
GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 05:20

This thread discusses the Content article: GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Being a huge Bush supporter a friend of mine phoned me last year to share a story he knew I'd enjoy hearing. On one of the Pres summer trips to FL, he visited a private ranch. After taking a bike ride in the sultry heat, W sat with friends under an oak tree & for 45 min. of casual conversation. My friend was right, I did enjoy hearing this. I asked if anyone brought up the border issue to which my friend replied, "He just won't go there." Those five words put me on a personal quest to try to figure out WHY. Without secure borders NOTHING else matters. In researching to find out why I felt like my president and I were not on the same page.....not even the same book is more like it, has taken me to some very dark places in history and at times I am overwhelmed with hopelessness. For years I have been feeling like there is an organized movement being followed that was causing the liberal decay of our society. This could not be an accident, but by design. The 6:00 news had become x-rated. The tv shows were getting more liberal...the Dan Quayle/Murphy Brown(Candace Bergen show); Hollywood celebs making it in vogue to have a baby & never mind a husband; the absolute trash movies being produced~~ I remember one with a teenage boy lying in the middle of a highway lane at night to see if the cars would straddle him.....sure enough, a week later kid did it in real life and that was the end of his.To fast forward: I'd like opinions on: The Skull & Bones, Bohemians, Council on Foreign Relations (is it even possible to have a president that is not a member?), Bilderbergs, Trilateral Comm., 33rd D Masonry; Rothschild, Rockefeller, Roosevelt (Rosenfelt) Illuminati Elitists.....you get the idea. Oh, almost forgot! THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM; World Banks, The UN, ACLU which supports NAMBLA, The Bush Family. You mentioned Cheney's observation that W has big ones. WHO has hold of them? How can a Christian, Godly man do this? I feel betrayed. One World Order, NAFTA superhighway, pitiful 18 mi of a single row fence ! The puzzle sure seems fitting with the dark context of the above. American agriculture and land owners have taken a hit...imported food sprayed with brand X...no USDA...the demise of the American family (most young people live together first, have kids, then maybe get married). And many of these from the best of families! Traditional values..going, going...the homosexual agenda; everything generic, no prayer in school except in muslim schools, PC & stupidity destroying our country..people afraid to use common sense. Heck, our soldiers are nervous about killing the bad guys! Illegal Mexicans and Puerto Rican gangs moving in (cops are afraid of the gangs)I've been burglarized 3 times. Why are all hispanic women pregnant with one in their arms and three in the cart? Do Mex. men ever get vasectomys? How bout a govt. clinic for that ! ZPG ! What about those hush hush meetings in Waco & Crawford? At a Republican meeting, the feeling was that the three leaders, Can. Mex & US met secretly to hear for sure that the North American Union was still the real deal. No borders ! I agree exactly with what you said about W's ratings.....they could have skyrocketed IF he were FOR THE PEOPLE.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/27 13:09

Dr. Wheeler, as far as marital situation of your friend is concern, more than likely his ability to know how to find things on people is extremely negative factor in today's women folk's eyes. In majority of female character eyes "wimps" are much, much more appreciated. In today's work force, very common is so call chain's reaction. In-group of female characters working together one "happy and free divorcee" persuades her female coworkers that she as a free woman is much better of. Many listen to her with tragic consequences not realizing until is too late that pure hatred and jealousy was behind description of "freedom and happiness". Of course, "peri-menopausal," is a factor in all that mess. Philosopher, (Aristotle) said that woman is misbegotten man, and President Bush is married man. Ancient Romans stated that in marriage "mater semper certa est, pater – quem nuptiae demonstrate" Is very likely that GWB is looking for legacy based on his family very moderate republican's roots from New England and abandoned conservatives some time ago. If GWB is Texan poker player, I do not think that he learn game from Amarillo Slim. Is not over until is over and current trends are disturbing. Anybody thing that another Mohammedan's independent state in Kosovo may be part of President's legacy.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/27 14:41

Corinthian here, said it all, and asked questions that are on many people's minds.

GWB seems to be a globalist-one world government type, and it's been said, accurately, that the wealth, freedoms, and sovereignty of the U.S stand in the way of the globalist's goals.

We see the U.N gaining control of U.S waterways. If you want to control a nation, control their water supply. We see the

"treaty of the seas" (or whatever it's called) which provides the hideous anti-American, anti-Israel, anti-Christian UN with a global tax. We see US sovereignty being given away with wealth redistribution schemes, which is the true basis behind the whole global warming hoax. I mean, really, are we to believe that tinhorn dictators, who have no problem killing or starving their own people to consolidate control, have suddenly found a place in their dark heart for mother earth, and our grandkid's future? Pleeeeeeze!

We see nameless, faceless, unelected, unaccountable "environmentalists" seemingly having very tight control over our politicians, and political processes. Where does this power and control come from?

Terrorism, a war without borders, is a globalist's dream scenario. How else could rogue nations or groups with nuclear, biological, or chemical weapons be controlled except by a global, or one-world government?

I think Corinthian's questions could be packaged up with the questions: who's really running the world, is there a "shadow government" we know nothing about, and what is their agenda?

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 15:03

eddd7--Please take a look at Illuminati ; Skull & Bones Order ; Freemasonry.....type in on your tool bar, do a little reading and please send your thoughts. "The Synagogue of Satan" by Andrew Carrington Hitchcock.....have you read it? corinthian

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by michbris - 2007/09/27 15:16

Jack, you brought up a great point. W may be frustrating as he** sometimes, but we've got an election next year, and the Dems sure aren't sitting around waiting to lose again. My own contributions to the RNC have stopped completely; I imagine that will change in 2008. I still think the Republicans will rally around Rudy, and he'll sweep into power, but it's going to be one tough fight.

Corinthian, I feel your anger and confusion also about the state of our country, but please don't buy into conspiracy theories about this or that group causing the downfall of our society and nation. The 20th century was an epochal time in man's history, when God was declared dead and Man was judged to be the master of the universe. Of course that's going to mess up a nation. But that's being fixed, as more and more young people return to the God of their grandparents, and the only churches that are growing are the ones that preach the old-time religion. Just take a look at Ave Maria, FL to see Exhibit A of this trend. I feel much better about the 21st century than about the 20th, and, for America especially, the 20th century turned out pretty damn well. Don't give in to gloom and doom; and especially, don't mistake the antics of Hollywood's Lost and Depraved with what today's youth are actually doing.

I hope that restores some of your hope.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by ella - 2007/09/27 15:37

President Bush has done more great things for this country than the negative.

Rudy will be the next President. I don't know what the folks here think of Prophets of the Most High....but here goes anyway....Starting in January 2007 one in particular has been prophesying Rudy will be the next President. He prophesied Bush's two wins. Well, I like to have confirmation on any prophecy. And then the other night another man of God...prophesied the very same thing. Rudy would be the next President. :) :))

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by catman - 2007/09/27 16:51

I'm sorry,
Why isn't Ron Paul the RIGHT answer for these issues?

Just Wonderin'

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Capo - 2007/09/27 17:20

Jack,

The Trinity is a mystery. George W. Bush is no mystery. Moral, decent man? Quo Vadis?

I hear Newt Gringrich just bid 30 million for the presidency.

Rudy will defend to the death the unborn in the privacy of his own home.

BTW, if Rudy is elected President, as many TTPers appear to want, will he wear a tux or a gown to the inaugural balls?

Fred Thompson doesn't remember too much about Terry Schiavo, nor representing pro-choice interests, and he is one the 'strong' pro-life conservative choices.

I could go on but I'm too busy dealing with the meltdown and chaos that El Presidente has brought to the land he swore on a Bible to defend.

Big Balls? Maybe in Dick Cheney's country club. Not in Terry Schiavo's.

Quo Vadis?

Just another WH where female interns spend a lot of time with the President.

Post edited by: Capo, at: 2007/09/27 17:21

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/27 17:47

Corinthian,

I want to congratulate you for an excellent post. So many things you've said has hit home with many of us TTPers (that I know for certain).

I'm happy you've come out of your "lurking" mode and contributed a post that is honest and heart-felt.

Karma ding!

Jack,

After reading your article I must admit to being confused about a great many things.

My greatest confusion centers on WHY you believe TTPers/conservative/republicans hate GWB? Why do you equate absolute disgust with GWB's policies and actions with hatred? You almost sound like a Clintonista defending Bubba from the "hatred" of the right. It's a tired old tactic used by Liberals (and now Republicans) to stereotype the opposition in order to deflect, rather than debate, legitimate arguments while also attempting to marginalize those who disagree.

I never thought I'd see you fall down that hole Jack!

My second confusion centered on your use of a friends divorce to attempt to characterize the relationship between Conservatives/Republicans and GWB. At first I thought it was completely silly but now I think it's may not be. Here's my reason's:

When a couple gets married it's with the intention for a lifetime relationship and not the current trend of divorce within eight years after taking vows. Usually, the couple chooses to be together and is not forced together. Let's face it, would you be happy getting only a handful of women with whom you know little about, to choose your wife from? Me neither!

If this was any kind of marriage it was more like a Moslem "arranged" marriage where we had to take what was given to us by our so-called leaders in the Republican Party. GWB was forced upon the Right because everyone else running was even weaker and uglier (politically) than Bush.

We never had a real choice in this "marriage". We either marry the ugly broad (Republican candidate) forced on us or get the heinously ugly broad (Democrat) if we chose to remain "single".

I think your buddy had more opportunities in his choice of wives than we did in our choice of presidents.

But, let's run with this wife thing and see what it gets us.

You wrote:

So she's left him, and the children. He's taking care of the kids, and they are living with him now. My friend is in a state of total shock. He's never abused her, never cheated on her, always tried to be a good and loving husband. Yet she's gone, while he's hurt to the bone and totally bewildered by her "I've got to be me, I've got to be free, I've got to be happy" mantra.

The absolute same can be said for GWB. He is the wife in this relationship with conservatives/republicans and the American people.

"She" left US (the American people) so "she" could go play footsy with those prostitutes on the Left. "She" couldn't resist going for car rides with Ted Kennedy knowing that eventually "she" would wind up dead, at the bottom of a lake?

"She" left US, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, with MILLIONS of ILLEGAL children for US TO RAISE, EDUCATE AND ACCOMMODATE OUT OF OUR OWN POCKETS AND AT THE EXPENSE OF OUR OWN LEGITIMATE CHILDREN.

I guess GWB's idea of "being me, being free and being happy" meant saddling the American people with the massive costs of RAISING SOME OTHER COUNTRIES CHILDREN. Or maybe it meant that GWB was free to moonlight with every enemy of his electoral base, while we (the American people) stayed faithfully at home.

And when we attempted to reason with our "wife" about her conduct and how it was detrimental to our marriage, we were branded by her and the marriage counselor (Republicans in the House and Senate) as racists, xenophobes and vigilantes.

Oh, and we (the people) better not speak up lest we become the victim of GWB's propensity for domestic violence against his faithful spouse/supporters.

To add to the mystery, there isn't another man. My friend, who knows how to find out things on people, is confident his wife was faithful to him during their marriage. So my friend has no explanation for her behavior

I think we've been pretty faithful to GWB, giving him two terms and supporting the war effort in the face of all his other galling conduct.

Do I really need to rifle off the litany of fake-passes and outright contemptible behavior and choices made by GWB? I didn't think so.

We (the American people) also have no explanation for our wife's betrayal.

"I refuse to see the mother of my children as a bad person," my friend tells me. "And she is a good person in many ways. Because I really don't have an understandable explanation for her behavior, I am choosing to keep it that way: un-understandable. I am choosing to view what she has done as mysterious, inexplicable. That's the way I can escape hating her - for I've got to focus on what's good in her so we can best work together in raising our children."

She (GWB) may be a good person but 'she' has made many bad choices that I and others will have to live with long after "she" has left office. "She" has left me to raise not only my children, BUT A WHOLE OTHER NATIONS CHILDREN AS WELL. "She" has squandered Republican control of the Congress and the Presidency. "She" has shackled us with the

most runaway spending in the history of America.

What a sweetheart "she" is. I will always be thankful for the responsibilities and burdens "she" has left for me (the average AMERICAN) after "she" strides off into the sunset to find her "freedom and happiness".

It's very hard to forgive someone who has hurt and pierced everyone around "her". "Her" behavior is not only self-destructive but it is also destroying a nation of laws.

We're expected to forgive unconditionally when we still haven't determined the full extent of the betrayal? That's a pretty tall order indeed.

It's so impossibly stupid and politically self-destructive it's off the charts. Yet Bush is not stupid, he is spooky smart as anyone who has really talked to him will tell you.

If he's as "spooky" smart as you and others make him out to be, then his actions are all the more reprehensible because he is doing them knowing full well what the ramifications are and he's fine with it.

You're digging the guy a bigger hole instead of defending him Jack.

Nor does he lack cajones. As Dick Cheney immortally confided to a gaggle of reporters, "He (Bush) has the biggest set of balls of anyone I have ever known."

Yes, those balls are on display for all to see as he shows contempt and outright betrayal to his loyal supporters, who have stuck with him throughout all his transgressions. That definitely takes some brass one's to be sure!

It takes huge balls to say you believe in border security and the rule of law and then do nothing to promote either. Instead you work actively, behind the scenes, to subvert both. Major "huevos" indeed!

And I am in awe of how he continues to pursue victory in Iraq, bust up Al Qaeda

Well what the hell else is he gonna do, pull out? His tin-ear has been an asset in this particular case (and thank God for that).

The man is so ridiculously infuriating - and yet... and yet the truth is he's the best we've got right now. He's done an awful lot that's good, and we could have done an awful lot worse, nightmare worse.

Yes, instead of marrying a prostitute who cheats on us, we could have married a prostitute who cheats, steals and attempts to destroy us. And then she leaves us with an incurable sexually transmitted disease.

Your right, we did get lucky, it could be a lot worse.

My friend gives his thanks to Providence every day that his wife didn't leave and take his children away, plus every asset he has. She just left and walked out - how lucky is that? Anyone who's been through a divorce war knows how lucky he is.

We'll be paying for GWB's love of Illegal Immigration and Big Government long after he's left office. There's no clean break here! Someone pass the K-Y please...

Lucky is a matter of context. The bottom line here is that just as my friend is focused on the future of his and his kids' lives, so we must be on our country's. We have got to get over any negativity over the mystery of George Bush and focus entirely on how best to avoid the Party of Treason from seizing power in 2008.

We are focused on the future and GWB IS NOT PART OF IT. So if our negativity for his type of leadership produces the necessary energy to elect a leader that won't sell us out, how is that counter-productive? We're not hater's by nature or of anyone in particular, just their actions and policies.

Bush is not the candidate in 08 nor is his type the future of the Republican Party or the Conservative movement.

TTPers are no more going to blame the next Republican President for GWB's sins than we would blame Ronald Reagan for Richard Nixon's sins.

Our focus on the future includes how we save our children and this country from Illegal Immigration, Democrats and Islamists.

We know damn well what awaits us if a Democrat is elected. We're not stupid and were not gonna cut off our noses to

spite our face.

If conservatives don't start acting like my friend is towards his wife, Paul's prediction is going to be prophetic. The future is what counts now, not the past. Let's hope conservatives get to work on it.

Why must we forgive Bush? Why can't we just move on from him and focus on the next Republican leader. Why must we forgive him in order to focus on a new champion? What impact will this lame duck have on the 08 elections?

If you think us average Americans don't have hatred and contempt for our elected officials, then you have been living in Washington DC way too long.

Yet, with all this "hatred", we still give money, volunteer and show up on Election Day to vote.

It's our "hatred" of Washington DC and the corrupt liars who pervade it, that drive us to seek change.

It's a healthy hatred similar to the hatred of sin that Christians must possess.

In short, we don't have to hate or forgive GWB, we just need to move on and let him go back to Crawford and let us focus on our next President.

I'm sorry if this post is harsh Jack because I love and respect you. But please, stop carrying GWB's water and move on yourself.

Educate us on the new crop of candidates instead of begging us to forgive GWB.

That is where the true future lies.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/27 18:45

President Bush has done more great things for this country than the negative.

Ella, you are correct that GWB has done many great things for America, here are a few:

- 1) Tax Cuts
- 2) Supreme Court Justices
- 3) Facing down the Islamists and kicking Al Qaeda ass!

But he has also done some horrible things as well, here are a few:

- 1) Uncontrolled borders and Illegal Immigration
- 2) Repeated support for Amnesty
- 3) Massive spending and additions to Gov't bureaucracy
- 4) Support for Law of the Sea Treaty
- 5) ROE and allowing Iran and Syria to survive all this time
- 6) Border agents

I just want to call it a wash and move on. I don't hate the guy but I am suffering from "Bush Fatigue".

It's just time to move on and realize he is what he is.

I will always give him respect for the good things he's done and hammer him for the bad things.

I will do the same with Rudy!

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 19:01

Ave Maria is beautiful ! I hope it is highly successful for years to come and that neighbors of integrity inhabit the beautiful homes. Celebration (Disney) does not appeal to me but probably because of its proximity to tourism. Since the govt. shut down the Orlando Navy Base in Orlando, that prime property has become Baldwin Park.....it is very beautiful . I just wonder how such contrived communities will be down the road~~but hey, things are different in every neighborhood. There is a home in a nice neighborhood in Eustis,FL that had arabic symbols painted on the exterior of it. It was being rented and was vacated two weeks prior to nine eleven. A friend told me about it.....she lives about a mile away. I am very cautious not to buy into conspiracies. When I read something, that sounds credible, I not only check out the author but also his reviews, reviewers & then see who else has the same or similar theory (much of which is backed by facts that anyone can check out). I am usually an upbeat person but when we have young lives on the line daily for our freedom and sovereignty and our flood gates are wide open I know something is seriously WRONG with our system and just can't fathom W not coming clean with the people.....I try to rationalize why he could be supporting Johnny Sutton and not our border agents that should be given a bonus. Why does he act like the minutemen are interfering when I think they are not only trying to get an obvious point across but save our nation as best they can.....those people are putting aside their personal lives to take care of a cause they feel is the most imminent they have ever known. We should all be grateful. corinthian

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 19:01

Ave Maria is beautiful ! I hope it is highly successful for years to come and that neighbors of integrity inhabit the beautiful homes. Celebration (Disney) does not appeal to me but probably because of its proximity to tourism. Since the govt. shut down the Orlando Navy Base in Orlando, that prime property has become Baldwin Park.....it is very beautiful . I just wonder how such contrived communities will be down the road~~but hey, things are different in every neighborhood. There is a home in a nice neighborhood in Eustis,FL that had arabic symbols painted on the exterior of it. It was being rented and was vacated two weeks prior to nine eleven. A friend told me about it.....she lives about a mile away. I am very cautious not to buy into conspiracies. When I read something, that sounds credible, I not only check out the author but also his reviews, reviewers & then see who else has the same or similar theory (much of which is backed by facts that anyone can check out). I am usually an upbeat person but when we have young lives on the line daily for our freedom and sovereignty and our flood gates are wide open I know something is seriously WRONG with our system and just can't fathom W not coming clean with the people.....I try to rationalize why he could be supporting Johnny Sutton and not our border agents that should be given a bonus. Why does he act like the minutemen are interfering when I think they are not only trying to get an obvious point across but save our nation as best they can.....those people are putting aside their personal lives to take care of a cause they feel is the most imminent they have ever known. We should all be grateful. corinthian

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by davef - 2007/09/27 19:13

antics of Hollywood's Lost and Depraved

Speaking of which, here's an email I sent to a friend recently:

Subject: Hollywood Airheads

Man, am I getting disgusted with Hollywood! :-P

I was at the gym this evening. They had the TV tuned to a "news report" about George Clooney and his girlfriend attending the premiere of his new movie. They had just been in a motorcycle accident (which was "nobody's fault" according to Clooney), wherein he broke a rib and his girlfriend broke a couple of toes. He looked normal, while the gf was hobbling around in a cast and crutches. ANYWAY, the show went on, and on, and on, and ON about this "story", with the female announcer gushing about how wonderful Clooney is and how sagacious when he comments about politics (no specifics given -- thankfully).

Clooney BTW is a charter member of my boycott list.

This was followed by an equally stirring segment titled, "Inside the mind of Britney Spears". But, doesn't that presume that she has a mind?

Sheesh!

-- Dave

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by davef - 2007/09/27 19:29

Corinthian,

I want to congratulate you for an excellent post.
I agree, and I too am giving you a "karma ding".

I do take issue however with your complaint about ZPG (Zero Population Growth). I have to assume that you do not understand exponential growth and the impossibility thereof in a finite world.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 19:51

Thanks ! Boy, you sure racked up the pos K's. (inc. from me) I did not realize I was lurking ! corinthian

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 20:15

Thanks and I will ding you if I haven't alreadyOK.....please explain" exponential growth and the impossibility thereof in a finite world " to me.....I wish to understand exactly. In the 70's of course, we heard a lot about zpg. Aside from population being an issue(maybe it's more of an economic issue) I am amazed when I see very young couples with 3-5 young children, knowing what the cost of existing is these days, I wonder HOW they do it.....I'm not talkin' about young professionals. Sorry, I can't help but wonder if they are "in the system." Another beef I have is how credit cards are almost forced on young people and assume that they are not paid in full each month so the 20% int. prevents ever getting ahead. Much in the same way the Fed Res. Sys. operates.....all we tax payers do is barely put a dent in the interest charged to our govt. on money that is loaned by 12 private banks (Fed). Hence, the reason the national debt is what it is.....I doubt if we ever get close to paying on the principle. And the thing with the U S Treasury being ruled by the Fed ~~ disturbing....

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by michbris - 2007/09/27 22:03

You're on fire, Cephra.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/27 23:05

Corinth.....I have. Clearly, you have too . We're on the same page....literally, and figuratively.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/27 23:16

Ceph....and Jack are right. GWB really is an enigma. But I wouldn't call him a conservative enigma. Siding with a Mexican drug dealer/illegal alien over the Border Patrol agents and GW's lack of.....of anything proactive toward border security, is unbelievable and unforgivable, and way beyond common sense.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/27 23:38

Thanks Eddd7! ;)

BTW, you've never responded to my posts on the weathering of the Sphinx. I'm interested in your thoughts on the material I've provided.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/27 23:47

eddd7 Are you able to share your thoughts on the book? As I was reading it, it was as if all the puzzle pieces were falling into place regarding my observation on the changes happening in our country ... I have much more to say but not sure I should. corinth

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/27 23:51

Ceph.....dude! You may want to re-think your caffeine intake! Woah!

Seriously, this, I think, is your most articulate post ever. I'm only an FNG here, but your stuff in this post.....wow! Whatever a karma ding is.....I guess you get one. Or more. Is that as good as a Sierra Nevada Pale Ale? Just checking.

I must have missed something.....I read your post several times. I missed any reference to "zero population growth". What's that about? eddd7

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 00:29

If all y'all (y'all being singular. ALL y'all being plural, so I'm told) are referring to the older "Population Bomb" (Paul Earlich ?) time of data, I do believe that that has been shown to be flawed data, but not deliberately so, like, say, much of the global warming data. (I can't help myself.....the flesh is weak, and all that.....) . I think a lot of this thinking is/was similar to zero-sum economics, which, unfortunately 80% of the people believe is true, so says one think-tank .

This "thinking", or mindset is where people believe, in effect, that there's only one big pile of money. And when Bill Gates "takes" (yes, not earns) a billion bucks, he takes that from the pile, leaving less for everyone else. This mindset shows the economic illiteracy of the general population, but is also played heavily to by the left. "Tax cuts for the rich". "Making their fortune at the expense of, and off the backs of others". Hitler and Margaret Sanger, (Planned "Parenthood")among other notables, were in sync with the zero population thinking, by the way.

There was a bet, years ago, between two top guys in their fields....scientific types, where one guy bet that each of the 5 most important resources (oil, uranium, Bauxite (aluminum ore) and others I can't remember (geeesh, I'm not Erin Brockovich here), all would be in short supply in five years. They other guy bet the opposite. They bet a grand on each resource. The "short supply" guy lost 5 grand. Each resource was in greater supply 5 years later.

Okay. Why? Because man has an ability to go get what he needs and is able to develop the technology to do so.

Having said the above, I'd guess that in a purely technical sense, yes, a time would come where the earth could be overpopulated, based on our ability to feed everyone. But that has been demonstrated to be way way down the road. I believe that it's been shown that if it were necessary, (and the inviro wackos were gassed), that the US could feed the planet. That may have been somewhat in the past, but you get the picture.

It's been said that 98% of the people live on 3% of the land. That too, may be dated, but by how much. If you do a google-earth flyover of the planet, you have to get pretty close to see man's affect.

Of course, there's gonna be some serious shrinkage with that Rapture thing, and Armageddon, of course. eddd7

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 00:30

If all y'all (y'all being singular. ALL y'all being plural, so I'm told) are referring to the older "Population Bomb" (Paul Earlich ?) time of data, I do believe that that has been shown to be flawed data, but not deliberately so, like, say, much of the global warming data. (I can't help myself.....the flesh is weak, and all that.....) . I think a lot of this thinking is/was similar to zero-sum economics, which, unfortunately 80% of the people believe is true, so says one think-tank .

This "thinking", or mindset is where people believe, in effect, that there's only one big pile of money. And when Bill Gates "takes" (yes, not earns) a billion bucks, he takes that from the pile, leaving less for everyone else. This mindset shows the economic illiteracy of the general population, but is also played heavily to by the left. "Tax cuts for the rich". "Making their fortune at the expense of, and off the backs of others". Hitler and Margaret Sanger, (Planned "Parenthood")among other notables, were in sync with the zero population thinking, by the way.

There was a bet, years ago, between two top guys in their fields....scientific types, where one guy bet that each of the 5 most important resources (oil, uranium, Bauxite (aluminum ore) and others I can't remember (geeesh, I'm not Erin Brockovich here), all would be in short supply in five years. They other guy bet the opposite. They bet a grand on each resource. The "short supply" guy lost 5 grand. Each resource was in greater supply 5 years later.

Okay. Why? Because man has an ability to go get what he needs and is able to develop the technology to do so.

Having said the above, I'd guess that in a purely technical sense, yes, a time would come where the earth could be overpopulated, based on our ability to feed everyone. But that has been demonstrated to be way way down the road. I believe that it's been shown that if it were necessary, (and the inviro wackos were gassed), that the US could feed the planet. That may have been somewhat in the past, but you get the picture.

It's been said that 98% of the people live on 3% of the land. That too, may be dated, but by how much. If you do a google-earth flyover of the planet, you have to get pretty close to see man's affect.

Of course, there's gonna be some serious shrinkage with that Rapture thing, and Armageddon, of course. eddd7

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 00:30

If all y'all (y'all being singular. ALL y'all being plural, so I'm told) are referring to the older "Population Bomb" (Paul Earlich ?) time of data, I do believe that that has been shown to be flawed data, but not deliberately so, like, say, much of the global warming data. (I can't help myself.....the flesh is weak, and all that.....) . I think a lot of this thinking is/was similar to zero-sum economics, which, unfortunately 80% of the people believe is true, so says one think-tank .

This "thinking", or mindset is where people believe, in effect, that there's only one big pile of money. And when Bill Gates "takes" (yes, not earns) a billion bucks, he takes that from the pile, leaving less for everyone else. This mindset shows the economic illiteracy of the general population, but is also played heavily to by the left. "Tax cuts for the rich". "Making their fortune at the expense of, and off the backs of others". Hitler and Margaret Sanger, (Planned "Parenthood")among other notables, were in sync with the zero population thinking, by the way.

There was a bet, years ago, between two top guys in their fields....scientific types, where one guy bet that each of the 5

most important resources (oil, uranium, Bauxite (aluminum ore) and others I can't remember (geeesh, I'm not Erin Brockovich here), all would be in short supply in five years. They other guy bet the opposite. They bet a grand on each resource. The "short supply" guy lost 5 grand. Each resource was in greater supply 5 years later.

Okay. Why? Because man has an ability to go get what he needs and is able to develop the technology to do so.

Having said the above, I'd guess that in a purely technical sense, yes, a time would come where the earth could be overpopulated, based on our ability to feed everyone. But that has been demonstrated to be way way down the road. I believe that it's been shown that if it were necessary, (and the inviro wackos were gassed), that the US could feed the planet. That may have been somewhat in the past, but you get the picture.

It's been said that 98% of the people live on 3% of the land. That too, may be dated, but by how much. If you do a google-earth flyover of the planet, you have to get pretty close to see man's affect.

Of course, there's gonna be some serious shrinkage with that Rapture thing, and Armageddon, of course. eddd7

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by martinbb - 2007/09/28 01:00

a country filled with the kind of people who engage in a lively, passionate civics debate online on a thursday evening 14 months before a presidential election cannot possibly be doomed.....I wish i could fill the void of why GWB will simply not enforce the borders and try to tackle illegal immigration but i'm as flummoxed as the rest of you (the treaty of the sea stinks to high heaven also) but i dont believe in conspiracies hatched by secret societies either, simply the Bushies are elitist liberal republicans who look down their noses at working people and think that cheap labor will keep the ruling class and their trust funds flush for another generation.

the Bushies are maddening, but they're all we have right now. I remember when the gop took over in 94 and we thought the education, commerce and energy departments would be thrown on the trash heap of history, only to find out that the biggest obstacles in trying to change the status quo in washington were named Bob Dole, Trent Lott and Arlen Specter (all republicans the last time i checked) there simply is not a conservative working majority in washington

hopefully the moonbat left will be disgusted by Hillary's triangulating and run a real fruit loop third party candidate that will split the left on election day.....here's to hoping

keep up the great comments

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/28 02:18

After abandoning gold and silver standards, our way of life and economy is based on fiat money and constant creation of debt .If the constant increase of debt will be stopped the strongest branch of economy, financial sector will cease exists. The biggest sector service economy hardly promote expansion, rather rapidly increases consumption of as cheap as possible foreign goods Younger people most of their energy are using to create more debt and try to manage it. Older generation has big problem understanding creativity of younger which for them is counterproductive and leading for some sort of disaster. Meaning of word service came from Latin "servus" slave. It is obvious that society with dominant service economy, will abandon self restrain and self-governing principle will be replaced with big brothers intervention and pyramids building mentality to keep things afloat. Leftists demagogy, jihadists, massive illegal immigration, China, Russia, environmental challenges an nuclear weapons out of control are just few major problems facing the Republic. Then we have George W Bush and Jack's friend wife. Maybe in the country things can not be fixed before they do not brake down completely. So the "Patching" thing up by the President and his followers is the only way for now? As for the wife, women is always a women, she may be back sooner than expected. The question is, what husband going to do?.)

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 02:24

Your welcome!

Your not lurking anymore. Hey, when you started the day you had two post and no karma. Pretty impressive showing Corinth.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 02:28

Eddd7,

Thanks again. I was speaking form the heart and I appreciate your comments.

I will post a separate subject for discussion that centers around the whether pattern exhibited by the Sphinx for your review.

It'll be posted in a few minutes. Please check it out.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 02:31

Well said Martinbb.

Let's hope your right.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 12:38

So Jan, what do you think? "Divorce" (a Latin word, meaning to rip out a man's genitals through his wallet - Robin Williams) in these examples, is a "damned if we do- damned if we don't" situation?

If we dump the Federal Reserve (I do believe every politician who's tried has met an untimely death), get back to a gold and silver standard, scuttle the IRS and replace it with the FairTax.....like a national sales tax, quickly phase out the slave-creating "Great Society" programs that keep people dependent (the intended result) on government, get rid of most government departments/agencies (what does the department of energy do?) and downsize the Federal government back to 1950's level, where the average middle class tax hit was 3%, according to Forbes, do you think we have a chance to survive as the country we know? Or the country we used to be. eddd7

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/28 12:56

The more I read it, the more I like it! Certainly, get rid of society dominated by "slave", service mentality and thoughtless female characters is noble task. It may be Hercules's one nevertheless can be try. I like to remind all that years ago, indicator of "Men Purchase Power" was dropped from Economic indicators of this Great Republic and replaced with indicator of "Female Purchase Power".

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by emartell - 2007/09/28 13:22

eddd7

In answer to your last question, "I don't know, but I'd like to find out."

The other night Glen Beck had a guest who was worried about the replacement of the dollar by the Amero. Here is a link to a cogent discourse on the substitution by the federal reserve to which you allude in your post.

http://www.gold-eagle.com/editorials_05/kirby062806.html

Frankly, I'm sick of the constant theft of my life by the hidden tax created by inflation. Generally, net-worth equals work (of some sort) equals time, so we're all having our lives stolen. Currently, the only solution is either to work harder or to invest so that your assets work for you. It's pretty discouraging to find that no matter how hard you work or how well you invest, you're on the short end of the stick.

To date, economics hasn't been a zero-sum game. If it were we'd all be hanging around the fire in the cave wondering who was going to get that last piece of venison. The reason it isn't a zero sum game is simple, human ingenuity is boundless. There's always someone with new ideas and that's where value comes from.

The problem is that we've saddled ourselves with unproductive drones who want to grasp all the power, all the money, everything in their hands. The fact that socialism leads to as near a zero-sum game as possible, doesn't seem to penetrate the minds of most of our government school educated masses.

What does it take to get us fed up enough to take action to stop this insanity? Our forefathers threw the tea overboard for just a small percent of tax. What's our tea-throwing point?

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by prosenberg1 - 2007/09/28 13:40

Thank you Eddd7 for talking about zero-sum economics.

The bet was between Paul Erlich and Julian Simon.

There is no population problem. Malthus was dead wrong, and his disciples are just as wrong. The human mind is the ultimate asset and more of them doesn't hurt, unless they are used very badly.

NOW.....

The talk about Hispanics "always pregnant" and "never have vasectomies" is ugly and racist. Let me be plain-- IT IS HATEFUL AND MALICIOUS.

Those of you who said it are headed into darkness. Those of you who let it pass need to cleanse yourselves.

Am I clear enough?

PR

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/28 13:46

Some good and practical ideas of common sense and new "Tampa Tea Party", can be seen on General Cigar Dave site, <http://www.cigardave.com> or hear General and his CIA (Cigar Intelligence Agency) agents on his radio program in Florida KPEL and Sirius Satellite Radio on Saturdays. Our forefathers were mostly successful cigar smoking, bootleggers and in their wisdom guided by Providence allowed to vote only productive, land owning free, gun carrying, male citizens.:)

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 14:01

EDDD7 & JAN Returning to the g & s standard : look at fed res bnk. of ny and see where a large amt of g o l d is stored and under whose guard? that's the kicker --they are alwsys thinking aheadwhat else do they have to do.....certainly nothing pending from 9-5.....every state would need to have a task force org. to explore ret. to the g n s std.....does anyone know what is the int.% rate charged to govt. to 'loan' money? doesn't every tax dollar in am. go to the fed (u s treas. controlled by the fed) to pay on the int. never even touching the principle? Let's say edd's plan came to pass, would 3% be enough to run the country? Bet we'd have surplus. the idea of this would prob. make b b & cohorts cause another 1929. see Robt.GaylonRoss,Sr. A scenario of how things operate now is the very reason i quit giving to united way and those charities.....all the \$ taken in is poorly managed and the top dogs get greedy and overpay themselves....i'd rather hand cash to someone i feel is needy and deserving and never mind any ded.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 14:01

EDDD7 & JAN Returning to the g & s standard : look at fed res bnk. of ny and see where a large amt of g o l d is stored and under whose guard? that's the kicker --they are alwsys thinking aheadwhat else do they have to do.....certainly nothing pending from 9-5.....every state would need to have a task force org. to explore ret. to the g n s std.....does anyone know what is the int.% rate charged to govt. to 'loan' money? doesn't every tax dollar in am. go to the fed (u s treas. controlled by the fed) to pay on the int. never even touching the principle? Let's say edd's plan came to pass, would 3% be enough to run the country? Bet we'd have surplus. the idea of this would prob. make b b & cohorts cause another 1929. see Robt.GaylonRoss,Sr. A scenario of how things operate now is the very reason i quit giving to united way and those charities.....all the \$ taken in is poorly managed and the top dogs get greedy and overpay themselves....i'd rather hand cash to someone i feel is needy and deserving and never mind any ded.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/28 14:29

The real question is what to do with the multitude of dependents. Do it, the Christian's way, "Who does not work, should not eat" or Marxists way, support all by confusion, steady and surly increasing progressive income tax, and hidden inflation.

=====

An Answer

Posted by tbs3 - 2007/09/28 14:36

When a good person does a bad thing there is more to the story and force or fraud is always involved.

What if Bush is sacrificing one thing of value (our borders) in a blackmail exchange for keeping WMDs out of the country? If bad guys can bring in drugs by the truckload for criminals for a fee, then so can WMD's be brought in for a fee. That should be obvious.

Given the state of the borders, which is pathetic, and given the lameness of law enforcement from the top down, how else do you explain the lack of homeland attacks on us? Gonzalez and Bush can't even fire people. How is it that the government could be so inept at pretty much everything, but suddenly good at protecting us? And with such porous borders?

Mexico and its narcocracy has us by the tender parts. We may think those poor Mexicans are working for us, but their money goes back to Mexico and their corrupt people. Bush is working for them so we are in effect working for them.

Would you do any differently than Bush has done if that were the case?

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 15:21

JAN ~~ I take it you mean govt. dependants.....i did not mean to be ugly and racist as mr. rosenberg put it.....the more the merrier is fine just as long as each responsible sperm and egg doner can afford to take care of them in every way without u s govt assistance. Mr. Rosenberg should visit a wal mart and observe, then go to a couple hospital emergency rooms and take a look around. There are great Hispanic people just as there are wonderful people from every race.....and there are bums and welfare recipients (all races) that should be working.....the thing is there aren't influential leaders of every race to encourage responsibility.....I know plenty of people that have had vasectomys, tubal ligations etc. to limit their families to the no. they can afford and still budget to make ends meet. And they don't spend their paycheck on beer, cigs and tattoos. Seems like so many poor people have money to burn, drink up & grace the doorway of their local body piercing & art salons. Anchor babies, welfare babies, whatever.....in 15 years they will make more welfare babies. It takes all kinds of people to do all kinds of jobs in America but the system could easily go bankrupt . Free healthcare for illegals. I'd like free healthcare.....afterall, I've been a giver all these years and barely afford my own health insurance.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 15:21

JAN ~~ I take it you mean govt. dependants.....i did not mean to be ugly and racist as mr. rosenberg put it.....the more the merrier is fine just as long as each responsible sperm and egg doner can afford to take care of them in every way without u s govt assistance. Mr. Rosenberg should visit a wal mart and observe, then go to a couple hospital emergency rooms and take a look around. There are great Hispanic people just as there are wonderful people from every race.....and there are bums and welfare recipients (all races) that should be working.....the thing is there aren't influential leaders of every race to encourage responsibility.....I know plenty of people that have had vasectomys, tubal ligations etc. to limit their families to the no. they can afford and still budget to make ends meet. And they don't spend their paycheck on beer, cigs and tattoos. Seems like so many poor people have money to burn, drink up & grace the doorway of their local body piercing & art salons. Anchor babies, welfare babies, whatever.....in 15 years they will make more welfare babies. It takes all kinds of people to do all kinds of jobs in America but the system could easily go bankrupt . Free healthcare for illegals. I'd like free healthcare.....afterall, I've been a giver all these years and barely afford my own health insurance.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/28 16:09

Corinthian, please visit very entertaining site <http://www.kurtsaxon.com/> , specially article America is terminal or watch movie "Idiocracy."

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 16:24

tbs3,

This ia an eyebrow raiser of a post but perhaps for different reasons than you may think.

You wrote:

What if Bush is sacrificing one thing of value (our borders) in a blackmail exchange for keeping WMDs out of the country? If bad guys can bring in drugs by the truckload for criminals for a fee, then so can WMD's be brought in for a fee

Since when has paying off blackmailers led to anything other than more blackmail? What good could possible come from what you've suggested above? Oh sure, we may delay the possibility of a nuclear device reaching our borders but have we really protected America? And since they can deliver that device at any time, then what's to stop permanent blackmail?

This kind of thinking scares the shit out of me. Is succumbing to blackmail the only way we can defend our country? Why not threaten Mexico with extinction instead of allowing them to threaten us?

Boy we've come a long way from Ronald Reagan. We negotiate from positions of weakness now. That's real leadership for you. Boy do I feel safer.

If what you say above is true, then we obviously know who to contact in either the Mexican gov't or MS13 to pay off this blackmail. Why not threaten or DESTROY THEM instead of allowing them to blackmail us?

So now, since we're effectively blackmailed, we can be made to do whatever Mexico wants us to do including giving away our entire country and way of life.

Boy, what a deal. Where do I sign up?

I think I'd rather take my chances with a crude device (Jack says that's all they can possibly have) and put my foot into Mexico's ass rather than be open to blackmail at every turn.

If this is what's really happening behind the scenes than were finished as a country!

Given the state of the borders, which is pathetic, and given the lameness of law enforcement from the top down, how else do you explain the lack of homeland attacks on us?

So let's be blackmailed instead. Wow, I can't believe what I 'm reading, especially from you tbs3.

Mexico and its narcocracy has us by the tender parts.

Only because COWARDS have let them get us by the short hairs. COWARDS in Washington who think they're so intelligent and elite but in reality they are nothing more than COWARDS who will do anything not to have to face hard decisions on their watch.

Is this what is passing for leadership in our government? It makes me wanna puke.

Ivey League COWARDS run this country and Ivey League COWARDS are destroying it as fast as they can.

Would you do any differently than Bush has done if that were the case?

HELL YES I WOULD!

Nothing personal tbs3, this vent is not directed at you, but at what you suggest our government may be doing to "protect" us.

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 16:34

Ceph ~~ Boy, you are good !!! I'll vote for you. (Re: tbs3 post)

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 16:45

Thanks Corinth!

I'm setting up my exploratory committee as we speak.

Oops, I've been informed by my advisors that I'd never survive the character assassination that would be in store for me.

I guess instead of having bones in my closet, I have a grave yard. :blink:

Oh well, maybe in another life. :laugh:

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 17:26

JAN ~~ I O U ! That site is something else.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 17:38

DID TOO DID TOO DID TOO.

Of course, the way the Feds monitor my e-mails.....who knows?

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 17:47

You go, boy! I sue ain't gonna. Once I laid out my platform, I'd be assassinated (a word from an Arabic root, actually. Is anyone surprised?) by hitmen from BOTH sides of the aisle.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by ella - 2007/09/28 18:36

Cephran:

Yes, I do know the negatives of President Bush's presidency. I just do not voice them. I'll continue to stand up for him when I think of the horrors of the 8 years of the toons' presidency. What an absolute nightmare that was and still is. The treasonous activity to our country that took place by those 2 :sick: :sick: :angry: :angry:

My heart felt HOPE is this huge political party machine republican and dem alike will be stopped. I would like to see 'term limits' for both the senate and house. I think the senate should have the same term limit as the president. The house term should be 4 terms = 8 years.

The only word that comes to mind when I think of these 'CLOWNS OF CONGRESS':

MONARCHY :dry: :dry: :dry: The longer they stay the bigger that kingdom of theirs is built. :(:(:huh: :huh: :huh:

Post edited by: ella, at: 2007/09/28 18:39

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Kenny - 2007/09/28 18:42

Sorry Jack, but Bush is a rat for allowing the invasion of peasants from Mexico to continue. For this he should have been impeached and convicted.

And he's not so hot on the war on terror. He's tied the hands of the military on a war that he instigated.

The sooner this clown is gone from office, the better off we'll be. He should be run out of the country and forced to settle in his beloved Mexico.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by briankelly - 2007/09/28 19:05

Dr. Wheeler,

I understand the need to contribute to the Republican Party. However, I have cut off all direct donations to the Republican Party for many reasons, many of the reasons have been sited in some of the discussion here. What I do now is contribute to the Club for Growth. This organization supports the Republican candidates that are fiscal responsible known tax cutters and who believe in limited government.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by lynla - 2007/09/28 19:06

Your friend/wife is a product of the me-generation/feminist lie and lack of principle that has devastated our families. I know many. Most later regret leaving their husbands, once they get a dose of the real world.

I agree we need to appreciate GW - afterall we could have the alternatives. However, unlike Reagan, it doesn't appear he is guided by principle, which is why he is all over the map. I, too, suspect that he answers to other masters.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 19:15

The talk about Hispanics "always pregnant" and "never have vasectomies" is ugly and racist. Let me be plain-- IT IS HATEFUL AND MALICIOUS.

Those of you who said it are headed into darkness. Those of you who let it pass need to cleanse yourselves.

Am I clear enough?

I wasn't aware the Lord made YOU the arbiter of whose heading to darkness and who isn't.

You're starting to sound a bit like a lunatic with these kinds of pronouncements. You are no more equipped to look into a man's soul and determine its contents than the next guy.

So please get off your high horse. You're a filthy, ugly sinner just like everyone else on this planet. It's not your job to determine who is written in the Book of Life and who isn't.

Try and stick to the topics instead of informing us of who is saved and who isn't. You've got your hands full just trying to keep your own ass from burning so please leave the evaluation of men's hearts to Jesus Christ.

And since you love to throw Biblical quotes around, what ever happened to the pronouncement by Jesus (to his disciples) that we are to "Render unto Caesar what is his"?

Last time I checked, WE THE PEOPLE, are the "Caesar" of the United States and God-fearing Mexicans are BREAKING OUR LAWS, PERIOD!

Am I clear enough?

Sorry if this is harsh, but it needs to be said.

Post edited by: cephran, at: 2007/09/28 19:19

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 19:23

Good to see you posting Lynia. We want as many opinions as we can get.

Hope to hear more from you.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 19:27

I'm with ya Ella. Good post!

Wouldn't it also be nice to have term limits on career bureaucrats also?

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/28 20:29

Ceph ~~ There you go again~~being wonderful ! I needed that. Did I really sound ug & rac. ? I hated seeing those wordin print....I've programmed my keys to give you a big K every 6 hrs.! Also: Good Job, Ella. corinth

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by ella - 2007/09/28 20:36

Yes, I hadn't thought of those lefty loons, Cephran. I know Jack had an idea on how to fire those bassturds at State.

How long would those lefty loons be allowed to have those jobs? Every two years they'd be transferred into a completely different department after 8 years they'd have to go find a job in the private sector. :cheer: :cheer: :cheer:

Reason for the change...to bring fresh blood, ideas, and sound reasoning back into the DC cesspool. :laugh: :laugh: :laugh:

Post edited by: ella, at: 2007/09/28 20:47

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Gary - 2007/09/28 20:37

All the mystery with George W. Bush vanishes when you understand whose interests he actually represents. Here's a hint: It ain't us. The only time he seems to be representing American citizens is when our interests happen to coincide with the people he's working for. Who are they? I can't name them, but I can guess and so can you. Just ask yourself what type of people have a very strong desire to erase our borders and dump our sovereignty to create a single North American Region. Ask yourself if these are the same type of people who can't afford to let China control the world's oil. (This is the part that happens to benefit us.) And, before you label this as another conspiracy theory, ask yourself why Bush is so tightlipped about the border situation. He refuses to talk because he has nothing to say that won't tip his hand. There is no pro-American argument for dropping our guard at the border. Here's another test: Would Reagan would sit still for any of this?

Bush is getting praise from conservatives for killing terrorists, when he should be condemned for killing our freedom. As terrible as it is to lose our soldiers and fellow American citizens to terrorists, it's far worse to lose our freedom, piece by piece, and eventually our country. Under all, is America. If that goes away, the only purpose for our military will be to control us and that's already happening. Bush is the leader of the greatest enemy an American citizen can have – our own unconstitutional government.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 20:43

Corinth,

No sweat dude. ;)

My philosophy is we can argue, debate and even call each other names at times, but I don't think we should start condemning each other. That's kinda where I draw the line.

To quote Jimmy "the Cheeseman" in the movie "The Pope of Greenwich Village":

"Let's stick to what we know here" :laugh:

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by prosenberg1 - 2007/09/28 20:54

Never made myself your lord. I'm just another schmuck with an opinion.

Guess I cut a little close. Interchange "darkness" for "unkindness" if it makes you feel better, but the facts remain the same.

PR

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 21:02

PR,

I'm all about debate and I realize that when passions arise on a topic, sometimes the debate can be heated and get a little over-the-top. I'm cool with that.

I just think we start crossig lines when we condemn people. That's not our job as Catholics/Christians or Jews or whatever your persuasion might be (except maybe Islamist).

Believe me, many of us think you flat out wrong for your love of Illegal Mexican Immigrants, but were not gonna banish you to hell for it.

So let's debate on and leave the evaluation of souls to Jesus.

Nuff said. I'm moving on...

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by marco - 2007/09/28 22:48

Jack,

Did you have any idea that after you lobbed the frag grenade into the dry forest the flames would get this high? :-)

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by prosenberg1 - 2007/09/28 22:57

You know, Cephran, I was just thinking about that myself. You do have a point.

My personal traits are such that I sometimes use religious types of words for their descriptiveness and/or beauty. I don't mean them to address someone's eternal soul. That's a wee bit out of my jurisdiction.

But... I am weird that way. Most people understand those words to imply damnation, shame, or something of the sort. This was not my intention, and I apologize.

That said, I still think the babies and vasectomies issues are none of the poster's damn business. Secondly, they are a clear decent into racial hatreds.

Damn ugly, if you ask me.

PR

Post edited by: prosenberg1, at: 2007/09/28 22:59

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/28 23:18

I'd say that the anthill has been quite thoroughly kicked here.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/28 23:25

PR,

No sweat man. Water under a bridge.

You know the old saying; "opinions/excuses are like assholes, everybodys got one"

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Gary - 2007/09/28 23:58

As well as it should be. The emperor has no clothes.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by tbs3 - 2007/09/29 00:16

I hope I am wrong about the "answer" above, but cannot find fault with the facts or the logic. If anyone can fault it, I would be most grateful.

As far as what to do about it, I honestly am not sure. Feeding Danegeld to Mexico does not solve the problem and makes it worse while it delays the inevitable. Invading Mexico would be hard to accomplish given the bunch in Congress now - and that's what it would take. Heck, we don't even have the political assets to build a measly fence at present!

Do you have any useful ideas? I don't yet - except to expose the criminality of the bad guys, and the RINOs and get some people in place who can stomach the possibility of a WMD in a major city.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/29 01:18

Well prosenberg1, as long as we're going for "facts" here, as you said in a later post, ("the facts remain the same"). "Mexican" or "Hispanic" isn't a race. They're a culture, or nationality, yes. In the actual anthropological sense, no. Not that anyone would think that if one listens to the Viva la Raza (long live the "race") types.

"Racist" wasn't actually a word about 35-40 years ago, so I've read, but was coined to be used as a tool, usually to stifle debate, by the left. No one wants to be called a racist for expressing facts about a particular culture, or race, so they keep their mouths shut, which is the intended outcome.

Whites have learned through the PC intimidation of being called a racist (or sexist, or homophobe), that it's not okay to point out, say, black-on-black crime as being one of the biggest problems facing blacks. That will usually bring out the race card.

Putting groups beyond criticism, by demonizing the messenger, has been all too effective in stifling debate, and even more effective in preventing the solving of problems, since the problems aren't even "allowed" to be identified. And yes, this even affects blacks who point out problems of blacks. Look how Bill Cosby got thrown under the bus for his correct statements about problems of blacks being self-perpetuated. If you're a white criticizing blacks, you're a racist. If you're black criticizing blacks, you're selling out to whitey or you're an Uncle Tom.

Now we see how this tactic is working all too well with Muslims and Islam. Whether it's government funded foot washing basins in airports, cabbies getting special treatment and considerations, cartoons, whatever, the PC world is collectively, seriously, kissing some Muslim ass here. That brings this full circle because, guess what, "Muslim" or middle-eastern isn't a race either. But what's the first thing CAIR trots out when anyone dares to criticize anything a Muslim does?? "Racist," right? They've learned the PC game VERY well thanks to US and Euro PC types.

It's been said that political correctness is, simply, intimidation. Terrorism is, of course, the ultimate form of intimidation.

Now, about what Corinth said...in his opening rant: "Why are all hispanic women pregnant with one in their arms and three in the cart? Do Mex. men ever get vasectomys?"

His second question is a valid one. (Would YOU get a vasectomy in Mexico?) If his first sentence substituted "many" for "all", it would be not far off. The reality of the rest of his sentence can be observed just by walking around in any southwestern. US city.

The Hispanic, or Mexican culture has been known for large extended families for decades. Corinth is making an observation that is absolutely no secret. The fact that he did so, perhaps a little indelicately, (but by just one word) while he was thoroughly pissed and concerned about the FACT that illegal aliens, and their large extended families are taking money out of our pockets, in a very real and factual sense, and by extension, our children's pockets, doesn't, dilute, or taint the points of his very accurate message.

And he has very good reasons for his concern, as he laid out very well. We now read that more US citizens are murdered each week by illegal aliens than the number of US troops killed each week in Iraq. And, of course, the high number of other crimes by illegals is very well documented.

What's amazing isn't that Corinth....is so pissed. What's amazing is that too few others..... aren't. And I'm certain, from past posts, that Corinth doesn't give a rats ass who's coming here illegally and creating such a huge burden on US taxpayers. If Martians were coming here with large extended families, populating our schools and slowing them down because teachers had to do lessons in English and Martian, all for free, while providing NO proof of inoculations, like US parents do, thereby exposing our kids to who knows what (tuberculosis seems to be a biggie), while crowding our emergency medical facilities, again, for free, while Martian activists demand that some of our laws against illegal Martian the invasion get rescindedwell, you get the picture. (is that a run-on sentence, or what?) eddd7

Post edited by: eddd7, at: 2007/09/29 01:22

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by rightynews - 2007/09/29 02:24

An interesting read is "World War IV The Long Struggle Against Islamofascism" by George Podhoretz just published. He articulates the Bush Doctrine when 9 days after the attacks GWB say " The advance of human freedom, the great

achievement of our time and the great hope of every time, now depends on us. Our nation, this generation, will lift the dark threat of violence from our people and our future. We will rally the world to this cause by our efforts, by our courage. We will not tire, we will not falter, and we will not fail."
and further . ." I will not forget the wounded to our country and those who inflicted it. I will not yield, I will not rest, I will not relent in waging this struggle for freedom and security for the American people. The course of this conflict is not known, yet its outcome is certain. Freedom and fear, justice and cruelty, have always been at war, and we know that God is not neutral between them."

I take issue with almost everything GWB has financially done at home, but there have been no new attacks here. Pray and vote.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by johnwss - 2007/09/29 03:57

Gary wrote:

All the mystery with George W. Bush vanishes when you understand whose interests he actually represents. Here's a hint: It ain't us. The only time he seems to be representing American citizens is when our interests happen to coincide with the people he's working for. Who are they? I can't name them, but I can guess and so can you.

(I wish I knew HTML, so I could end this quote box properly!)

Gary,

You are so right on with what you say above!!!! There's no mystery in my mind regarding El Presidente Jorge's actions and policies. He's simply the most recent in a long line of puppets for the powerful, moneyed interests who are calling the shots. He also seems to be the most effective at doing their bidding!

Anyone who thinks the problem is having the wrong person in the White House is sadly deluded. This is what organizational theorists call a "system problem", that can't be fixed by simply replacing the players in the system with better people. We need a true rEVOLution! To get an idea of how that rEVOLution can start, check out this URL: <http://www.silverbearcafe.com/private/godzilla.html> Pay special attention to Mr. Wallenwein's last few words about the best kept secret in politics!

Post edited by: johnwss, at: 2007/09/29 04:00

Re:GEORGE BUSH Spooky Smart

Posted by wettek - 2007/09/29 04:10

Cephran - you are spot-on as usual with your Bush comments.

I also love Jack Wheeler (Platonically of course) and his unabashed patriotism, optimism and love of country, but a "spooky smart" George Bush? No, George Bush is either spooky stupid or spooky deceitful.

When George Bush ascended to the presidency his first action should have been to fire every Democrat political appointee in Washington. Instead, that fool left them all in place to subvert every conservative ideal we sent him to Washington to protect and promote. Was he reaching out to his opponents to bring harmony to Washington? Or was he just a blithering idiot who turned a hard-won Republican electoral victory over to the very bureaucrats who have sworn to destroy everything conservatives believe in?

He played kissy-face with that bloated buffoon Ted Kennedy on the 2001 Education Bill and signed off on the biggest federal education budget ever. Thereby transferring even more money and power to the teachers' lobby, which is just another Democrat front group. How smart is that?

He added hundreds of billions of dollars in "free" drug benefits to an already bankrupt Medicare/Medicaid system. (I can only assume he did it to insure his re-election, even though it was antithetical to the ideals of true conservatives.) Is adding untold billions in new welfare spending to an already bankrupt federal program the mark of a genius with an MBA from the Harvard Business School?

Is spooky smart Bush the same guy who looked Comrade Putin in the eye and "...was able to get a sense of his soul"?

Is this closet intellectual the same Republican President who refused to pursue and prosecute the serial leakers of classified information from the CIA who were undermining the effort in Iraq, even while he was sacking the only man (Porter Goss) who had even the remotest chance of cleaning out that cesspool?

What Einstein would spend hundreds of billions of dollars and thousands of lives to drive the Islamofascists out of Iraq (which I support) and not spend even a billion dollars on a fence to keep the same Islamofascists from walking across our southern border?

Why is it I am always hearing anecdotal stories of Bush's brilliance and charm while engaged in private conversations when his public persona is of a man who cannot construct a simple sentence of one syllable words without uttering "aaaahhh"? (My 3 year old grandson is a far more capable extemporaneous speaker than the spooky smart President.)

I could go on and on, but what's the point. If George Bush is a genius, I don't see it. And if he is as clever as Jack claims, then he's too clever by half. Either way, I would prefer a man less intellectually gifted and more dedicated to the conservative cause. In many ways Bush has demoralized and splintered conservatives more than any Democrat ever could. His lack of a conservative vision has allowed the Republicans in congress to drift, directionless. His lack of partisanship has allowed our enemies to attack relentlessly, without fear of retribution. We needed a conservative Hector against the Democrat Achilles, what we got was an Odysseus in the belly of our horse.

I refrain from criticizing Bush in public, because even though he's a sonofabitch, he's my sonofabitch - whether I like it or not. I don't want to provide ammunition to my ideological enemies for use against my inept leader, even though I find little in the man to admire. His most notable trait is that he is not Al Gore or John Kerry. To paraphrase Churchill, "Bush is a riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma."

But now, as Jack said, it's time to move on. My fear is that one of the current Republican Presidential front-runners will be selected not because he is desirable, but merely because he is available. Then we conservatives will continue to be without a champion or a leader and the disintegration will continue.

Personal to Cephraan: I rarely find the need to write a comment on most TTP items because your views so closely reflect mine that adding my thoughts would merely be redundant. Thanks for saving me all the time it takes to think and type and post.

Greg

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by ella - 2007/09/29 16:15

I'd like to know when the kooks arrived?:P :P :P

I can do a search and find all kind of ridiculous garbage. :sick: :sick: :sick:

Yes, we've got those that buy into the garbage hook, line and sinker. :blink: :blink: :blink:

There was a time when I ask why? An understanding was found in the scripture:

2Th 2:11 And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: :whistle: :whistle: :whistle:

Post edited by: ella, at: 2007/09/29 16:17

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH Spooky Smart

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/29 16:29

Wettek (Greg),

Thank you for your gracious comments.

I wish you'd post more because you obviously have a handle on things and we need your opinions and biting wit.

You wrote:

We needed a conservative Hector against the Democrat Achilles, what we got was an Odysseus in the belly of our horse.

Man, I wish I would have thought of that. How perfectly it encapsulates Bush.

His most notable trait is that he is not Al Gore or John Kerry.

Isn't that the truth! Every Bush puff piece trumpets to the reader "it could have been worst, we could have Gore or Kerry". Shows you just how desperate they are when they trot out those kinds of comparisons.

To paraphrase Churchill, "Bush is a riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma."

Quoting Capote (TTP poster): The Trinity is a mystery. George W. Bush is no mystery.

My fear is that one of the current Republican Presidential front-runners will be selected not because he is desirable, but merely because he is available. Then we conservatives will continue to be without a champion or a leader and the disintegration will continue.

This is gonna be a tough one for a lot to stomach, but NONE of the Republican field, who actually have a shot at winning, are conservatives (yes, that includes Fred, Mitt and Rudy). Simply put, we don't have any conservatives who have risen to the top like Reagan. Reagan was sandwiched between liberal republicans (Nixon, Ford, GHWB and GWB) and I'm afraid that how it will always be. I think our next great, articulate conservative champion is probably in Iraq or Afghanistan right now. So we'll have to wait.

In the meantime we have to decide who among the current crop of liberal republicans can carry our flag with the least amount of damage to us and the most amount of damage to the Liberals and Islamosascists

That's why I have my money on Rudy. He has many liberal tendencies, but he also is pretty hardcore when he has his sights set on something. He also has a lot more character and courage than he's given credit for because most just want to focus on his divorces or personal life.

But as Johnwss so brilliantly stated: Anyone who thinks the problem is having the wrong person in the White House is sadly deluded. This is what organizational theorists call a "system problem", that can't be fixed by simply replacing the players in the system with better people.

Anyway, please keep on posting. We need more opinions and debate and not just my big mouth ranting all the time.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/29 21:19

eddd7~~thanks for saying the right words.....'martians etc. '.....you have a way of knowing what a person wants to say~~and you do a better job of saying it! I know now that I need to proof read every post.....I've only discovered this site in the last three days and silly me, I was assuming (should never assume anything) that most people posting here would be pretty much like minded....the problems we are facing in our country are so important and what has happened SO severe~~ and the possibility of even worse happening) I feel very strongly about many issues. I even feel like pregnant illegal people are the business of every tax paying citizen. As far as the vas' quip~~~oh, brother, maybe I was trying to be a little bit humorous ; perhaps if I had said tubal ligation instead, Mr.Pro' wouldn't have been so squirmish . What about when my govt. preached zpg to me.....did I go around saying it's none of anyone else's business how many children I want to have? No !!! In fact, the majority of my friends have two children. I am a strong believer in contributing to society. My nature is to give rather than receive. And I mean giving of my own self, time or money~~not yours and not someone else's. In no way do I think like a liberal! Nor do I ever try to take advantage of people in a business deal. I never try to "one up" them . I abhor greedy people . There is a lot to be said about the 'once upon a time'...civics class "Americanism vs. Communism." I doesn't work as I recall. There is however, strength in numbers and with the massive liberal movement in our country it is reassuring to chat with fellow conservatives. corinth

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/29 21:50

I believe it's "the" lie, a specific lie. I think it refers to those who believe that the anti-Christ (which I'm told is a lousy translation. "In place of Christ" is more accurate) is the Messiah, and buy into his garbage.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/29 22:02

Not that specific book, but articles, essays, etc on these subjects.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/29 22:19

Now now Corinth.....don't go getting all gun shy and lose your passion an spontaneity. "Pregnant illegal ""people""? You still mean "women". right? hah hah hah. Thanks for your kind words.

Here's a little humorous history to help you sort out the Liberal / Conservative thing. Enjoy! eddd7

Humans originally existed as members of small bands of nomadic hunters/gatherers. They lived on deer in the mountains during the summer and would go to the coast and live on fish and lobster in the winter.

The two most important events in all of history were the invention of beer and the invention of the wheel. The wheel was invented to get man to the beer. These were the foundation of modern civilization and together were the catalyst for the splitting of humanity into two distinct subgroups:

1. Liberals; and
2. Conservatives.

Once beer was discovered, it required grain and that was the beginning of agriculture. Neither the glass bottle nor aluminum can were invented yet, so while our early humans were sitting around waiting for them to be invented, they just stayed close to the brewery. That's how villages were formed.

Some men spent their days tracking and killing animals to B-B-Q at night while they were drinking beer. This was the beginning of what is known as the Conservative movement.

Other men who were weaker and less skilled at hunting learned to live off the conservatives by showing up for the nightly B-B-Q's and doing the sewing, fetching, and hair dressing. This was the beginning of the Liberal movement. Some of these liberal men eventually evolved into women. The rest became known as girliemen.

Some noteworthy liberal achievements include the domestication of cats, the invention of group therapy, group hugs, and the concept of Democratic voting to decide how to divide the meat and beer that conservatives provided.

Over the years conservatives came to be symbolized by the largest, most powerful land animal on earth, the elephant. Liberals are symbolized by the jackass.

Modern liberals like imported beer (with lime added), but most prefer white wine or imported bottled water. They eat raw fish but like their beef well done. Sushi, tofu, and French food are standard liberal fare.

Another interesting evolutionary side note: most of their women have higher testosterone levels than their men. Most social workers, personal injury attorneys, journalists, dreamers in Hollywood and group therapists are liberals. Liberals invented the designated hitter rule because it wasn't fair to make the pitcher also bat.

Conservatives drink domestic beer. They eat red meat and still provide for their women. Conservatives are big-game hunters, rodeo cowboys, lumberjacks, construction workers, firemen, medical doctors, police officers, corporate executives, athletes, Marines, and generally anyone who works productively.

Conservatives who own companies hire other conservatives who want to work for a living. Insurance Agents too.

Liberals produce little or nothing. They like to govern the producers and decide what to do with the production. Liberals believe Europeans are more enlightened than Americans. That is why most of the liberals remained in Europe when conservatives were coming to America . They crept in after the Wild West was tamed and created a business of trying to get more for nothing.

Here ends today's lesson in world history:

It should be noted that a Liberal may have a momentary urge to angrily respond to the above. A Conservative will simply laugh and be so convinced of the absolute truth of this history that it will be forwarded immediately to other true believers and to more liberals just to tick them off.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/30 00:28

Hey emartell (any relation to Charles?) , I'll trade you "last questions". You asked "What does it take to get us fed up enough to take action to stop this insanity?" Yes, where is the tipping point going to be??

As I see it, a huge and growing part of the problem, is that the normal people....the Conservitives, are more and more busy keeping the boat afloat. We're too busy to become activists like the left, with their seemingly endless ability to produce rent-a-mobs for every issue they wish to bray about.

Federal taxes on the average middle class taxpayer have gone from 3% in 1950 to 27-28% today, "Mom" has had to go back to work, and it shows in the last several generations of kids. Are we getting nine times more for our tax dollar now than we were in 1950? Not so you'd notice.

From Limbaugh's site awhile back:

The Top 50% pay 96.54% of All Income Taxes (The top 1% pay more than a third: 34.27%)

October 4, 2005

This is the data for calendar year 2003 just released in October 2005 by the Internal Revenue Service. The share of total income taxes paid by the top 1% of wage earners rose to 34.27% from 33.71% in 2002. Their income share (not just wages) rose from 16.12% to 16.77%. However, their average tax rate actually dropped from 27.25% down to 24.31%

The bottom 50% is paying a tiny bit of the taxes, so you can't give them much of a tax cut by definition. Yet these are the people to whom the Democrats claim to want to give tax cuts. Remember this the next time you hear the "tax cuts for the rich" business. Understand that the so-called rich are about the only ones paying taxes anymore.

It's perfect, really. If you steal from Peter to give to Paul, you'll always get the vote of Paul. By being kept ever busier just to provide for our families, we haven't the time or energy to become activists. So where's that tipping point? I'd go for a military coup right about now.....led by Patraeus.

Okay, here's a small part of my platform:

Federal Reserve (which is neither federal nor Reserve) Outa here! Back to a gold and silver standard.

Seal the borders. No "student" visas. Nothing. Eliminate just about every unconstitutional government department, which is just about all of them. States take care of all their own infrastructure.....roads, whatever.

Welfare and other vote-buying schemes over in 90 days. Period! Mom can now stay at home and volunteer to help the church's take care of the "poor", of which the numbers will drop radically, when they're not paid by the government to be "poor". (A family in Maine, accessing every state and federal government handout, brings in \$56,400 bucks....for not working!)

Dept of indoctrination OOPS - "education" = gone, outa here, no esta aqui, finito!

Dept of Indian Affairs. Ditto What's one of the biggest problems in the American Indian community? Poverty, right? The Dept. of Indian Affairs' budget would provide about \$60,000 annually to every card-carrying Indian in the country. I'm sure that would do more good than giving that money to govt employees. Probably white liberals anyway.

Dept of Commerce. What do they do? Bribe foreign countries? It was public outcry that undid the Dubai Ports deal. Now,

a Dubai Corp (news last week) has majority share of the Sinclair Group, of which....who has a big stake in? Yes, Bush 41 and 43.

I guess we can't ask Ron Brown what he thought of the Dept. of Commerce, now that he's um, dead. (no one ever questions an airplane whack)

Dept. Of Interior? Weyerhaeuser runs forests waaay better than the Forest Service and their ilk. Gone! Outa here. Again, let the States run their own.

Federal funding of art? If you can't make it on your own in the free market, your art sucks. Go back to school.

Abolish the IRS as an income confiscation bunch, but put them in charge of handling bogus medical "malpractice" claims against doctors and hospitals, and totally eliminate, through tort reforms, medical insurance for both the individual and for the health care providers, leaving everything up to the free market. And stand back and watch medical costs plummet. If someone wants to sue, the doctor will say: "call the IRS". Better think twice!

Go after the HUGE oil find in the Gulf, by Chervon (?) last year, tap all the offshore deposits, ANWR, etc, with the goal of buying NO oil from anyone. Round up all the illegals, and bill Mexico, etc, for doing so. They have plenty of oil. We'll take that in trade. Same for the costs of the wall.

If you're born here but your parents aren't citizens. Guess what. Neither are you.

Abortion....., is up to the baby, not the "mother". This "woman's right to choose" BS ain't in the Constitution". Today, if you kill a pregnant woman in almost every state, it's a double homicide. The "supreme" court kicked God out of public (read: government) schools about the same time as Roe V Wade. So let's see now.....we tell our kids that the God your parents teach you about is irrelevant, and it's okay to kill inconvenient babies, and we wonder why we have Columbine or Santee.

Develop "Star Wars". If Putin complains, tell him it's simple. If he doesn't shoot anything our way, we won't shoot down his toys. We might reference Israel's blinding of the Russian-made Syrian anti-aircraft "protection system" and ask Pootie if that's under warranty. It didn't work so hot for the the Syrians.

Smoke that greasy little turd who's starving and torturing his own people in North Korea. Do it very -very publicly, and then have our President, and our paper's headlines ask: "Who's next"? That would be enough to make Achtun-a-nutjob find Christ!

Tell Microsoft they have 30 days to fix Vista. Or less. And then, there's the rebates...

Okay.....I need a drink to wind down.....And the hot tub's up to 104 degrees!
I'm outa here. eddd7

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/09/30 03:01

Eddd7 ~~ You are just too good.....preg.people !!! Why did I do that? Maybe I am a little gun shy due to pro....does he use this site to get his jollies or to heckle? Your intuitiveness amazes me. corinth

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/30 04:55

How about to send contributions in Monopoly or Chinese money. Money talks and it may force carpetbaggers to become again representatives of the people.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by tonova - 2007/09/30 12:58

Oh no you don't, Jack. I'm not falling into this thread ... I know a "Fujimori Flytrap" when I see it. ;)

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/30 13:57

Jan and Corinth.....I don't know.....This guy makes noises that reminds me of Margaret Sanger.....
<http://www.blackgenocide.org/sanger.html>

"Dr. Harry Laughlin, another Sanger associate and board member for her group, spoke of purifying America's human "breeding stock" and purging America's "bad strains." These "strains" included the "shiftless, ignorant, and worthless class of antisocial whites of the South."

Not to be outdone by her followers, Margaret Sanger spoke of sterilizing those she designated as "unfit," a plan she said would be the "salvation of American civilization.: And she also spoke of those who were "irresponsible and reckless," among whom she included those " whose religious scruples prevent their exercising control over their numbers." She further contended that "there is no doubt in the minds of all thinking people that the procreation of this group should be stopped." That many Americans of African origin constituted a segment of Sanger considered "unfit" cannot be easily refuted.

While Planned Parenthood's current apologists try to place some distance between the eugenics and birth control movements, history definitively says otherwise. The eugenic theme figured prominently in the Birth Control Review, which Sanger founded in 1917. She published such articles as "Some Moral Aspects of Eugenics" (June 1920), "The Eugenic Conscience" (February 1921), "The purpose of Eugenics" (December 1924), "Birth Control and Positive Eugenics" (July 1925), "Birth Control: The True Eugenics" (August 1928), and many others."

Kurt Saxon's statement about outgrowing our abilities to feed ourselves is flat-out not true. During the Ethiopian "famine", for example, there was food in the marketplaces. Before the "famine", Ethiopia's number 1 export was.....you guessed it.....food!. Ethiopia had a monetary collapse, not a famine. The monetary collapse was orchestrated. It didn't just "happen". No one could afford the existing food except the government types.

The poverty in much of Africa is because countries lack to things absolutely necessary for prosperity - freedom and capitalism. Some African countries are big in natural resources, but without freedom and capitalism, the money from the resources never makes it into the hands of the people. But even natural resources, while nice, aren't necessary for prosperity. Look at Taiwan. Built on a rock. No natural resources. They import almost everything they need. Do they have prosperity? Same with Japan....almost. Very little natural resources.

Out of the ten top oil producing countries, the U.S. being number 10, only in the U.S. does money from oil make it into the hands of the people.

When we give money.....foreign "aid" to African countries, the money doesn't make it past the hands of whatever dictator is running (or ruining) the show. In these cases, foreign aid works toward keeping the poverty, as it shores up the dictator and his cronies. It's not like the U.S. doesn't know this, so it makes us wonder if this isn't deliberate. ALL of these dictators are for the world's largest wealth redistribution scam known as Kyoto / via the global warming hoax. Guess who's wealth! As I've said before in these forums; "are we to somehow believe that these vicious, cruel bastards have now found a place in their dark, evil hearts for "mother Earth, and our future generations?" .

There are "conspiracy" types who believe AIDS is/was a man made disease (that may have gotten out of hand) with the purpose of shrinking world population, or more accurately, Africa's population.

Google this: "The Strecker Memorandum" (the link was too long) and pick one.
eddd7

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/30 14:19

Maybe it's not blackmail. Maybe it's quid pro quo. We know what we're losing. But we don't know what we're getting.

Having said that, I can't imagine what we're "getting" that would make up for the loss of billions of bucks to support

illegals. And then there's the high crime rate, etc.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/09/30 14:30

Ha ha ha....."we" accidentally shot a water buffalo belonging to some south Viet Nam folks, and paid them off in monopoly money. A water buffalo, to them, is like a Cadillac.....that reproduces. The monopoly money looks a bit like the MPC (military pay certificates) that GI's were paid in. We knew they'd figure it out.....and be back. A group came to the main gate (Quang Tri base) demanding to see the guy in charge. When we explained, through an interpreter, that we knew they'd be back and that we gave them fake money....just for the humor in it, they actually ended up thinking it was funny too.

Although they were pissed about the buffalo, they knew it had escaped from its pen in the middle of the night, and had walked into one of our ambushes.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by prosenberg1 - 2007/09/30 19:00

Eddd7:

First, to pick on someone's reproductive habits is none of your business, or mine, or Corinthian's. To play with that is to head down a long dark road... as I've been warning here for a long time, and which many here will fight against until it's far too late. (God forbid they should be deprived of someone to blame, and show a little bit of benevolence.)

Now, let me take just one of your statements: "the FACT that illegal aliens, and their large extended families are taking money out of our pockets, in a very real and factual sense, and by extension, our children's pockets"

Oh my, we're back to a zero-sum world! So, Jorge Garcia is stealing from you... and your children!

Get it straight: More economic activity is better! Period! If you argue with that, you're arguing against reality, and you're in favor of raw, primitive emotion. US/THEM.

If Jorge is productive, HE IS US! Only if he is dishonest does he become THEM. All else slides down to an intellectual pit.

Race/ethnicity/society or whatever else we call differences don't matter. The accidents of birth are just that - accidents. What matters is that we behave as honest, productive human beings.

If that's not enough for you, you've become part of the problem.

PR

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/09/30 19:52

"More economic activity is better" Very interesting approach. Sounds like mass over class and no quality control at all. Melting pot goes to junk yard and California with New Mexico, Arizona and Texas goes back to United State of Mexico. After that, both governments will agree to new, politically correct and realistic sign in Spanish, describing events at Alamo as a brawl led by drunkard James Bowie in 1836.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/30 21:21

PR.

Let's examine some of your ideas!

You wrote:

First, to pick on someone's reproductive habits is none of your business, or mine, or Corinthian's. To play with that is to head down a long dark road...

Would you consider those who oppose Abortion as having no business objecting to other people's reproductive habits? Be honest!

Oh my, we're back to a zero-sum world! So, Jorge Garcia is stealing from you... and your children!

If you can't see how many BILLIONS of dollars ILLEGALS are costing the American taxpayer in gov't services, medical services, education services, etc..., than you are intellectually dishonest.

What exactly are ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS contributing to America besides cheap labor? So I can buy a head of lettuce fifty cents cheaper, big deal. Everything else is costing me more so how am I coming out ahead?

These same ILLEGALS are also lowering entry level wages in construction and other blue-collar professions because they are willing to work for less than LEGAL AMERICANS are. In the process these same ILLEGALS are helping to destroy the Middle Class by artificially reducing wages for jobs that should be paying more.

It's not about a zero-sum world, so stop with the sophistry.

It's about real wages and working conditions deteriorating while the drain on taxpayer funded services goes up. Plain and simple!

Get it straight: More economic activity is better! Period! If you argue with that, you're arguing against reality, and you're in favor of raw, primitive emotion. US/THEM.

To apply your logic, we should open our borders to EVERYONE IN THE WORLD and have a free-for-all on the American dream. That is what you're saying with the above statement.

You're the one who seems to be operating on pure emotion. Your arguments fail to hold water when logic is applied to them.

What reality are we arguing against, please be specific?

If Jorge is productive, HE IS US! Only if he is dishonest does he become THEM. All else slides down to an intellectual pit.

No one is arguing productivity of Jorge, WE ARE ARGUING HIS ILLEGAL STATUS AND LAWBREAKING. WE ARE ARGUING HIS DRAIN ON THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER. WE ARE ARGUING IN FAVOR OF THE RULE OF LAW IN AMERICA.

You're the one making it an US/THEM argument full of primitive Liberal emotion. We are trying to argue facts and rather than attempt to refute each one, you make sweeping generalizations. Could it be that you can't refute our arguments and must resort to these types of tactics?

Race/ethnicity/society or whatever else we call differences don't matter. The accidents of birth are just that - accidents.

Why don't you come right out and say you're for open borders. Your rant above screams open borders.

Let me see if I can understand your argument. We're all the same regardless of race or accidents of birth in a particular country so let's just level the playing field by erasing borders. Sure sounds like what you're trying to say.

Please let me know if I'm off course here?

What matters is that we behave as honest, productive human beings.

Productivity trumps everything else in your world, eh PR?

I don't suppose the "honesty" you speak about above includes being honest enough to enter the US legally rather than illegally? I suppose that "honesty" you speak of doesn't extend to Identity Theft, Welfare Fraud, stealing social security

numbers or ILLEGALLY sponging off the American taxpayer?

Just what does your definition of "honesty" entail? Please be specific.

If that's not enough for you, you've become part of the problem.

And the piece de resistance of your argument; We Americans are the real problem, not those who subvert our laws.

Hopefully, you can construct some persuasive arguments instead and answer the very specific questions I have asked you.

Post edited by: cephran, at: 2007/09/30 21:26

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Capo - 2007/09/30 21:31

News flash for you PR when someone breaks into your house and starts threatening your family lives, goods and livelihood, it is You vs. Them.

More economic activity is better? Tell me Comrade what do we do with people who don't accept this 'more is better economic activity?'

Next time I have a rapist-murderer MS-13 member hanging out in the woods near my house (also next to the k-4 school) over night, I'll remember your wise words 'more is good.'

Next time my daughter is having difficulty with Math but the public school teacher is too busy teaching English as a second language in Math class, I'll remember your wise words more is good. ...Oh wait I forgot I'm now paying private school fees because I'm a racist who wants my child to learn Math...Oh that's right PR 'more is good.'

Next time my wife is having a baby in the hospital hallway because all the delivery rooms are taken by illegal aliens who didn't bother to reserve a room like we did 6 months before, I'll remember your wise words: 'more is good.'

I didn't mind the Old Testament chastisement of Corinth; he did cross over the line a little, as he himself knows. Life is a gift from God and any diminishing or ridiculing of that gift leads to ...well where are now.

Nevertheless, PR your wise words 'more is good' has all the appeal of a Bolshevik poster, a rampaging Maoist crowd in the Cultural Revolution, or a MS-13 rapist whose comment as came out of the room of a dead American citizen was something along the lines: 'She's not going to be movin' anymore.'

Note: The rapist was released the day before after being picked up earlier in the week with multiple false ids -- More is Good, PR?

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Capo - 2007/09/30 21:31

Post edited by: Capo, at: 2007/09/30 21:33

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/30 22:35

Well said Capo.

Hey, what ever happened with those folks and the FBI. Just curious.

=====

Re:An Answer

Posted by cephran - 2007/09/30 23:27

I guess time will tell.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by wettek - 2007/10/01 00:17

PR

The United States is very unique among nations of the world in that the average citizen generally respects the law. There is very little bribery and corruption compared to the rest of the world and our courts are generally competent and fair. I am of the opinion this respect for the law is cultural and it is what gives us our political stability, our business efficiency, and our general well-being.

The people who enter this country illegally, whether it be Jorge, Jose, or Sven, are violating our immigration laws. This indicates to me they do not respect this country, its laws or its culture. They didn't respect them when they came here and they probably won't respect them when they get here. Facts bear this out. They drive without driver's licenses or mandatory insurance. They work for cash and don't file U.S. taxes. They go to and use U.S. medical facilities and abscond to avoid paying. They steal Social Security numbers and lie to employers. They forge legal documents. They violate building codes and health ordinances by packing thirty people in buildings designed for six. They dump their trash everywhere along our border. They bring disease. They conduct their "economic activity" in the U.S. and then ship the proceeds to Mexico, which is where they really want to be. All you have to do is look at the corruption and chaos in every corner of Mexico to see what potential harm their culture brings to our country.

You can argue that they work hard and are only doing it to help their families or make a better life for themselves, but that won't wash. I am sure Jesse James believed he was working hard robbing trains and banks to help his family and make a better life for himself, too. After all, if you really believe "More economic activity is always better! Period!" then don't you have to assume that more criminal economic activity, which certainly has a huge economic impact, is "always better" too. And if you do believe that then why bother to have any law? Let's declare anarchy. Then just imagine all the economic activity that would take place.

People refer to Jorge and illegal Mexicans stereotypically because Mexicans are the problem. If we were being invaded by Russians we would be referring to Ivan or Vlad and probably making snide comments about vodka drinkers, but we're not. We're being invaded by Mexicans. Mexicans who, because of either culture or religion, tend to reproduce at much higher rates than native born U.S. citizens. Since Mexicans have a much higher reproductive rate and since illegal border jumpers prize a birth in a U.S. hospital because it immediately grants the privilege of U.S. citizenship it is not hard to see that their birth rate, once they cross our border, is our business and our concern. Economic activity be damned!

We have a more than generous system for allowing legal immigration to this country. If more Mexicans want to emigrate than can be accommodated legally then that is a problem for Mexicans, not Americans. Even Nobel prize winning economist Milton Friedman (no racist he) who probably knows (knew) more about "economic activity" than anyone on this forum, opined that combining open immigration with the modern welfare state is madness.

Do I hate every and all Mexicans? Of course not. But as long as Jorge Garcia is illegally invading this country he is fair game for my wrath and criticism. And since lawlessness appears to be cultural in Mexico, I have no problem disdaining their culture as well. It should be our national goal to protect the law-abiding American culture that we all value and the only way I see that happening is by stopping the illegal importation of Mexican culture.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Capo - 2007/10/01 00:31

Cephran I'm waiting on the Bureau, I'm sure that they will do the right thing. Right?

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/10/01 01:55

Capo,

Keep us posted. I'm very interested in the process and outcome.

=====

Edd7\'s Platform

Posted by johnwss - 2007/10/01 04:17

Edd7,

I rather like your presidential platform, in your page 12 (2007/09/30 00:28) post. (We almost need a separate indexed database for you and Cephran. Not that that would be bad, as you both have many good things to say!)

If you didn't borrow this from Ron Paul, then perhaps he has borrowed it from you over the last 20 years! OK, there's not quite a 100% correlation. Instead of "smoking" Kim Jong Il, Dr. Paul would stop sending any aid to North Korea. That aid all finds its way quickly into Kim's greasy palm, and it's all part of the unconstitutional federal spending that both you and Ron Paul would abolish.

Anyway, it's time to join the Ron Paul rEVOLution, start defending our freedom, and stop filling the trough at yours and my expense!

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/10/01 06:03

GOOD JOB Wettek ! You made some very good points about obeying the law. In our law and order society there are plenty of laws I'll bet we'd all like to break or bend and not pay the consequences for doing so. I'd like to not pay taxes for a while. You think our government would give me amnesty for opting out for a couple years or so? After all, I have worked very hard and contributed in many ways plus pay taxes every year.

I wonder at what point if any, Mr. PRO would decline to take in any more illegals? Good for the economy? Let's say the illegals are suddenly legal by the stroke of a pen. They are here because they work cheaply, correct? So now they're legal but they fall in the poverty level and become entitled to government assistance.....loans, housing, healthcare , food stamps (or whatever it is called these days) free school breakfast, lunch , etc. etc. Where does all the money come from to pay for all of this? Could our system never go bankrupt? I think it could. We may be the land of plenty but Mexico is a beautiful country with lots of natural resources and good people that seem to be screaming out for guidance. The oil wells didn't stop at the Texas border, did they? My understanding is that a private landowner does not have oil rights in Mexico . I'm not sure what their laws are on owning land. I believe their government is the biggest problem for their people, due to greed. Someone with a lot of benevolency and intelligence ought to go to Mexico and teach business owners how to operate and manage the American way. Help them build their country. Show them good ole American ingenuity & the tricks of the trade. Teach them that high quality goods would make their stuff be in bigger demand than the next guys. Heck, they don't have OSHA, EPA, USDA , ASAPC , ACLU, Fisheries & Game, Dept. of Health, Sanitation Dept. , Water Management District, Workmen's Comp., Govt. Disability, Clean Air Act, Floodwater Control, Wastewater Treatment, Soil & Water Conservation, Code Enforcement Dept., Environmental Health, Hazardous Waste Disposal, Facilities Development & Management, Recycling Dept., Forestry & Parks, JUST TO NAME A FEW to whom they must answer while running their business. Wouldn't that create fewer headaches than some of us experience in the USA?

Another idea to show your benevolency would be to invite some illegals to come live with you until they could get on their feet. Just think, if all the people in favor of amnesty would do that, how wonderful ! Share and share alike. Also, you could help each other with language skills for our bilingual country. You may have to incorporate Arabic as well since we will undoubtedly invite Middle Easterners to join in . Open borders, right? Wouldn't be politically correct to discriminate. Won't this be fun for all the many Mr. & Mrs. Benevolence households? !!! How rewarding & since 'our ' Mr. Pro Benevolent seems to be a Godly man (and I am being most sincere here) maybe he could have a gentle persuasion with any Muslim Islamic worshippers. Now that would be divine! I would pray for his success wholeheartedly. ALSO: Cephran, Jan & Eddd , Dave F, Michbris & others ~~~really good posts ! You guys are smart people.

I am really tired of sparring with PRO tho and tired of having to be worried about what the meaning of is is; being called a racist (which I am not) ; and whatever else I was called. I do apologize for offending anyone for using the word vasectomy.....(since I am a woman I should have said tubal ligation or something that doesn't seem as insensitive ; (honestly, I'm sorry) but I do not apologize for saying that people should not have more children than they can afford....oh, please Mr. PROI am not talking about occasional accidental pregnancies.....but this is exactly the kind of thing I'm talking about.....worrying about calling people Hispanic or Asian.....and being told it is none of my business when welfare recipients become breeding machines.....get real !

So Mr. PRO, good bye and good luck. I know that we would never see eye to eye & you can call me whatever names you wish.....it is not worth my time to answer. I will be more of a reader than a post-er. Some of you are brilliant! I really thought when I joined this site that I would find some comfort in meeting like minded people that work toward solutions to the problems of our very scary world situation.....at least the internet provides a way for like minded people to connect (even if their ideas aren't EXACT or their choice of words perhaps harsh (like I said guys,I was only trying to add a little humor).

Every single day I think about our men at war for us. My son has a friend at college whose son was killed three weeks ago by an IED or whatever that explosive is that blows people to pieces. I can't bear hearing the news when there are more deaths. I have read more about history, wars and religions to try to learn more about the BIG picture of the world since nine eleven but right now, I think I've learned as much as I can handle. I am overwhelmed. And I have books stacked up that I want to read. Two of my favorites: "Inside the Kingdom" by Carmen bin Ladin & "Infidel" by Ayaan Hirsi Ali. AND I do not mean to sound sexist ~~ but women, particularly these two authors have a way of telling their stories that you really understand the mindset of "these people." Beautifully told and truly amazing. Ali is an extraordinary woman.

Say, Mr.PRO, if you do decide to go to Mexico, make sure your visa/passport is in order. I have heard some very BAD stories first hand and if any of you are pilots with private airplanes, be careful. They do not treat us like we treat them. You guys know that, I'm sure. Corinthian

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by corinthian - 2007/10/01 06:03

GOOD JOB Wettek ! You made some very good points about obeying the law. In our law and order society there are plenty of laws I'll bet we'd all like to break or bend and not pay the consequences for doing so. I'd like to not pay taxes for a while. You think our government would give me amnesty for opting out for a couple years or so? After all, I have worked very hard and contributed in many ways plus pay taxes every year.

I wonder at what point if any, Mr. PRO would decline to take in any more illegals? Good for the economy? Let's say the illegals are suddenly legal by the stroke of a pen. They are here because they work cheaply, correct? So now they're legal but they fall in the poverty level and become entitled to government assistance.....loans, housing, healthcare , food stamps (or whatever it is called these days) free school breakfast, lunch , etc. etc. Where does all the money come from to pay for all of this? Could our system never go bankrupt? I think it could. We may be the land of plenty but Mexico is a beautiful country with lots of natural resources and good people that seem to be screaming out for guidance. The oil wells didn't stop at the Texas border, did they? My understanding is that a private landowner does not have oil rights in Mexico . I'm not sure what their laws are on owning land. I believe their government is the biggest problem for their people, due to greed. Someone with a lot of benevolency and intelligence ought to go to Mexico and teach business owners how to operate and manage the American way. Help them build their country. Show them good ole American ingenuity & the tricks of the trade. Teach them that high quality goods would make their stuff be in bigger demand than the next guys. Heck, they don't have OSHA, EPA, USDA , ASAPC , ACLU, Fisheries & Game, Dept. of Health, Sanitation Dept. , Water Management District, Workmen's Comp., Govt. Disability, Clean Air Act, Floodwater Control, Wastewater Treatment, Soil & Water Conservation, Code Enforcement Dept.,Environmental Health, Hazardous Waste Disposal, Facilities Development & Management, Recycling Dept., Forestry & Parks, JUST TO NAME A FEW to whom they must answer while running their business. Wouldn't that create fewer headaches than some of us experience in the USA?

Another idea to show your benevolency would be to invite some illegals to come live with you until they could get on their feet. Just think, if all the people in favor of amnesty would do that, how wonderful ! Share and share alike. Also, you could help each other with language skills for our bilingual country. You may have to incorporate Arabic as well since we will undoubtedly invite Middle Easterners to join in . Open borders, right? Wouldn't be politically correct to discriminate. Won't this be fun for all the many Mr. & Mrs. Benevolence households? !!! How rewarding & since 'our ' Mr. Pro Benevolent seems to be a Godly man (and I am being most sincere here) maybe he could have a gentle persuasion with any Muslim Islamic worshippers. Now that would be divine! I would pray for his success wholeheartedly.

ALSO: Cephran, Jan & Eddd , Dave F,Michbris & others ~~~really good posts ! You guys are smart people.

I am really tired of sparring with PRO tho and tired of having to be worried about what the meaning of is is; being called a racist (which I am not) ; and whatever else I was called. I do apologize for offending anyone for using the word vasectomy.....(since I am a woman I should have said tubal ligation or something that doesn't seem as insensitive ; (honestly, I'm sorry) but I do not apologize for saying that people should not have more children than they can afford....oh, please Mr. PROI am not talking about occasional accidental pregnancies.....but this is exactly the kind of

thing I'm talking about.....worrying about calling people Hispanic or Asian.....and being told it is none of my business when welfare recipients become breeding machines.....get real !

So Mr. PRO, good bye and good luck. I know that we would never see eye to eye & you can call me whatever names you wish.....it is not worth my time to answer. I will be more of a reader than a post-er. Some of you are brilliant! I really thought when I joined this site that I would find some comfort in meeting like minded people that work toward solutions to the problems of our very scary world situation.....at least the internet provides a way for like minded people to connect (even if their ideas aren't EXACT or their choice of words perhaps harsh (like I said guys,I was only trying to add a little humor).

Every single day I think about our men at war for us. My son has a friend at college whose son was killed three weeks ago by an IED or whatever that explosive is that blows people to pieces. I can't bear hearing the news when there are more deaths. I have read more about history, wars and religions to try to learn more about the BIG picture of the world since nine eleven but right now, I think I've learned as much as I can handle. I am overwhelmed. And I have books stacked up that I want to read. Two of my favorites: "Inside the Kingdom" by Carmen bin Ladin & "Infidel" by Ayaan Hirsi Ali. AND I do not mean to sound sexist ~~ but women, particularly these two authors have a way of telling their stories that you really understand the mindset of "these people." Beautifully told and truly amazing. Ali is an extraordinary woman.

Say, Mr.PRO, if you do decide to go to Mexico, make sure your visa/passport is in order. I have heard some very BAD stories first hand and if any of you are pilots with private airplanes, be careful. They do not treat us like we treat them. You guys know that, I'm sure. Corinthian

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Kenny - 2007/10/01 11:00

More evidence that George Bush is a rat comes from columnist Bob Novak.

http://www.nypost.com/seven/10012007/postopinion/opedcolumnists/helping_hillary.htm

In this story, Novak writes that "EYEBROWS at the Treasury were raised last Tuesday when Secretary Henry M. Paulson Jr. named a major Democratic fundraiser to an important advisory role. On the next day, eyebrows were still elevated when Under Secretary when Under Secretary Robert K. Steel participated in an event spearheaded by Bill Clinton's two Treasury secretaries."

Bush is a sell-out.

And doesn't all this cozing up with this Democratic scum dovetail perfectly with Bush's decision, when in coming into office in 2001, not to continue the investigation of the all the criminality in the Clinton/Gore administration.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/10/01 12:34

Greg,

All I can say, in my best Guinness Beer commercial voice is, BRILLIANT.

Nothing to be added here except a karma ding!

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/10/01 12:52

Corinth,

Another fine post that was right on point. I especially loved this gem:

Another idea to show your benevolency would be to invite some illegals to come live with you until they could get on their feet. Just think, if all the people in favor of amnesty would do that, how wonderful ! Share and share alike. Also, you could help each other with language skills for our bilingual country. You may have to incorporate Arabic as well since we

will undoubtedly invite Middle Easterners to join in . Open borders, right? Wouldn't be politically correct to discriminate. Won't this be fun for all the many Mr. & Mrs. Benevolence households? !!!

BTW, no need to apologies for your remarks. I thought they were pretty mild and don't require constant apologies or self-flagellation.

Drive on Corinth...

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/10/01 12:59

Kenny,

I agree wholeheartedly that GWB is a complete rat sellout.

He is now trying to fast-track the Law of The Sea Treaty which was devised by an unabashed Canadian Marxist.

I wonder what contortions Jack will have to undertake to convince us that GWB's "spooky smart" wisdom is at play here and this is really good for the USA.

GWB, Globalist and American sellout is on display for all to see.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/10/01 15:01

More than 100 posts and all thanks to GWB and Dr. Wheeler's friend's wife.

One of most heated exchange. To The Point and calming summary by Wettek, good and solid stand by many.

Somehow, for me, one thing is missing. Understanding, WHO were the Founding Fathers?

How far, following story will be off, from official establishment's version.

"In colonial times Patrick Henry after hard working day went to joint to have few drinks. Before closing, barman told him in full confidence the bad news. British apprehended John Hancock's bottle legging ship "Liberty" and possibility of dry times are near. So, He cried "Give Him Back "Liberty" or Give Me Dead" And fight for Republic began."

So, Founding Fathers were giants, and humans with all positive and negative characteristics. Let keep their achievement in right context. Should, individual freedom and safety be sacrificed for mob's rule and so called "safety of children" and human rights? What happened to integrity of the Republic, safety its borders, stability of currency and self-government."

Few Rudyard Kipling quotes, first may apply to GWB,

"A people always ends by resembling its shadow"

.
And rest may apply to all friends wives and sometimes ours.:)

"A woman is only a woman, but a good cigar is a smoke."

"The silliest woman can manage a clever man; but it needs a very clever woman to manage a fool."

"For the female of the species is more deadly than the male."

Re:Edd7's Platform

Posted by eddd7 - 2007/10/01 19:25

Thanks, johnwss, for your kind words. I have no idea what Ron Paul's platform is, but if it's based on what's best for America, we probably have lots of overlaps. eddd7

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by melliefluus - 2007/10/01 23:29

Oh wettek, you get a serious karma ding for that posting! I only wish I could give you more than one at a time!

The rule of law is the single greatest jewel, in my opinion, in the whole of Western ideology. A body of "truth" that sets the populace free (in theory) from the whims of the powers that be. Politics and politicians come and go, but the time and effort required to make major changes to the law generally protect us from the agendas of those public "servants." I believe the danger to America from its activist judges is fully as great as the "merely" physical dangers from the Islamofascists. The Bible says that a nation divided against itself cannot stand - we're seeing that happen before our eyes.

It doesn't matter if the people who invade our borders and show no respect for our laws are Mexican, Russian, or Martian.....they are invaders even if they come with peaceful intent only to better their lives. Non-invaders come through the front door, and they wait until the door is open - they don't sneak in and raid the refrigerator, then demand that you adopt them, too, and send them to college!

This was a highly charged thread this week - I enjoyed most of it immensely. I continue to think you're the best group of people in the world and I'm proud to be numbered among you. You've given me much to think about, too. I think that's one of my favorite things about this forum...all of those different viewpoints...from people with a common aim - the good of America!

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by tbs3 - 2007/10/02 04:53

We can easily see the damage Bush is doing, but we cannot see his motivation. We do not know for sure whether it is the corrupt carrot of some financial prize, or the stick of a dire behind-the-scenes threat. We only know he has been compromised and is in many ways working against us.

Until each of us has faced true evil, we are untested. Even a brave man can be brought low when a hostage situation is involved. I for one cannot hate Bush unless I know what forces are working on him.

Let's not underestimate the ruthless efficiency of what we are up against. The next Republican president will also face this same enemy and a mere change of characters will not change that. Dyncorp will probably still be running Air Force 1 maintenance, and Dyncorp is run by a gaggle of Clinton administration people. That is only one example of security vulnerabilities for the president, and there are many. The system is riddled with leaks, traitors and bought off experts. With the Clintons came a new level of organized crime style ruthlessness that has made it hard for even a good person to do right.

If we focus on blaming Bush, we miss the big picture we need to prevail.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by marco - 2007/10/02 11:34

tbs3, thank you for clarity in this post. A thoughtful insight in what may be happening behind the screen.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/10/02 13:43

Bush, Cheney and very few others may not be intimidated, but the great majority of the sheeple that is completely different story. Phantom of investigators and fear of civil liabilities and spending times and money in courts paralyzed not only our great military machine, it also created climate of finding mental and personal security of being a wimp. Look at

Blackwater Corp. It became "criminal enterprise", when moonbats discovered that on 205 engagements, Blackwater employee used firearms first at 84% of time. King not only has no cloth, his balls also are hanging on the fence of political correctness.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by tbs3 - 2007/10/02 15:44

Thanks Marco.

Note that the Democrats have repeatedly and successfully used the technique of trumping up bogus charges against their political opponents.

This is a technique rich unscrupulous businessmen have used over the years. They have successfully used this as a way of shutting down smaller competitors - by suing them over trumped up charges until they are forced to give up. I had it happen to me, and I would bet a lot of you have too if you had an innovative product that threatened a big competitor.

Its the same old game, but with the Congress folded in.

Dyncorp's Clintonista owners are trying to shut out Blackwater so they can have a free hand in Iraq. This is both for the money, and for the free hand it would give them to sabotage security there for key people.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by edd7 - 2007/10/03 02:52

Hey Capo and Ceph. Clearly, I missed pr1's post above, or (you know me), I would have been all over it ("all too easy" D. Vader, Empire Strikes Back), but you and Ceph said what I would have said....only better. Muchos gracias!!! Or, as they used to say in our wonderful public (read: government) schools, Thank you!!

I would have added, referring to PR1's comment about other people's reproductive "rights", that any single mother receiving government "assistance", be on the birth control shot until she's either married to someone with a job, or has a job herself. The premise of women who are totally dependent of government, meaning taxpayers (there's that pesky "coming out of our own children's pockets" thing again), having more children because it increases their monthly government check, is insane.

While we cannot mandate morality, we certainly don't have to institutionalize stupidity.
edd7

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by catman - 2007/10/04 01:49

So, seriously...

Why isn't Ron Paul a valid contender in this field?

The only candidate with the purest credentials of personal liberty and fiscal responsibility isn't given a thought, even on this board.

It is a curiosity.

=====

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by naples28 - 2007/10/04 10:13

Catman,

Ron Paul isn't given serious consideration because even though he has an R after his name I believe he's more Libertarian. In general there's nothing wrong with that and many of the TTPer probably lean way more L than R. BUT the ONE thing that runs extremely strong through the veins of most of the TTPers (the ones I've met at the rendezvous anyways) is a fierce loyalty to this country and an even fiercer attitude that we should NEVER EVER sit back and wait to be attacked. IMHO Libertarians are isolationists and are not the strongest options when it comes to defense. I dread the thought of turning this into a Ron Paul forum as I know he has some very devoted fans, who, please no offense johnwss- I'm NOT referencing you, come off as somewhat whacko. Again, that's IMHO. And that's as far as I'm interested in going with THAT subject.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by Jan - 2007/10/04 15:25

Because even on this board, nobody even Honorable Ron Paul is dare to say what female conservative columnist Ann Coulter said in her last book, *If Democrats Had Any Brains They'd Be Republicans*, "If we took away women's right to vote, we'd never have to worry about another Democrat president. It's kind of a pipe dream, it's a personal fantasy of mine, but I don't think it's going to happen. And it is a good way of making the point that women are voting so stupidly, at least single women.

It also makes the point, it is kind of embarrassing, the Democratic Party ought to be hanging its head in shame, that it has so much difficulty getting men to vote for it. I mean, you do see it's the party of women and 'We'll pay for health care and tuition and day care -- and here, what else can we give you, soccer moms?' "

:)

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by johnwss - 2007/10/04 20:44

Another Thursday has rolled around, and it's definitely time to move on to whatever new and delicious fodder Dr. Jack provides all of us ravenous devotees to chew on this week!

Before doing so, I want to thank naples28 for exempting me from the "whacko" fraternity for which my non-negotiable love of human freedom so eminently qualifies me. Believe me, it's a challenge to be both a devoted TTP'er and an equally devoted Ron Paul supporter. I have to continually remind my friends in the latter camp that putting the interests of the globalists and bankers ahead of the American people doesn't make a president - or a presidential contender - the devil incarnate. And I try occasionally to make the point on these pages that having a president who neither seeks power nor is beholden to those who already possess power is not a bad idea.

I think there are so many, many issues of concern to TTP'ers that there's no danger of this forum turning into a Ron Paul forum. The fact remains, though, that, with 125,000 financial contributors in the last three months, Dr. Paul is not going away, regardless of how much the MSM tries to ignore him and wish him away. So I agree with catman that the only true conservative in the presidential race deserves to have his views discussed in the most intelligent and informed conservative forum on the Internet!

Turning now to Ann Coulter's sexist comment, with which I disagree, I'd like to offer Exhibit "A" that there are many voters of all ages and both sexes who don't fall for the Democrat's empty promises. <http://ronpaulrally.org/> has photos of a few of the people from across the political spectrum who are eagerly embracing the rule of law that Rep. Ron Paul has espoused for 31 years as a Republican (not Libertarian) office holder.

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by johnwss - 2007/10/04 20:44

Post edited by: johnwss, at: 2007/10/04 20:45

Re:GEORGE BUSH AND MY FRIEND'S WIFE

Posted by cephran - 2007/10/10 21:30

Sorry all if I'm late to the party and the bar's closed, but I have been off-line for a bit and want to respond to some of the posts.

Tbs3,

You wrote:

We can easily see the damage Bush is doing, but we cannot see his motivation. We do not know for sure whether it is the corrupt carrot of some financial prize, or the stick of a dire behind-the-scenes threat. We only know he has been compromised and is in many ways working against us.

I can agree with your assertion that Bush's motivation remains a mystery but what I can't stomach is your belief that a potential "dire behind-the-scenes threat" can cause the POTUS to sell out his country.

The past few posts you have alluded to a potential "dire behind-the-scenes-threat" and have named Dynacorp as one example of presidential vulnerabilities, while leaving open the potential for multiple areas of presidential exposure.

Your exact quote is: Air Force 1 maintenance, and Dyncorp is run by a gaggle of Clinton administration people. That is only one example of security vulnerabilities for the president, and there are many. The system is riddled with leaks, traitors and bought off experts.

And then you say:

With the Clintons came a new level of organized crime style ruthlessness that has made it hard for even a good person to do right.

If we focus on blaming Bush, we miss the big picture we need to prevail.

If we accept what you write at face value, then ANY Republican who is elected POTUS will have to face these criminals.

You seem to want to give Bush a pass by saying we don't know his motivations, therefore we should not speculate on his culpability.

I think you're wrong either way you look at it. Here why; whether Bush is being bought off, threatened by external (nuke bombs) or internal (Clintonistas) forces or is a full fledged partner in the sellout of the US (see Illegal Immigration, NAU, LOST, etc...) he STILL deserves rebuke and possibly impeachment.

You also said: Until each of us has faced true evil, we are untested. Even a brave man can be brought low when a hostage situation is involved. I for one cannot hate Bush unless I know what forces are working on him.

We are talking about the POTUS and not some average citizen. You seem to want to excuse his lack of backbone or corruption by saying until you know what forces are working on him, you'll reserve judgment.

Chances are we will NEVER truly know why he has acted in this manner. But does it really matter? Either way he has sold out America whether it is from internal/external threats or just plain corruption. Why should it matter at this point?

Let's say he IS being threatened in one of the many ways you have described, how will the next POTUS be any less vulnerable to those same types of threats? If Clinton's pals at Dynacorp can sabotage Air Force 1 with GWB on it, than they can do the same with Fred Thompson, Duncan, Rudy, Mitt or Huckabee.

Once again, my opinion that yielding to blackmail only begets more blackmail is right on point.

Either way you slice it, GWB's a sellout or a coward.

He's a sellout if he's willingly in control of his actions and he is a coward if he has succumbed to blackmail whether it comes from internal or external sources.

If we do win in 2008, the next POTUS will have to weather the same types of potential blackmail that GWB has been exposed to. The Clintonista gangsters or Islamists will be no less ruthless with Rudy, Duncan or Fred than they were with GWB. The difference will be whether the next president is more courageous in the face of hidden threats than THIS

president is.

I guess we can say that Bush has faced "true evil" and has blinked, rolled over and sold out based on your definition of how a "brave man can be brought low".

Tbs3, please don't take this as a personal attack because it isn't. I am merely attempting to understand why GWB has acted in the manner he has. It has nothing to do with "hate" of Bush or contempt for your posts.

I happen to like your posts because they provoke a lot of thought and discussion.

=====