute the charges, how much trouble will the R. Convention delegates be in if they refuse to vote as directed by Republican mandate, or their respective state laws?

John Boland
Kennewick, WA

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack! MY 2 CENTS

Posted by ekparsons - 2008/02/03 22:09

It would be hard to verify any of the allegations in this article. Intelligence agencies lie as part of their job. Evidence can be fabricated, documents forged and witnesses coerced, especially in countries that are run as police states. A lot of the evidence has probably disappeared down the memory hole or because of the deaths of those involved. Why are so many of you willing to believe this commie bastard T. ?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by chay - 2008/02/03 22:10

Producer's hooker???? How do I get that job?

=====

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 00:39

Thanks for finding that. I just reposted it as a new article on FreeRepublic.com, at <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/news/1964536/posts> so that fresh commentary could be made and to increase its visibility. I also tracked down a couple of sources for it.

Your original FreeRepublic link points to Insight Magazine, where the article is in their 3/20/2000 edition (paid subscription required to see the full text.) And another copy, apparently freely available, as at http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m1571/is_11_16/ai_61361646.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Igstarr - 2008/02/04 01:14

Speaking of Cord Meyer, Timothy Leary reported in his autobiography "Flashback" that he was giving LSD to Meyer's wife Mary who then shared it with President Kennedy (they were having an affair). She was shot to death in 1964 -- a mysterious and untimely death...wonder what happened?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/04 08:15

Let me give you a sure bet. Two in fact. We get a hillary presidency, we get (1) nationalized/digitized healthcare-really hillarycare. We get a hillary presidency, (2) we get a second term hillary presidency.

What hillary will or would do to John McCain is incomparable to what she will do to anyone or any entity which tries to stop her nationalized/digitized hillarycare.

Remember, victims and victimhood are to liberalism and what nationalized/digitized hillarcare will be to her presidency is what cordwood is to a fireplace, what Mexicans are to illegal immigration and dare I say what water is to a swimming pool.

Nationalized/digitized hillarycare is THE springboard to her presidency.

Don't make the mistake of thinking hillarycare ia an end. It is only the tool and beginning and the means for her presidency. Her government will be the final creator, enabler and definer of victims and victimhood. The possibilities are limitless as to what federal government can impose upon us as "health maintenance" and "disease prevention". To understand just how you can control, influence or blackmail someone we need only to look at both Bill and Hillary's very unusual refusals to reveal their own medical records.

To stay ahead, prepare for and understand a hillary presidency is to understand all the implications of her nationalized/digitized hillarycare.

=====

Jack Gave Permission, so lets Post! Yay Pythonic!

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/04 08:17

Bravo for Pythonic Cow!

Can you also post Jack's McCain article, since he has now given permission?

Everyone should help sign up at www.FreeRepublic.com so whenever we can post we are ready to post.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilhte - 2008/02/04 08:29

In other words, the whole population will become victims of hillarycare. I wonder if her goal is to euthanize all conservatives as 'useless eaters'? If we're all victims, who is going to make our economy work? Victims don't work, they just suck the teat of the federal government sow. Who is going to pay for all this liberal largesse? Where is the money going to come from when all of us are Oprah-watching couch potatoes? What is the liberal endgame? Do they think any farther ahead than the next election? So many questions, so few answers.

=====

Re:Jack Gave Permission, so lets Post! Yay Pythoni

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 09:02

Can you also post Jack's McCain article, since he has now given permission?
I already did, and noted so here, within a few hours of getting permission. See <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1964129/posts> .

=====

Pythonic Cow message

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/04 09:10

Yes, but that was in the wee hours of the am when few would see it. I tried to post this morning, and it was not allowed up. Perhaps they still think it was still a paid article.

I suspect you were not blocked because someone was asleep.

What do we do?

=====

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/04 09:39

As you hens continue to let yourselves get unhinged by the unhinged why don't you read Michael Reagan's article about McCain's nastiness as an individual and contempt for his fellow human beings and the truth, personally, I find it a much more compelling line of attack on McCain than attacks on his military record.

<http://www.newsmax.com/reagan/mccain/2008/02/01/69293.html>

My gradfather went down six times and had some hilarious stories to tell, my brother-in-law went down once he's not telling stories anymore. I give Johnny boy credit for getting back in the cockpit over and over obviously with his family connections he didn't have to, along this line, this is why I never gave attacks on W's military record any credence, so what if he used some family connection? He suited up, strapped in and took off. Both McCain and W can be trashed for legitimate reasons, I don't see questioning their physical courage as one of them.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/04 09:44

mwillhite-YOU SIR are a clear example of what is wrong with America. YOU SIR, are a threat to the stability of this country. I just checked the federal registry of mental illness and see you suffer condition 6660666. This is a bipolar condition where you, the ILL, fail to recognize (1) your role as the taxpayer and the silence this role requires. (2) You fail to recognize your governments role and authority to solve problems. Evidence of this condition is your obsession to propose questions. I have notified the appropriate authorities.

I am informed....

The federally mandated treatment has been digitally transferred to your care provider. Your appointment has been made for you and the time and date will be e-mailed to you.

Should you fail to appear and receive the requisite treatment your employer will be notified as well as your local police department and further federal investigation into your life will commence.

You need only your imagination to see where hillarycare will take us.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwillhite - 2008/02/04 10:08

I was wondering when someone was going to launch into the theatre of the absurd to get our point across. ROTFLMAO! You, my dear SIR just earned a karma DING!

=====

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/04 10:47

TPC,

Don't fall all over yourself defending Jack's credibility on FR. Post 34.

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1964129/posts>

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by lgstarr - 2008/02/04 12:50

Hanoi Hilton cellmate Jim Warner refutes these allegations against McCain here:
<http://modernconservative.com/blog/?id=1422&thickbox=1>

=====

Re:Clarification

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/04 13:12

Yes, it is as you say. Of course, one can always cut-and-paste the article contents into an e-mail instead of sending the url.

YES! I was pleasantly surprised (okay, shocked!) to see the McCain article as the lead article on the WorldNetDaily site this morning.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/04 13:27

ELECTION 2008

WorldNetDaily

Hillary suggests snatching wages

Says income could be garnisheed if people refuse to buy health insurance

--WND

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/04 13:51

All -- please review the following sites, each with quite salient information on the topic.

John Boland,
Kennewick, WA

<http://www.newsmax.com/reagan/mccain/2008/02/01/69293.html>

<http://modernconservative.com/blog/?id=1422&thickbox=1>

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/04 13:55

I need some help here. What does "ROTFLMAO!" mean?

John B

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilhite - 2008/02/04 14:22

rolling on the floor laughing my ass off

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilhite - 2008/02/04 14:30

Do you think that maybe he wasn't privy to everything? If you you were getting serviced by a couple of hookers as a POW, would you be so forthcoming to your cellmate as to tell him? Didn't think so.

=====

Banned material on McCain?

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/04 15:12

If McCain is innocent, they why is he so set on not releasing the debriefings of the POWs? Almost singlehandedly he has kept the records sealed. As a Senator has such power - and perhaps this explains a bit about his political aspirations.

I tried to post this following article at FR and found my posting privileges were suspended. The only crime I committed was to provide links to Jack's article. That's all.:huh:

Anyway, here is the link to the article on McCain's obstruction on POW MIA issues:

http://www.aiipowmia.com/sea/schanberg_mccain.html

I feel like the guy on Monthy Python's Holy Grail : "Help! Help! I'm being repressed!"

Is it so hard to believe McCain put on a show for his fellow captives? That would make sense for him to do that. His character is not so sterling.

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by chay - 2008/02/04 16:06

Speaking of Monty Python's Holy Grail --

Romney looks more and more like the dueling knight that, one by one, gets his limbs chopped off and keeps insisting " its only a flesh wound!"

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/04 16:07

They banned you for posting the McCain article? I thought TPC posted it?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/04 16:38

Gotcha -- Thanks

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by Kenny - 2008/02/04 16:51

To tbs3:

I guess we're in the same club. My posting privileges at FreeRepublic have been suspended for quite some time for ... well, I'm not really sure why. I think it was when I posted something about Bush and immigration.

I don't know what FR's criteria is as I wasn't obscene or vulgar or anything like that. I'm beginning to wonder about those guys.

++++

In General:

Who knows what the true story is on McCain as a prisoner. I don't know if, as a POW, he was a hero or a rat. Nothing would surprise me anymore.

But one thing I noticed and didn't like was the way McCain fell over himself trying to reconcile Vietnam with the U.S. on trade, etc. And I seem to recall at the time McCain tried to downplay the issue of the missing POWs so as to smooth over the normalization process. I always thought that was suspicious.

Anyway, the reason I'll never vote for McCain is his policy positions. To me, the man is a clear and present danger to the health of the republic. Plus, he's a screwball.

Most Republicans will fall behind McCain if he does well on Super Tuesday and even more will fall in line if McCain wins the nomination. I won't be one of them.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Vox Veritas - 2008/02/04 17:59

"What's The Point?"..

Very Good Jack, Very Good...

ROFL

Vox

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/04 17:59

The Pythonic Cow did post it in the wee hours. But I would guess he can't do it now. Apparently there was a change of policy during the day because an article excoriating Dr. Wheeler was allowed and I was blocked.

I wasn't anywhere near vulgar or even crude, let alone obscene. It was apparently a purely political decision to censor the hearing of the other side - Dr. Wheeler's side.

It was also up at WND in the wee hours under a slightly different name, but not up later. You can only find it if you do a search for it.

I am curious what success you would have - but don't want you to get suspended too.

Some people seem afraid to allow an attack on McCain's military record. Apparently questioning a "war hero's" credibility is forbidden. It reminds me of how the media didn't want Kerry's medals questioned.

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by marco - 2008/02/04 18:53

Ditto Kenny...DING

I find the man arrogant and unlikeable, hence I don't trust him and he is NO CONSERVATIVE!

Re:Pythonic Cow message

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 21:39

I tried to post this morning

If you tried to post it after I did, your post would have been canceled, because it was a duplicate of what I already posted.

I posted it at night, at 02/03/2008 2:25:04 AM CST.

As of shortly ago, at 02/04/2008 8:31:19 PM CST,

the discussion of that post was still active, with just under 200 posts on that thread so far. So anyone who wanted to see it had a chance, and it was on the active thread list for almost two days.

See further: <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1964129/posts>

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 21:52

I don't see questioning their physical courage as one of them.

His physical courage is not the question.

The issue for me on the military record isn't what he did while he was there. It's what he did since then, and continues to do. His military record, even by the most negative accounts was certainly more heroic than that of most people.

But since then he seems to have told a story that is far out of line with what happened, and gone to great lengths, including some efforts to shut down the POW/MIA efforts to return our forgotten soldiers, and continuing to this day running commercials that put his military record in what may be far more favourable light than it deserves.

These actions do reflect on his current integrity, which is certainly a fair topic of discussion.

That discussion does have to include discussing what happened then, so we can compare it with his portrayal of his

record since then, to see if they are too far out of line.

=====

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 22:08

Don't fall all over yourself defending Jack's credibility

I take it, good sir, that there was an element of sarcasm there?

True, my defense of Jack at that point was minimal.

Factually, I can't say much either way about Jack's credibility. I haven't known Jack long enough to really say.

But even if I could have defended Jack more strongly, I was better off not doing so.

Part of keeping a FreeRepublic thread healthy is respecting the opinion of others. I was responding to sharp criticism of Jack by others, and if I had made an equally sharp rebuttal defending Jack, a food fight would have ensued, distracting other readers from the more useful content.

Instead, the discussion continued on for another day, mostly on topic, with opinions either way. Far more people saw and considered the actual content of Jack's post this way, than if that thread had degenerated into a food fight.

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 22:35

I am curious what success you would have - but don't want you to get suspended too.

I won't be posting the same (or essentially the same) article twice on FreeRepublic, because they discourage duplicate posts.

I'm not particularly worried about being suspended or banned there. I have a good reputation of long standing. I'm sure that if the FR moderators ever had reason to be displeased with my conduct there, they would tell me and we'd work it out.

Some people seem afraid to allow an attack on McCain's military record. Apparently questioning a "war hero's" credibility is forbidden.

Jim Robinson gets to choose whom he allows on FreeRepublic, just as Jack Wheeler does here. Good forums such as these thrive in part because a strong leader has chosen a direction that a number of others find worthwhile. I see people come and go at FreeRepublic, sometimes with outrage at the seeming lack of justification for their suspension or banning. Sometimes the conjectured cause of the banning sounds a bit paranoid to me. I put little stock in such conjectures.

Such actions are just a necessary part of shaping a forum, keeping it healthy.

=====

Re:Banned material on McCain?

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/04 22:42

They banned you for posting the McCain article? I thought TPC posted it?

If I understand the earlier poster, his effort to post another article, dated April 2000, involving McCain and the POW/MIA controversy was blocked. I successfully posted Jack Wheeler's recent article on FreeRepublic.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by seagypsy - 2008/02/05 08:24

A man who was in the Hanoi prisons at the same time as McCain, James Warner has published a pretty through refutation to Jack Wheeler's article. Is Mr. Wheeler going to admit to publishing what is at best , disinformation from the Soviets, was dead wrong. I dislike McCain intensely for his positions on amnesty, capitalism, big nanny-state government and a host of others, but when you slam somebody you better have your facts straight.

=====

The illusion of real debate at FR

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/05 08:30

There was a thread at FR that was about the real John McCain. I commented a very short excerpt of Jack's article and then gave the link. I was totally polite and brief. It certainly was relevant to the thread.

I also posted a link to an internet graphic about Iran that was cool. See: <http://www.internettrafficreport.com/asia.htm>

Then I was suspended.

Any reasonable person would have to conclude one of those actions was responsible. The Iran one is innocuous. The short excerpt and a link to Jack's article must have been the cause.

Shortly thereafter there was a post from someone going on and on about what a horrible person Jack Wheeler was, and how McCain was an honorable man.

I was banned because I put the link to Jack's article in a comment. It is really obvious the FR monitors only wanted one side of that argument - and heavy handedly eliminated dissent. Even brief polite relevant dissent.

That says something about just how free the Free Republic is: The illusion of real debate - but only the information and subjects they want debated. Read FR with that knowledge.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/05 09:17

At the link below is "The War Secrets Sen. John McCain Hides". It is in-depth and fully supports Dr. Wheeler's original letter. It needs to be widely circulated.

John Boland
Kennewick, WA

http://www.aiipowmia.com/sea/schanberg_mccain.html

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jwroblewski - 2008/02/05 10:00

Wow ! I can't believe the tsunami of posting over this article! Talk about slamming a nerve.

I can only repeat my humble comment earlier, and that is to beware of faulty or misleading intel, and the manipulative creeps that may be behind it. It is possible that someone (even possibly some nasty element among the Democrats) is trying to use people, including TTPN, to further slimy ends. Maybe TTPN command and its network of well placed contacts should take the initiative and encourage the clarification of these allegations. If they are proven wrong, then it's hearty apologies to John McCain all round. If proven true, then of course McCain has lots to answer for.

To further the debate I bring to everyone's attention an article by James H. Warner in today's (February 5) web transmission of Front Page Mag (www.frontpagemag.com). Mr. Warner is a POW who was incarcerated in North Vietnam, and he flatly denies all the charges against McCain. How solid is his case? You be the judge. But when it comes to serious accusations of any kind surely the old maxim applies- "measure twice, cut once" :.unsure:

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/05 10:11

seagypsy wrote:

but when you slam somebody you better have your facts straight.

You would think. But I hear a lot of things I must vet myself, and weigh the information on. I'll take any info someone cares to put out. The information didn't change my mind on McCain. What changed my mind on him was his behavior the last 7 years. If it came to pass that he is the resurrection of Christ, he wouldn't get my vote. He's dead to me, and has been for a long time.

<http://www.tothepointnews.com/images/fbfiles/images/tombstone.jpg>

=====

Re:The illusion of real debate at FR

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/05 11:03

tbs3 wrote:

There was a thread at FR that was about the real John McCain. I commented a very short excerpt of Jack's article and then gave the link. I was totally polite and brief. It certainly was relevant to the thread.

I also posted a link to an internet graphic about Iran that was cool. See: <http://www.internettrafficreport.com/asia.htm>

Then I was suspended.

Any reasonable person would have to conclude one of those actions was responsible. The Iran one is innocuous. The short excerpt and a link to Jack's article must have been the cause.

Shortly thereafter there was a post from someone going on and on about what a horrible person Jack Wheeler was, and how McCain was an honorable man.

I was banned because I put the link to Jack's article in a comment. It is really obvious the FR monitors only wanted one side of that argument - and heavy handedly eliminated dissent. Even brief polite relevant dissent.

That says something about just how free the Free Republic is: The illusion of real debate - but only the information and subjects they want debated. Read FR with that knowledge.

Apparently it seems to be a trend over on that site.

Results 1 - 10 of about 14,800 for freerepublic censorship. (0.16 seconds)

<http://tinyurl.com/3xp5jn>

Site OP - apparently a Navy guy <http://www.psnw.com/~jimrob/resume.htm>

<http://www.tothepointnews.com/images/fbfiles/images/jimrobinson.jpg>

=====

Re:The illusion of real debate at FR

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/05 12:28

It's our government that (within narrow limits) is forbidden from practicing censorship.

Private groups have an unalienable right to free association, and are under no obligation to provide a forum for everyone. They are under no obligation to justify their choices of inclusion or exclusion in any court of law or public opinion.

No private forum, be it FT, TTP, or whatever, can long survive if it doesn't filter who can speak to them. Especially with forums dealing in controversial subjects of wide

interest on the web, where perhaps a billion people could access, that filtering has to be efficient and quick, hence sometimes ruthless.

I will allow as how I find the insults of FR on this subthread annoying. If that means that my account on TTP disappears, with or without explanation or courtesy, so be it. I'm just a newbie here, and perhaps I don't belong here, in the eyes of the owner of this forum. That's his call. So be it. I'll cuss a few obscenities at my screen and get on with my life.

I am a long standing member in good standing of a couple of public groups, FreeRepublic and lkml.org (Linux kernel hackers.) Both groups are quite successful, both groups have well known leaders (Jim Robinson and Linus Torvalds) and both groups have strong rules, in some form or other, as to what is encouraged, allowed, tolerated, discouraged, rejected, or rejected with extreme prejudice.

Good fences make good neighbors; strong borders guard strong nations; free association (including intentional disassociation) is needed for free and strong groups.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/05 12:34

beware of faulty or misleading intel

A worthy warning.

Re:The illusion of real debate at FR

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/05 12:54

I agree. And it states on their site they reserve the right to censor anything for any reason, or no reason. As it should be. I've given my share of the boot, including paying customers. Even fired a tech once for dissing Bush. Though that was probably illegal, so don't tell anyone. It wasn't solely that reason. Just the straw that broke the camel's back.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by seagypsy - 2008/02/05 13:10

Agreed, I long ago wrote off McCain about the time of McCain- Feingold. His oath to defend the Constitution means nothing when he doesn't know what's in it, like many of the republicans and all the Democrats.

Re:Will Ace McCain Flame Out Again?

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/05 13:12

ThePythonicCow wrote:

I don't see questioning their physical courage as one of them.

His physical courage is not the question.

It was in the two articles under discussion. I don't see how one can be successful questioning a man's integrity when the factual basis and context of that attack in the two articles lack integrity.

The issue for me on the military record isn't what he did while he was there. It's what he did since then, and continues to do. His military record, even by the most negative accounts was certainly more heroic than that of most people.

But since then he seems to have told a story that is far out of line with what happened, and gone to great lengths,

including some efforts to shut down the POW/MIA efforts to return our forgotten soldiers, and continuing to this day running commercials that put his military record in what may be far more favourable light than it deserves.

That's what I love about you Freepers you guys are so good at talking out of both sides of your mouth. Kudos for next post which explains how us FR exiles should have conducted ourselves in Lord Jim's realm.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/05 13:21

Julius,

I agree with you. We have some many other things to taint John McCain with, why bother with this double-edged sword of military service.

I have zero respect for John McCain politically, but as a Conservative and a veteran, I will always side with a man who as served his country (even if that service doesn't measure up to some people's expectations).

Most Conservatives has a reverence for the military and are reflexively repulsed when accusations are leveled against a veteran, let alone a combat vet who was a POW. I really don't believe it help's our cause with these folks and may actually damage us in the long run. Besides, we don't even know if any of this is true so why mess with it.

Let's train our sights on John McCain on issues we KNOW he is deficient in, like:

- 1) McCain/Feingold
- 2) McCain/Kennedy
- 3) McCain/Lieberman
- 4) Amnesty for Illegals
- 5) Wanting to close Gitmo
- 6) Trying Terrorists in American courts instead of military tribunals
- 7) Against Water boarding and "torture"
- 8) Keating Five
- 9) Opposing Bush tax cuts and not wanting to make them permanent
- 10) Support of the tobacco tax legislation and then trying to increase the burden to tobacco companies above what was sought by state attorney's general.

I can go on and on. This is the man's record and its fair game and better yet, part of the public record.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/05 13:37

Cephran said:

Besides, we don't even know if any of this is true so why mess with it.

Let's train our sights on John McCain on issues we KNOW he is deficient in

Ceph,

Exactly. I was less than excited when I saw this get outside TTP, I've recommended TTP to alot of people almost all conservatives and veterans, no fans of McCain, precisely for the reasons you outlined. Those reasons alone are enough to rally them to the barricades. Whatever happened to the old Cold War saying: "You can't always believe what a GRU officer tells you or his kid."

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by drrms - 2008/02/05 18:01

Thanks for the voices of moderation all. This article was troubling to me from the get go. Certainly its claims are immensely troubling but just as troubling is the unsubstantiated nature of the claims. We're supposed to base our decisions on third and fourth and references from someone known only as "T"?

Jack, obviously we all deeply respect you but this is the oasis for RATIONAL conservatives and you haven't given us much to hang our hat on here. Certainly your past credibility lends great weight to your claims but the doubting Thomases among us need some holes to put our fingers in.

For me cephran's list of McCain mis-votes is vastly more compelling than the third and fourth hand rumors of "T".

Sincerely,

Richard.

=====

Consistency

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/05 21:20

Some facts that nobody is likely to dispute:

He left his crippled wife after she waited for him all those years and married a rich woman.

He stabbed the GOP in the back on many occasions - gang of 14, McCain Feingold

Some would call it betrayal. Others may call it treason.

If he treats his own faithful wife that way, and treats his own party that way, how do you think he will treat America?

Why would he get the benefit of the doubt in matters of trust and treason?

Which is the lesser miracle:

That McCain did what Jack indicated he did? (Which is fully consistent with McCain's character and history since Vietnam.)

Or that he is a real hero and strangely and inconsistently also was the kind of person that would be such a treasonous backstabber toward the GOP and his wife?

Until further evidence comes in, it is wise to select the lesser miracle to believe in.

=====

Re:The illusion of real debate at FR

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/05 21:24

Thanks Jim. That made me feel better. I am not the only one, by far!

A thankful ping for your research!

=====

Re:Consistency

Posted by drrms - 2008/02/06 07:07

Thanks for the comments. Good points indeed.

What do you make of the article at FrontPageMag.com:

<http://www.frontpagemag.com/Articles/Read.aspx?GUID=2B781184-2E6A-4F82-BD3B-D42A8289317A>

It was supposedly authored by someone that was there with McCain as a PoW,

Richard.

=====

Re:Consistency

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/06 08:22

Thanks for the link. I had seen it.

Warner has a sterling reputation. I do not question his honesty and sincere belief in McCain - but I do question whether or not he was duped by McCain.

His assertion that it was hard for the Communists to keep secrets from the prisoners is absurd on the face of it. He, by definition, could not know any things that were successfully kept secret.

Furthermore, if McCain was accepting special favors because of his being the son and grandson of an Admiral, it would be something McCain would have kept secret.

Warner admits they were all put in solitary, and he could not know what happened to McCain in solitary.

When people are in a very bad situation, they sometimes will imagine they have more power than they do, just to keep the stress of being out of control at bay. I find it hard to believe that merely staring at the captors made them cry. These POWs were under great stress, and may have been deluding themselves to survive psychologically.

I respect Mr. Warner's and his fellow returnees courage and strength under those circumstances, but that still does not make them supermen, or necessarily reliable witnesses. That is why the full POW debriefing records are important.

Remember, McCain has used his position as senator to have the POW debriefing records sealed. What happened to the ones who were not duped? Did they ever come back alive? Just what is in those records? Did he need to become a senator to keep them sealed? Kerry used his position as a senator to keep his records sealed too.

=====

Re:Consistency

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/06 08:54

DING! Great points tbs3.

As I was reading Warner's article yesterday, I was thinking some of the same things....even wincing at his "successfully kept secret" comment.

Who wouldn't be suspicious when Senatorial power is used to seal a record that one would naturally think would be a positive?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jwroblewski - 2008/02/06 09:17

Dear Mr. Cephra,

Your list of John McCain's legislative sins is interesting, but one big disagreement here. His being against "waterboarding and torture" comes from an ex-POW who knows the horror of such mistreatment all too well. I would call that a principled stance.

By the way, do you remember Jack Wheeler's article in which he mentioned selective nutrient deprivation as a harmless way to soften up a prisoner for interrogation? I don't know if the science of that is solid or just speculative, but it sure sounds a lot better than a Medieval outrage like waterboarding. On this point I think that Dr. Jack and Old Grumpy Pants

McCain would see eye to eye.B)

Re:Consistency a nit

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/06 09:49

Very good post.

The POW debriefings have been sealed. McCain was behind that effort.

Here's the nit.

Kerry refuses to sign his Form 180. He is not obligated to do so. There is no law that he can hide behind. His refusal gave the Swift Boat Vets greater credence.

McCain has refused (to the best of my knowledge) to sign his Form 180. It will not include the debriefings but it will give light as to how this "Ace" recieved so many medals and promotions. It should contain fitness reports and other evaluations.

Like I posted elsewhere, if Romney pushes this button, McCain will unleash his anger and implode.

Re:Consistency

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 09:57

I find it hard to believe that merely staring at the captors made them cry.

Why? It wasn't like he was claiming that all the guards ran away in tears. He's claiming a small victory in capitivity. In your fervor to go after McCain you're really sinking pretty low.

These POWs were under great stress, and may have been deluding themselves to survive psychologically.

I respect Mr. Warner's and his fellow returnees courage and strength under those circumstances, but that still does not make them supermen, or necessarily reliable witnesses.

Low.....

Go after McCain on the POW/MIA issue, but try not to take out his fellow POWS as collateral casualties.

Hero Worship

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/06 13:42

Thanks eddd7. Some people seem to be falling all over themselves to ignore the logic and evidence. I am glad you can see it too.

How dare I cite evidence and logic against a war hero! :ohmy: They don't call it Hero Worship for nothing.

Spartan, Thanks for your refreshing idea. Wouldn't it be wonderful if Romney pushed that POW records button because McCain would almost certainly explode. I am still hoping that the maverick will get even more cocky now he is the leader, and do something really stupid.

If Romney does it soon, then he has a chance. If he doesn't we can count on Hillary to do it for him later. :unsure:

Capo: Its never low to tell the truth. It is only low to ignore the truth. B)

Furthermore, you would go farther if you attacked my logic or evidence instead of me. Pretty low of you.:laugh:

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/06 14:13

We've done waterboarding to our own special forces guys in training, and they KNEW they weren't going to be allowed to be harmed. Yet, they caved muy pronto because of the psychological affect, not from physical pain or physical harm.

If we had a high profile muslim militant, and it was possible for his knowledge to save a city, or airliner, or even one American, and I was in charge of the interrogation, my only question would be: do we make the water cold? Or warm.

Islam is a death cult....they worship death. The koran teaches that the only sure way to heaven is to die in jihad. If that's what they want, let's hook em up. Why stand in their way.

=====

Re:Hero Worship

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 14:53

Capo: Its never low to tell the truth. It is only low to ignore the truth.

Furthermore, you would go farther if you attacked my logic or evidence instead of me. Pretty low of you.

tbs3,

First, I did attack your logic and misrepresentation of the testimony presented. Read first comment again. Maybe I should've attacked your reading comprehension skills as well.:)

Attacking the veracity of a POW who was there, both his anecdote and his take on this issue, seems to me a low blow, especially since your target is McCain, not Mr. Warner. Maybe, if you put a little bit more time and effort into the logic of your argument, you would hit your target and not an honorable former POW, who earned a right to put his two cents into the debate about McCain.,

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/06 17:32

Boys now listen up, you are fighting a battle which is not even worth fighting. If ever there was a story which will fall on deaf ears, this is it. It was 40 years ago and treason is about as interesting to the public as the federalist papers.

Air it all and wait for the big collective yawn. Muffins damnit, muffins are what the public wants, not truth. Actually the way argument is progressing here on this thread is exactly how it will play in the public if they are even interested.

A McCain win is after all a victory for the left. How do you think the media will respond to it? There will be no swift boat veterans this time.

ps watch out for el antagonista grande Capo he is not afraid to get to the naked truth. I have proof-a photo obtained by Mexican spies of Capo on holiday....

http://www.joe-ks.com/archives_may2001/MexicanSunscreen.jpg
MexicanSunscreen.jpg (JPEG Image, 500x307 pixels)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by johnwss - 2008/02/06 18:01

"Boys now listen up, you are fighting a battle which is not even worth fighting. If ever there was a story which will fall on deaf ears, this is it. It was 40 years ago and treason is about as interesting to the public as the federalist papers."

atvjim, as always, has hit the nail squarely on the head! DINGG!!!!!! And the Mexican Sunscreen was a nice bonus!

Still, it was great reading all these wonderful opinions, many of them backed up by evidence.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Kenny - 2008/02/06 18:11

Eddd7 wrote, "If we had a high profile muslim militant, and it was possible for his knowledge to save a city, or airliner, or even one American, and I was in charge of the interrogation, my only question would be: do we make the water cold? Or warm"

Exactly.

But the fact that this is even debatable, the fact that the leading presidential candidates along with the majority of what passes as our elite would be against such behavior, might be a sign that we as a society are tierd of living.

I have no doubt that many of these these damn elite fools think that, if an American city has to be lost so they could stay "pure," then so be it.

This is not much different, really, than the cavalier attitude that liberal judges have in letting out murderers and rapist. But note well: Who are the victims of these released criminal? Seldom if ever is it the elite or their families. No, it is always the middle class or the poor who bear the brunt of such lax justice.

The presidential candidates may strike us as clowns or worse, but the fact is they represent the leading forces of our country. If they are boo-hooing over something as trivial as water-boarding in a time of war, I fear for America's will to fight.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 18:23

Hey Muffin Man,

The Mexicans haven't made a sombrero big enough. Keep the pictures of the illegals you bring along on your jaunts to the Dominican Republic to yourself.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by marco - 2008/02/06 20:18

Have been in South West Florida for two days and the radio reported that a very large Al Quedha web site was taken down today. It had 4 million hits and was located two floors beneath the DA's office in Tampa.

They are planning another hit. The bullshit surrounding current political campaign pales in comparison.

Capo I heard that the lower sombrero was a bit large:-)

=====

Re:Response needed from Jack! MY 2 CENTS

Posted by marco - 2008/02/06 20:30

Here is your DING! Van, went to add a karma ding a few days ago and stupidly hit the negative karma,

Emailed my man Ceph and he added the ding back. Well here it finally is Van:-)

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 20:45

Marco,

Only the Muffin Man knows for sure, he obviously goes online where no TTPer, hopefully, has gone before.

=====

Common sense

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/06 20:46

OK Capo, I reread it a couple more times. Explain to me how "Low" disputes either the facts or the logic. All "Low" indicates is your condemnation. It is attacking the messenger for lack of means to fight the logic.

Its just common sense. You still have not disputed any of the logic or facts.

Mr. Warner is a great man, but even a great man can be duped by someone like McCain. Heck! McCain has duped a large portion of the electorate. He has had a lot of practice and become very good at it.

=====

The Ultimate Question

Posted by tbs3 - 2008/02/06 20:58

Also consider this:

If a man freely betrays his wife or his party under normal circumstances as McCain has, what will that same man betray under pressure in Hanoi?

His country? His comrades in arms? His wife?

A weak man would only be more likely to betray his people under harsher conditions.

Capo, it is up to you to prove to me that such a morally weak man as McCain was a paragon of strength and virtue in Hanoi.

By what miracle could he be strong there and so weak here? Rubbish.

Jack's report is consistent. Hero worship of John McCain is not.

=====

Re:Common sense

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 21:10

tbs3,

"First" comment as in the number one. "Why?....

Did you really need to discredit or call into question Mr Warner's and the other former POW's ability to accurately remember what they experienced? Rant about Mad John McCain all you want, but you look silly and ridiculous when you waste your time psyc-analyzing a perfectly plausible account by a person who was THERE. Go grab yourself a couple of sombreros from the Muffin Man, maybe you can make it into his Sombrero Calendar.

(sorry guys for the re-editing my eyes are getting tired, this stupid sombrero keeps slipping down):)

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/06 21:55

tbs3,

Okay was it the Muffin Man or "T" who filled you in about my secret shrine to John McCain in the down stairs bathroom?:(

Give me a break, so Randy "Duke" Cunningham really wasn't an Ace in Nam? Come on DC is full of guys who put in their dues honorably in the military and then later screw up in family life, business, and politics.

Do you know anything about pilots, especially guys flying off Carriers and the 'toll' it takes on married life and family? I was just talking to my cousin today who flew B52s over Hanoi right before Johnny Boy was released, he's ticked at McCain for never saying thanks, according to him they lost a lot of men during those raids. He's on his 4th wife, he has about 30 years on her.

Lighten up tbs3, I'm an historian by training and no matter how much you want Jack's article to be true, it probably ain't.

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/06 22:26

You remind me of the John Candy character, Del Griffith, from the movie, Planes, Trains, and Automobiles.

In the movie, Griffith loses control of his vehicle and ends up going the wrong way on the Interstate. Another driver tells Griffith that he "is going the wrong way". Griffith's reply was classic; "How does he know where we're going?".

I hate to tell this to you but you are going the wrong way. Warner's account can be scrutinized and picked apart. This does not make him a bad person in the least and I don't think that tbs3 ever implied he was anything less than honorable.

People get on the stand each day and tell the truth. But is it the whole truth? Does Warner actually know the whole truth?

Remember, the premise allegation was that McCain was in an apartment while everyone thought he was in solitary. If McCain was in solitary, how could anyone have seen him daily?

Warner only repeated what he thought was true. The possibility that McCain was anywhere else would be anathema to all he saw and believed. After all, people believe in mirages.

In legal terms, Warner would be subject to cross-examination.

One other thing, just because none of us have been in a POW camp doesn't mean we can't ask tough questions. Being a POW does not give them a shield from answering questions. It does not give them absolute moral authority from questioning. See McCain and Waterboarding. See Cindy Sheehan. See the Jersey Gals.

Hey Capo Capeesh?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 03:13

Julius,

I must disagree with your assessment that water boarding is torture.

As Eddd7 so eloquently put it: We've done waterboarding to our own special forces guys in training, and they KNEW they weren't going to be allowed to be harmed. Yet, they caved muy pronto because of the psychological affect, not from physical pain or physical harm.

Water boarding is hardly torture. To prove it, Steve Harrigan from Fox News agreed to go thru the procedure on live TV. After watching this it only further reinforced in my mind that water boarding is ANYTHING BUT torture.

BTW, Steve thought so too!

Julius you wrote:

His {McCain} being against "waterboarding and torture" comes from an ex-POW who knows the horror of such mistreatment all too well. I would call that a principled stance.

do you remember Jack Wheeler's article in which he mentioned selective nutrient deprivation as a harmless way to soften up a prisoner for interrogation? I don't know if the science of that is solid or just speculative, but it sure sounds a lot better than a Medieval outrage like waterboarding.

I think comparing water boarding to the true medieval torture that McCain and other POW's received at the hands of the North Vietnamese is intellectually dishonest. Water boarding is much more humane than anything our boys endured and to compare the two in the same sentence is silly and shows a complete misunderstanding of what you're attempting to evaluate.

Besides, I really don't think that a person who has experienced the trauma of being tortured as a POW is an objective resource for the evaluation of water boarding or any other technique for extracting information from a hostile enemy. He is just too close to the issue and therefore cannot be expected to be objective.

Why do you think police officers don't investigate murder cases involving family or friends? Because they can't be objective in evaluating the facts and this applies to McCain and other POW's on this matter

On this point I think that Dr. Jack and Old Grumpy Pants McCain would see eye to eye.

You always have great points to make Julius, but you're all wet (all pun intended) on this water boarding issue. I highly doubt Jack would support your position that water boarding is torture.

Kenny wrote:

But the fact that this is even debatable, the fact that the leading presidential candidates along with the majority of what passes as our elite would be against such behavior, might be a sign that we as a society are tierd of living.

I have no doubt that many of these these damn elite fools think that, if an American city has to be lost so they could stay "pure," then so be it.

If they are boo-hooing over something as trivial as water-boarding in a time of war, I fear for America's will to fight.

Priceless Kenny and so appropriate to the discussion because it's the natural progression of events when we're more concerned (as a society) about the well being of hell-bent murderers than we are ourselves

Pay attention to this Julius because what Kenny says also applies to Canada.

Eddd7 wrote:

If we had a high profile muslim militant, and it was possible for his knowledge to save a city, or airliner, or even one American, and I was in charge of the interrogation, my only question would be: do we make the water cold? Or warm.

Dude, I LOVE YOU MAN!

We must be brothers from another mother because this is exactly what I'm thinking. Karma ding for you!

BTW, I'm halfway thru "The Magnificent Bastards" and it is an awesome book. Please tell me again which unit you were attached to as a Navy Corpsman.

Thanks for the recommendation.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 03:24

ps watch out for el antagonista grande Capo he is not afraid to get to the naked truth. I have proof-a photo obtained by

Mexican spies of Capo on holiday....

LOL :laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

I think Capo may argue that the hats should be reversed! :laugh:

Update: I posted this before reading Capo's response. I was right. Capo, being from NY, naturally would tell you that isn't a sombrero in Mexico big enough to house his package. :laugh:

You guys have me busting a gut! :silly:

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 03:39

Capo and tbs3, please let me jump and make a ruling. After all, I am the Don of this family! :laugh:

I think I may have to side with Capo on this debate but for one reason only.

I believe we have PLENTY of other ammunition to attack John McCain with without resorting to his military service.

It just doesn't make sense to dredge up all these things that may or may not have happened when the guy wasn't even in Congress.

Why can't we just stick to his record as a politician? We have over twenty years of data. If we can't nail him for his political transgressions, then we have only ourselves to blame. Going after his military service is bullshit and counterproductive and will hardly win us more converts. If anything, it may galvanize support for the guy.

I'm not engaging in "hero worship" either. I'm just trying to point out how foolish and unproductive it is to try and bring this kind of unsubstantiated information into a debate about facts.

There isn't a person on this website that has more contempt for John McCain than I do. Living in Phoenix, I have seen his treachery up close and personal.

I'm convinced he is unfit for office but my opinions are based on his political life and actions, not his military background.

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/07 04:43

Yeah Spartan,

You keep cuddling up to tbs3 there, you'll be talking sports before you know it.

Your account councillor of solitary confinement in the Hanoi Hilton jives with nothing that I have heard from residents or read. In addition to eyes we have these things called ears attached to our heads. Try using the grey matter in between those appendages and imagine a court room where auditory evidence is admissable as well as visual.

Despite what my 3 lawyer brothers and you delude yourselves into thinking, most lawyers are simply washed out history majors and wannabe Gibbons. Their work product? Gibbons alright, small g.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/07 06:13

Antagonista grande Capo your making my wheels fly off! Not all washed out History majors wind up in the gutter as lawyers. This washed out History major has a Swimming Pool Business.

To quote a friend: "lawyers are termites in the rafters of society."

Capo in the off season I am a tour guide here is a photo of me leading some people....

<http://www.veiled-chameleon.com/weblog/httpdocs/images/blogcontent/undocumented-migrants.jpg>
undocumented-migrants.jpg (JPEG Image, 450x350 pixels)

C'mon fellas waterboarding IS torture, that is of course if you know what the meaning of IS IS. Waterboarding is a kinder, gentler form of torture. It is compassionate torture.

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question

Posted by marco - 2008/02/07 06:31

Well said Ceph, I'm going to miss you in Sarasota man!

You know you will be in my thoughts:-)

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo-response

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/07 07:19

Was there any logic to go along with your emotion?

I understand that you are the smartest man on this board. You are the only person who can tell us what is real and what is Memorex. You can tell us who was in solitary and who wasn't. You must have x-ray vision. We are not in the presence of mere greatness, you are Superman!!

As for hearing, I can see this exchange:

Cross-Did you see McCain?

A-No, I heard him?

Cross-How do you know it was McCain?

A-My audio works as well as my eyes.

Cross-How do you know it wasn't someone else?

A-Dammit man, I am a POW not superman.

There is a lot to hang McCain for in spite of these allegations. Just like there was a lot to hang Kerry for. When the Swift Boat Vets came out against Kerry, did you believe them? Why? Or did you believe the members of Kerry's crew who defended Kerry?

Now, as to the reference to Gibbon or Gibbons. One wrote a great book on the rise and fall of the Roman Empire. The latter is a historian for the Crapper Society. (Dude, watch out for that flushing sound)

Remember, politics ain't beanbag.

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 07:39

Thanks buddy. I'll be there in spirit and maybe on conference call. It's killing me not being there.

Have a nice glass of red for me Marco!

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/07 07:40

Echo Company, 2/4 Second battalion, 4th Marines....under Captain Livingston.

Thanks for the love! Say hi to mom!

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 07:41

atvjim,

Are those guys in the picture your illegal mexican help that you've hired for your pool business? :P

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question advice

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/07 07:45

As consigliere, heed my advice.

This board started out with the proposition--How The Clintons Will Destroy McCain. It has spun hysterically out of control as to whether the allegations are true or not true. Whether hearing is better evidence than sight.

The point most everyone seems to miss is this.

There are enough conservatives who have reason to NOT vote for McCain. They may even hold their nose and vote for him in November. If this allegation is put into mainstream thought, how many people will not vote for McCain? Remember, it is about the Clintons winning by any means necessary including vote suppression. The Clintons can not win on the merits, ideas, or initiatives.

The backlash would be greater losses in the House and Senate as the GOP faithful and Conservatives stay home. If you loved the 06 results, you ain't seen nothing yet.

It is about getting ahead of the story before it is released. McCain, at this point, has no "coattails". This allegation will leave him naked. Not good for the US of A.

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo-response

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 07:57

Capo wrote:

You keep cuddling up to tbs3 there, you'll be talking sports before you know it.

Spartan wrote:

I understand that you are the smartest man on this board.

We are not in the presence of mere greatness, you are Superman!

LOL! :laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

You guys have some great one-liners flying. I'm enjoying this food fight.

Spartan wrote:

Now, as to the reference to Gibbon or Gibbons. One wrote a great book on the rise and fall of the Roman Empire. The latter is a historian for the Crapper Society. (Dude, watch out for that flushing sound)

For the record, Gibbons are also a family of Apes.

Gibbons are small, arboreal apes distributed in the wild in the tropical and subtropical rainforests of Southeast, South, and East Asia

The family unit occupies a territory, and they defend its boundaries by a vigorous vocal and visual display.

And here I thought you two were a couple of peacocks with your vigorous vocal and visual display. :laugh:

Sorry, couldn't resist sticking my nose in this donnybrook.

:whistle:

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/07 07:57

Yo Cephran,

Um, there may actually be a person on this site who has more contempt for McCain than you.

By the way, I answered one of your posts.....somewhat above, about what unit I was with, etc.

One person we haven't heard from for awhile on all this is what's-his-nameJACK! There's been a lot of back-and-forth.....but where's Waldo.....um, I mean Jack?????

For what it's worth.....I'm finding tbs3's points reflect my thoughts on McCain more than capo's. But, it's certainly true that McCain's voting record and his love for all things Democrat are where the focus should be, not his war record.

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question advice

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 08:18

As consigliere, heed my advice.

You are definitely consigliere, but are you a wartime consigliere?

This board started out with the proposition--How The Clintons Will Destroy McCain. It has spun hysterically out of control as to whether the allegations are true or not true.

If this allegation is put into mainstream thought, how many people will not vote for McCain?

Remember, it is about the Clintons winning by any means necessary including vote suppression. The Clintons can not win on the merits, ideas, or initiatives.

When we Conservatives put this stuff forward, it sounds like we can't win on merits, ideas or initiatives. We look like sore losers because McCain (scumbag Liberal that he is) won the nomination over Conservative consternation.

Let' stay above the fray and allow the Clinton machine to be the party of dirty politics. When we descend to the gutter with them, we become more like them. As Rush puts it; when you argue with a fool, no one can tell the difference between you and the fool.

So as Don of this family, my ruling stands that wartime muscle, Capo, gets the nod over lawyer and Consigliere, Spartan. On this one argument only!

For the record, I would rather have actual Liberals like Saddam Hussein Obama pushing tax increases, global warming and illegal immigration rather than a scumbag, traitor cross-dressing Liberal RINO like McCain. At least we can keep the pencil neck Obama to one term when his governing style becomes known and we preserve ourselves as a political party.

I may even vote for Insane Obama over McCain for that very reason or at least write in Spartan for president.

Hillary, on the other hand, must be stopped at all costs.

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo-response

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/07 08:20

I know that gibbons are apes. I couldn't resist taking a shot at Capo's history references.

BTW, I was trying to sneak down for the Rendezvous. My mother-in-law has recently been diagnosed with stage 4 cancer.(lungs and liver)

I don't think having a good time while my wife takes care of her mom was in my best interests.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/07 08:20

The issue is way too complicated for a talking points public. The issue has no "gravitas" and the issue has no bearing on "the children". McCain I think is also a darling child of the MSM. It just may be McCain himself who calms you guys down. In today's news McCain has suggested conservatives "calm down" and he is reaching out to you guys.

Boys McCain himself says "calm down"....<http://winnipegssun.com/News/World/2008/02/07/4831700-sun.html>
winnipegssun.com - World - 'Calm down,' McCain tells foes

As far as this election goes I find myself headed to one conclusion. I copied and pasted my own response from another thread at this site.

My own thoughts from the outside of boxes have been leading me to one conclusion. If McCain or Romney become the nominee I cannot support either. With either one both Conservatives and Evangelicals come up empty handed. McCain or Romney would be more of a victory for the left than for Conservatism. Conservatives have no future with either McCain or Romney therefore we must consider our future and how we can best impact it through this election. The only conclusion is conservatives must draw a line in the sand now and this can be best accomplished by boycott. The value of both Evangelicals and Conservatives may only be understood by our absence. If millions and millions of conservative votes sit home there may be men in the future who will appeal to us to get up and vote for them. If there are noticeably fewer "Republicans" voting in November we may just be noticed and maybe valued.

We supported "democracy" and we supported the "election process" twice with GWB. It is time we support it ONLY when we get what we want as Conservatives. Our voices are going unheard and now it may only be through our silence we are heard.

=====

Re:Common sense for Capo-response

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 08:23

Spartan,

Sorry to hear about that. It makes sense to have family around to comfort her at this time.

Maybe you and I can conference in to Sarasota since I'm not gonna be there either.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by cephran - 2008/02/07 08:27

We supported "democracy" and we supported the "election process" twice with GWB. It is time we support it ONLY when we get what we want as Conservatives. Our voices are going unheard and now it may only be through our silence we are heard.

I may just have to agree with you on this Jimbo.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/07 09:37

cephran wrote:
atvjim,

Are those guys in the picture your illegal mexican help that you've hired for your pool business? :P

Ceph,
That's the Marriot in Jerzy City that Jimbo and his crew put in and are relaxing in.

Re:Common sense for Capo-response

Posted by Capo - 2008/02/07 09:54

b]spartan wrote:

You must have x-ray vision. We are not in the presence of mere greatness, you are Superman!!

Well I guess my work here is done.:)

Now, as to the reference to Gibbon or Gibbons. One wrote a great book on the rise and fall of the Roman Empire. The latter is a historian for the Crapper Society. (Dude, watch out for that flushing sound)

Plural was correct form for sentence. Remember I'm now recognized as the smartest man on this forum! Muffin Man get the board ready I may have some work for you and your amigos to do. And like I said small 'g' as in the apes.

Remember, politics ain't beanbag.

Yeah and lawyers should stick to chasing ambulances and pissin on the rest of us, not writing history.

Re:The Ultimate Question advice

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/07 10:04

Let me appeal with some unvarnished truths.

The GOP is the political party vehicle that Conservatives use to drive their ideas. Do not make the mistake that the GOP equals conservatism. Unfortunately, many people do not make that distinction. This is why we as Conservatives have to defend, protect, and define conservatism.

It has been insulting to conservatives to see what W has done over the last 8 years and still W claims to be conservative. W has squandered many golden opportunities and somehow it will be judged to be the fault of conservatives. John McCain now tells us he is a conservative. What are we to believe; our hearing(that's for you Capo) or our lying eyes. As the oath of the Constitution demands that we defend the Constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic, we must defend conservatism from all enemies, seen and unseen. Capo worries that the allegations are not true. He should worry just about the allegation itself. Thus, the reference to Swift Boat Vets Against Kerry. Make no mistake this is about voter repression which you must address at some point in your analysis.

If you take Capo's advice over mine, you may end up decapitated like the horse.:laugh: :laugh: :S
I will have to figure who is Moe Greene or Fredo.:blush: :blush: :blush:

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Stauvo - 2008/02/07 11:22

Spartan,

I will keep you and your family in my thoughts and prayers.

I lost my dad to stage 4 lung & liver cancer in 2005.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/07 11:40

cephran, I am flailing for ideas and my idea of conservatives boycotting this election is flawed. A boycott is only effective if large numbers of conservatives participate. I suppose if large numbers of conservatives could organize they could also participate and would now have a real conservative in the process.

After a Republican Presidency, House, Senate and good economy we have fallen very far. As it stands at the moment conservatives can only wait for unforeseen events. We are now all a reactionary bunch.

Hey, at least it is all a very interesting election year.

Antagonista Grande Capo, Si senior ellos son mi amigos.

Capo here is a photo of me there fearless leader....

http://houstonist.com/attachments/houston_jason/20070803_sombrero.jpg
20070803_sombrero.jpg (JPEG Image, 300x322 pixels)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/07 12:00

I don't think there will be any boycott. In the end he may lose 10% support from Republicans. But I also think to win in 08, he needed that 10% plus a few more. The quicker we can all get used to saying Madaam President, the easier it will be on you later :kiss:

Whether that will only be 10% has a lot to do with whether or not he's booed off the stage at cpac in about 1 minute.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Kenny - 2008/02/07 12:09

The odds are good that the Democrats will win in November no matter who they nominate. The country has been trending Left for sometime (2006 Dem election sweep is but one example), the average person is sick & tired of Bush and the GOP, and there is massive confusion & doubt in the GOP coalition that is not going to disappear by November.

So in these circumstances, why should conservative back a loser like McCain who isn't one of us anyway?

Why line up like Pickett's rebels and charge a fortified position only to set shot down? Hey, I have as soft a spot for lost cause as anyone, but let's not be totally foolish.

Making a commitment to elect McCain and doing all what that entails takes time, energy, and emotion. So I say, why burn yourself out for McCain who, if elected, is just looking for an excuse to execute his illegal amnesty plan? Save your energy for conservatives Republicans further down the ticket and for 2010 and 2012. Don't squander it on McCain; he's not worth it.

On side issue: I have a confession to make. It seems I find myself anxiously waiting for Fridays to come so I can get the

latest To The Point News issue. Anyone else experiencing that feeling.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilwhite - 2008/02/07 12:28

Well, now that our worst fears have been confirmed and John McCain looks like a shoe-in for the Republican nomination for the Presidency of the United States, what the hell do we do as Conservatives?

Some want us to roll over and play dead, allowing the demoncrats to take over and totally ruin the country beyond all repair. That is not a very brilliant option, so let's can that one. There is no way we can sit this one out. One day, let alone 4-8 years of Hitlerly or the Obamanation is not in our country's best interests. They mean well, but liberals always mean well; they just don't do well. What you do is vastly more important than what you say.

It is way too late to create a viable third party, garner enough support and elect a Conservative to the Presidency. What this primary election season is proving beyond all doubt is that Americans talk a good Conservative game, but in reality are not all that conservative, especially the youngest. The old saw: if you don't stand for something, you'll fall for anything is being proven on a daily basis by all the mindless numbskulls that swoon for the vacuous pronouncements of that quintessential empty suit, the charismatic Obamanation. All he can do is call for change. What change? Change is not always good. If you are the picture of health, do you really seek a change in your health? If you have a healthy bank account, do you want a sickly one? See how silly his mantra is? Plus, he never actually tells us what changes he will make.

Pragmatism seems to be the elixir of the day. We must do everything we can to get a Republican elected, even if we have to hold our noses while we vote. Where we must concentrate is getting the right man in the No. Two position. Chances are that if MccCain is our next president, he will only seve a single term. His VP needs to be someone who will reaffirm the Conservative principles of the Republican party in his run for the Presidency in 2012. Mitt Romney or Newt Gingrich seem to fit that bill. Either of them have solid conservative credentials, which will serve them well 4 years hence. We need to have a long-term view and not think this election is the be all end all.

What do you all (Sorry, I'm a Texan) think?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/07 12:32

Interesting that you mention Newt. I've seen at least one article talking about drafting Newt.

Newt says "no", but as Dick Morris points out, in politics, "no" doesn't always mean "no".

=====

Re:The Ultimate Question advice

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/07 12:38

Hillary, on the other hand, must be stopped at all costs.
Obama too, in my estimation, must be stopped.

While Hillary is certainly both more corrupt (** that's ** what her 35 years of experience refers to!) and more of a pain, Obama is if anything more purely Leftist. Obama is less calculating than Hillary, who might temper her Leftism for political expediency. Obama would leave another three or so judges on the Supreme Court who are on the far left, and would set us back eight years in the war against Islamo-Fascism.

My calculus is a bit different, as usual for me. If the election is not yet clearly decided, in my estimation and if the state I vote from is in play, then I must vote for McCain, as much as I dislike him and the anti-right horse he rode in on. Otherwise I cast a conservative protest vote for some else.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/07 12:44

Kenny,

YES! Fridays can't come soon enough.....for several reasons, but mostly, because I hope to get a dose of optimism from a TTP article.

Now, with Romney out, and McNasty as the last guy standing, I think of Dr. Dobson's statement that he won't vote for the first time.....

Someone quipped: How bad is it that we wish for 5/7 of our life to go by quickly just so we can get to the weekend?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by spartan - 2008/02/07 12:57

No way on Newt. Way too polarizing and will not put any states in play.
The guy to keep your eye on in 2012 or 2016. Bobby Jindal, the Governor of LA.

Right now, I hope McCain is smart enough to get the right VP.

This is reply #191(thanks Ed 2-7 13:54) on this thread. This has to be the longest thread in TTP history

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by eddd7 - 2008/02/07 13:24

"Right now, I hope McCain is smart enough to get the right VP."

Who will be.....who?

One heartbeat away.....so they say.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/07 13:28

Forgive my stupidity, but is that how it works? Articles are released here on Friday? I thought they just came out whenever.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/07 15:53

McCain's VP = Mitt or Fred

What about hillary's VP?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilHITE - 2008/02/07 16:56

McCain VP = Fred or Mitt or Haley Barber

- " Sec. Def. = Duncan Hunter
 - " Sec. State = _____
 - " Sec. Treasury = _____
 - " Sec. Commerce = _____
 - " Sec. Education = Nobody- eliminate the department
 - " Sec. Energy = Nobody- eliminate the department
- You fill in the blanks

RE Hitlery's VP- if she's smart, the Obamanation.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/07 20:55

mwilHITE agreed the "Obamanation".

I figured McCain to cut a deal with Romney. After today's unexpected appeal to Conservatives he would do well be more convincing and choose Fred. He could go further and appeal to both Conservatives and Evangelicals and choose Duncan Hunter. The "Maverick" I think enjoys keeping us guessing and questioning. His unpredictability could go far in causing our enemies to pause before they get stupid.

At any rate this election is indeed a hoot to witness. Conservatives after controlling all of Washington have so quickly been reduced to a reactionary bunch-we can now only respond as unforeseen events dictate our choices.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/07 21:11

I'd welcome a Thompson VP choice. Been sitting on 50 shares of that for a while.:lol:

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ella - 2008/02/09 16:51

Turns out Huma is a Moslem who grew up in Saudi Arabia and is strongly suspected of working for Saudi intelligence.

Her family also has a strong association with al Qaeda...

Moslem's appear to go to great links to infiltrate sensitive power players even to the degree of committing an offense against their religion that has a death sentence connected to it. Like being a lezbo lover...to PIAPS....:laugh:

So much for being sold out to one's faith. What a farce....:dry:

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by ThePythonicCow - 2008/02/09 19:49

ella -- you quoted this about Huma:

Turns out Huma is a Moslem who ...

I'm curious. Where did you quote that from? The (large and growing) tin-foil hat lobe of my brain is quite willing to believe all that, but I was looking to see what evidence of this was public. However I can not find any clues in your post as to

where you were QUOTE'ing from.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by Igstarr - 2008/02/10 23:58

Well, there is an update to the original post that includes the following:

In our post on this, we also said that if this article were untrue, its author, Dr. Jack Wheeler, should be shunned from public discourse. Well, it's untrue.

Unless he has a damn good explanation for why he wrote this...or he is completely and abjectly apologetic, he really should never be taken seriously ever again.

<http://modernconservative.com/blog/?id=1422&thickbox=1>

I hate to see Jack left with this hanging out there unanswered! Seems they've "spread the word" about him as they said further down in the post.

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/11 06:41

mwilhite wrote:

McCain VP = Fred or Mitt or Haley Barber

" Sec. Def. = Duncan Hunter

" Sec. State = _John Bolton*__

" Sec. Treasury = _____

" Sec. Commerce = _____

" Sec. Education = Nobody- eliminate the department

" Sec. Energy = Nobody- eliminate the department

You fill in the blanks

RE Hitlery's VP- if she's smart, the Obamanation.

*Appointed Vice President should anything happen to the actual Vice President. :laugh:

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by richnaylor - 2008/02/11 10:47

"My strength is the strength of ten, for my heart is pure"

Sir Gawain

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by beforgood - 2008/02/11 12:41

John McCain, I understand, admitted giving secrets in book he wrote...

I had contemporaneous info to the effect that he entered a top level security meeting before he went to Nam, at the invitation of his father, against the advice of Intelligence.

An Admiral, more than most, to adhere to the security of information that perhaps no one else is privy to, and to set example, should not break the Code of Conduct, giving information only on the basis of a "need to know," but John

could have retrieved the situation.

Did he not because he wanted the privilege of knowing more than those of his rank or about his upcoming situation? Because he did not want to disappoint his father by refusing his offer, thereby shortening his visit time with him as well? or were there other factors to include?

No matter all of the above, this information was put out in some form by John McCain and others.

It is people who choose their leaders, and it is sad to see that some chosen politicians have not reflected well on many of us. Let our consciences be our guides, from coercion and manipulative inducements, as our President has continually encouraged and made education of an informed electorate by freely disseminated information a high priority, not such nonsense as "we're tired of the war," anymore than "We're tired of murder, so let's not bother attempting to reduce it."

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by atvJim - 2008/02/12 10:04

A link with info about McCain as a traitor....<http://educate-yourself.org/cn/earlhopperinterview08feb08.shtml>
John McCain: Privileged 'War Hero' Fraud, Liar, Colaborator, Traitor: Part 1 , interview with Ret. Col Earl Hopper ((Feb. 8, 2008)

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/12 11:23

The Clintons won't have to destroy McCain -- He had destroyed himself many years ago. It's only coming home to roost now.

What do we do now?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/12 12:39

jlboland wrote:

What do we do now?

Enjoy the show? :whistle:

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jlboland - 2008/02/12 13:36

This issue is simply HUGE.

I'm boggled there is not a peep anywhere but TTP. There are some big military and congressional names on the link that atvJim just sent out. This has to break into mainstream media soon -- it's too big and very widely distributed on the Web already.

What gives?

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilHITE - 2008/02/21 08:57

Jack, as usual, proves himself correct. The demise of McCain has started with this little item:
NY Times Begins Its Campaign Against the GOP Frontrunner
(CNSNews.com) - In a front-page report on Thursday, The New York Times raised "concerns" that Sen. John McCain had an improper relationship with a female lobbyist eight years ago. The report did not offer any evidence, nor did it name any sources...

McCain is about to find out that the NY Times will always side with the socialist democrat, rather than the socialist-leaning Republican. McCain not only screwed the Republican party with McCain-Feingold, he deluded himself into believing the leftist rag was going to support him over the dems. McCain left himself in a real pickle, with no real conservatives really wanting to help him. Johnny Boy, what goes around comes around. By the time that leftist rag is done with you, you won't be able to hold any elected position. It couldn't happen to a more deserving politician.

Please note, although no boardcode and smiley buttons are shown, they are still useable

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/02/22 02:06

It's starting to look a lot like "How the Clintons would_have_liked to destroy McCain". Texas is looking bleak. It would have taken a landslide win, but its looking more like a slight loss to Obama. He wowed her last remaining supporters at the debate.

Then there's this:

Obama Popular where the delegates matter down there
http://www.elpasotimes.com/news/ci_8308964

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by mwilite - 2008/04/08 11:55

Just saw this on Newsmax today. Wonder where he got the idea?

As for this odd prediction I keep making that there is a 40 percent chance McCain will not be the GOP nominee: it is a hunch, a feeling that McCain will not make it to the finish line. He does not connect well with people, other than the dozen media flunkies he constantly sucks up to.

Something is going to happen to derail him. A revelation, an event, an unintended circumstance.

America does not elect someone who is at the end of their rope. And McCain is a spent force. Few are for him; his votes will just be anti-Obama or anti-Hillary. There is no enthusiasm for McCain, except among inside-the beltway lobbyists and media types.

So, while he is the presumptive nominee as of now, there are five long months to go.

Don't be surprised when something happens and McCain is gone — pfffft.

You read it here first. (or so he claims)

Tuesday, April 8, 2008 8:24 AM

By: John LeBoutillier © 2008 Newsmax. All rights reserved.

=====

Re:HOW THE CLINTONS WILL DESTROY JOHN MCCAIN

Posted by jsnape - 2008/04/08 16:48

I can't envision any of them making it to the swear-in. Whoever it is will go down as even more hated than Bush. People think they have it bad now, half of them hating Bush for no reason, or a fake reason, just wait about a year.

